| | Page 1 |
|----|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 2 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO |
| 3 | EASTERN DIVISION |
| 4 | ~~~~~~~~~~ |
| 5 | BRYAN J. PESTA, |
| 6 | Plaintiff, |
| 7 | vs. Case No. 1:23-cv-00546-DAP |
| 8 | LAURA BLOOMBERG, etc., |
| 9 | et al., |
| 10 | Defendants. |
| 11 | ~~~~~~~~~~ |
| 12 | Deposition of |
| 13 | BRYAN J. PESTA |
| 14 | Volume I |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| | January 29, 2024 |
| 17 | 10:03 a.m. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | Taken at: |
| 20 | Perez Morris, LLC |
| 21 | 1300 East Ninth Street, Suite 1600 |
| 22 | Cleveland, Ohio |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | Cynthia Sullivan, RPR |
| | |

| | | Page 2 | Page 4 |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------|--|
| 1 | APPEARANCES: | rage 2 | 1 TRANSCRIPT INDEX |
| 2 | | | 2 |
| 3 | On behalf of the Plaintiff: | | 3 APPEARANCES 2 |
| 4 | Law Office of Frederick C. | | 4 |
| 5 | Kelly, by | | 5 INDEX OF EXHIBITS 5 |
| 6 | FREDERICK C. KELLY, ESQ. | | 6 |
| 7 | One Harriman Square | | 7 EXAMINATION OF BRYAN J. PESTA: |
| 8 | Goshen, New York 10924 | | 8 By Ms. Giffen 8 |
| 9 | (845) 294-7945 | | 9 |
| 10 | fckellylaw@protonmail.com | | 10 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 305 |
| 11 | | | 11 |
| 12 | On behalf of the Defendants: | | 12 EXHIBIT CUSTODY |
| 13 | Perez Morris, by | | 13 EXHIBITS RETAINED BY COURT REPORTER |
| 14 | KAREN L. GIFFEN, ESQ. | | 14 |
| 15 | KERIN L. KAMINSKI, ESQ. | | 15 |
| 16 | PAUL J. NEEL, ESQ. | | 16 |
| 17 | 1300 East Ninth Street | | 17 |
| 18 | Suite 1600 | | 18 |
| 19 | Cleveland, Ohio 44114 | | 19 |
| 20 | (216) 621-5161 | | 20 |
| 21 | kgiffen@perez-morris.com | | 21 |
| 22 | kkaminski@perez-morris.com | | 22 |
| 23 | pneel@perez-morris.com | | 23 |
| 24 | ~ ~ ~ ~ | | 24 |
| 25 | | | 25 |
| | | Page 3 | Page 5 |
| 1 | APPEARANCES, Continued: | | 1 INDEX OF EXHIBITS 2 NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED |
| 2 | ALGO PREGENT | | 3 Exhibit 1 The Curriculum Vitae of 15 Bryan J. Pesta, Ph.D. |
| 3 | ALSO PRESENT: | | 4 |
| 4 | Dr. Benjamin Ward | | Exhibit 2 An Editorial by Dr. Pesta in . 43 5 Psych |
| 5 | | | 6 Exhibit 3 An Article Entitled Global 51 Ancestry and Cognitive |
| 6 | ~ ~ ~ ~ | | 7 Ability |
| 7 | | | 8 Exhibit 4 Sabbatical Documentation 56 9 Exhibit 5 The Research Misconduct 60 |
| 8 | | | Investigation Final Report |
| 9 | | | Exhibit 6 A One-Page Document from a 76 |
| 10 | | | 11 Zoom Interview Submitted by Dr. Pesta, |
| 11 | | | 12 Exhibit 7 Project Request 18007 79 |
| 12 | | | 13 |
| 13 | | | Exhibit 8 A Document Entitled NIH 85 14 Security Best Practices for |
| 14 | | | Controlled-Access Data 15 Subject to the NIH Genomic |
| | | | Data Sharing Policy |
| 16 17 | | | 16 Exhibit 9 Project Request No. 19090 87 |
| 18 | | | 17 Exhibit 10 A Timeline Regarding BJP's 88 |
| 19 | | | 18 Data Access Requests |
| 20 | | | 19 Exhibit 11 A Project Renewal 96 Application for Project |
| 20 21 | | | 20 19090 21 Exhibit 12 Project Request for Project 99 |
| $\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22\end{vmatrix}$ | | | 19747 |
| 23 | | | 22 Exhibit 13 Project Renewal Request for 118 |
| | | | 23 Project 19747 |
| | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 23 24 25 | | | 24 Exhibit 14 Project Request 26271 134 25 Exhibit 15 An Email String with 137 Attachments |

| Page 6 Exhibit 16 An Email String149 Exhibit 17 A Document Entitled185 | | |
|---|---|---|
| Exhibit 16 An Email String | | Page 8 |
| Exhibit 17 A Document Entitled 185 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | BRYAN J. PESTA, of lawful age, called for |
| | $\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$ | examination, as provided by the Federal Rules |
| 3 Interview (10/11/21) Supplement, Bryan Pesta | 3 | of Civil Procedure, being by me first duly |
| 4 | 4 | administered the affirmation, as hereinafter |
| Exhibit 18 An Email From Bryan J. Pesta . 186 5 Dated 1/15/22 | 5 | certified, deposed and said as follows: |
| 6 Exhibit 19 A Letter from the Office of 187 the Provost Dated 1/13/22 | 6 | EXAMINATION OF BRYAN J. PESTA |
| 7 | / | BY MS. GIFFEN: |
| Exhibit 20 An Email From Bryan Pesta 190 8 Dated 1/25/22 with | 8 | Q. Dr. Pesta, my name is Karen Giffen. |
| Attachment | 9 | We met via Zoom and here in person for the |
| Exhibit 21 An Email From Bryan Pesta 190 | 10 | first time today. I am representing the |
| 10 Dated 1/28/22 with Attachment | 11 | individual defendants in the matter that you |
| 11 Euclide 22 A December Entitled Final 105 | 12 | filed against originally CSU and those |
| Exhibit 22 A Document Entitled Final 195 12 Consideration Dated 2/14/22 | 13 | individual defendants some time ago. |
| 13 Exhibit 23 A Letter to Dr. Bloomberg 197 Dated 2/28/22 | 14 | We're about to do a deposition, and |
| 14 | 15 | I'd like to describe for you well, first let |
| Exhibit 24 A Letter from the Office of 199 15 the Provost Dated 2/28/22 | 16 | me ask you have you ever |
| 16 Exhibit 25 An Unfair Labor Practice 200 Charge Document | 17 | A. Yeah, I did it I think twice as an |
| 17 | 18 | expert. |
| Exhibit 26 A Letter to Judy Knapp dated . 203 18 5/25/22 | 19 | MR. KELLY: Let her finish her |
| 19 Exhibit 27 The Affidavit of Linda Quinn 204 20 Exhibit 28 The Affidavit of Birch 204 | 20 | questions. |
| Browning | 21 | Q. That's one of the things that's |
| 21 Exhibit 29 A Document Labeled 208 | 22 | important. |
| Investigator's MemorandumExhibit 30 A Document Labeled Dismissal . 208 | 23 | A. Yeah, I'm hyper, so just smack me |
| of Unfair Labor Practice | 24 | down if I do that. |
| 24 Charge 25 Exhibit 31 Policy 3344-28-01 | 25 | Q. So one thing is, because the court |
| Page 7 | † | Page 9 |
| 1 Exhibit 32 Plaintiff's Response to 216 | 1 | |
| Defendants' First Requests 2 for Documents | 2 | each other, it's important to let me finish the |
| 3 Exhibit 33 A Letter for Dr. Pesta from 227 | 3 | question. So to finish the question, have you |
| Dr. Faison and Dr. Kahn | 4 | ever given a deposition before? |
| Exhibit 34 A Form 990EZ 228 | 5 | A. Yes. |
| 5 Evhibit 25 An Email String 220 | 6 | Q. Now answer. |
| Exhibit 35 An Email String 239 | 7 | A. Yes. |
| 6 | 8 | |
| Exhibit 36 An Email String 269 | | O. Okay. In what circumstance? |
| Exhibit 36 An Email String 269 | | Q. Okay. In what circumstance? A. I was an expert witness for |
| Exhibit 36 An Email String | 9 | A. I was an expert witness for |
| Exhibit 36 An Email String | 9 10 | A. I was an expert witness for HR-related stuff, like disparate impact. This |
| Exhibit 36 An Email String | 9 10 11 | A. I was an expert witness for HR-related stuff, like disparate impact. This would have been in the 2000s and I think maybe |
| Exhibit 36 An Email String | 9 10 11 12 | A. I was an expert witness for HR-related stuff, like disparate impact. This would have been in the 2000s and I think maybe early like 2012. |
| Exhibit 36 An Email String | 9 10 11 12 13 | A. I was an expert witness for HR-related stuff, like disparate impact. This would have been in the 2000s and I think maybe early like 2012. Q. Did that involve the City of |
| Exhibit 36 An Email String | 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A. I was an expert witness for HR-related stuff, like disparate impact. This would have been in the 2000s and I think maybe early like 2012. Q. Did that involve the City of Cleveland? |
| Exhibit 36 An Email String | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. I was an expert witness for HR-related stuff, like disparate impact. This would have been in the 2000s and I think maybe early like 2012. Q. Did that involve the City of Cleveland? A. Two of them did. I think I've been |
| Exhibit 36 An Email String | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. I was an expert witness for HR-related stuff, like disparate impact. This would have been in the 2000s and I think maybe early like 2012. Q. Did that involve the City of Cleveland? A. Two of them did. I think I've been an expert for five cases. Two of them were |
| Exhibit 36 An Email String | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. I was an expert witness for HR-related stuff, like disparate impact. This would have been in the 2000s and I think maybe early like 2012. Q. Did that involve the City of Cleveland? A. Two of them did. I think I've been an expert for five cases. Two of them were related to the City of Cleveland. |
| Exhibit 36 An Email String | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. I was an expert witness for HR-related stuff, like disparate impact. This would have been in the 2000s and I think maybe early like 2012. Q. Did that involve the City of Cleveland? A. Two of them did. I think I've been an expert for five cases. Two of them were related to the City of Cleveland. Q. Okay. We may talk about those more |
| Exhibit 36 An Email String | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. I was an expert witness for HR-related stuff, like disparate impact. This would have been in the 2000s and I think maybe early like 2012. Q. Did that involve the City of Cleveland? A. Two of them did. I think I've been an expert for five cases. Two of them were related to the City of Cleveland. Q. Okay. We may talk about those more in a minute. All right. So given that you've |
| Exhibit 36 An Email String | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. I was an expert witness for HR-related stuff, like disparate impact. This would have been in the 2000s and I think maybe early like 2012. Q. Did that involve the City of Cleveland? A. Two of them did. I think I've been an expert for five cases. Two of them were related to the City of Cleveland. Q. Okay. We may talk about those more in a minute. All right. So given that you've been through this before, then you'll know that |
| Exhibit 36 An Email String | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. I was an expert witness for HR-related stuff, like disparate impact. This would have been in the 2000s and I think maybe early like 2012. Q. Did that involve the City of Cleveland? A. Two of them did. I think I've been an expert for five cases. Two of them were related to the City of Cleveland. Q. Okay. We may talk about those more in a minute. All right. So given that you've been through this before, then you'll know that what happens is I'll ask questions, and you'll |
| Exhibit 36 An Email String | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. I was an expert witness for HR-related stuff, like disparate impact. This would have been in the 2000s and I think maybe early like 2012. Q. Did that involve the City of Cleveland? A. Two of them did. I think I've been an expert for five cases. Two of them were related to the City of Cleveland. Q. Okay. We may talk about those more in a minute. All right. So given that you've been through this before, then you'll know that what happens is I'll ask questions, and you'll respond. Cindy, our court reporter, will take |
| Exhibit 36 An Email String | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. I was an expert witness for HR-related stuff, like disparate impact. This would have been in the 2000s and I think maybe early like 2012. Q. Did that involve the City of Cleveland? A. Two of them did. I think I've been an expert for five cases. Two of them were related to the City of Cleveland. Q. Okay. We may talk about those more in a minute. All right. So given that you've been through this before, then you'll know that what happens is I'll ask questions, and you'll respond. Cindy, our court reporter, will take down everything. Your attorney, Mr. Kelly, may |
| Exhibit 36 An Email String | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. I was an expert witness for HR-related stuff, like disparate impact. This would have been in the 2000s and I think maybe early like 2012. Q. Did that involve the City of Cleveland? A. Two of them did. I think I've been an expert for five cases. Two of them were related to the City of Cleveland. Q. Okay. We may talk about those more in a minute. All right. So given that you've been through this before, then you'll know that what happens is I'll ask questions, and you'll respond. Cindy, our court reporter, will take |

Page 10 Page 12 1 What I will tell you is I'm going 1 documents that he brought. In there are a 2 to do my best to ask questions that are couple copies if you want. I'm sorry. Do you 3 understandable. I ask you that if I've asked a want me to copy them? 4 question that you have difficulty understanding 4 THE WITNESS: I was running out of 5 or I don't talk loudly enough or I've used a 5 toner. I apologize. 6 word that doesn't make any sense to you, 6 MR. KELLY: I apologize. I really please, let me know, and I'll try to rephrase do apologize. it; all right? 8 8 THE WITNESS: There is nothing else 9 A. Sure. 9 in here. 10 Q. Second, this isn't a marathon, 10 MS. GIFFEN: We're on the record. 11 we're going to be here for a while, but in the 11 Should we say something about that? 12 event that you need a break for some reason, MR. KELLY: The record should 12 13 I'm happy to accommodate that. I just ask that 13 reflect I alerted Ms. Giffen last night I'm 14 you complete the question that's been posed, starting to develop conjunctivitis/pinkeye in 15 and then we'll go off the record. 15 my right eye, and I wanted to know if all A. Not a problem. 16 16 parties would still consent in light of that 17 Q. Okay. Dr. Pesta, you have some with proceeding with the deposition today, and 18 materials in front of you? 18 it seems we are proceeding, but it may make for A. Correct. I should have waited. 19 a bit of an awkward moment at times with regard 20 I'm sorry. to passing documents back and forth. 21 MR. KELLY: Catch the ball, Bryan. 21 MS. GIFFEN: We'll figure it out. 22 Catch the ball before you start to run with it. 22 We appreciate that heads-up notice. 23 What have you brought with you 23 THE WITNESS: Do you mind if I turn 24 today? 24 my cell phone off? 25 So one thing I copied based on I 25 MS. GIFFEN: Oh, please, do. Good Page 11 Page 13 1 think it was the final report, and it was the 1 reminder. 2 dbGaP application. I just gave that to 2 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. Attorney Kelly. There was also one is a 3 Q. Dr. Pesta, could you please tell us 4 double-sided document that I'd like to use to whether you are currently employed? 5 refresh my memory because the dates are really 5 A. I am not. 6 complicated for the applications, and the other Q. And by whom were you previously 7 has to do with expertise -- oh, the official 7 employed? 8 NIH data publication, and I assume that you're 8 A. Cleveland State University. going to get copies of these, so that's not a 9 Q. Are you married? 10 problem. 10 A. Divorced twice. Q. So let's talk about that first. So 11 Q. I'm sorry? 12 I ask now when I ask you a question, don't 12 A. Divorced twice. 13 refer to any documents, but it is fine to tell 13 Q. What years were you married and to 14 me I can't answer that without referring to a 14 whom? 15 document, and then we'll see. 15 A. Her name was Michelle Oblinger, 16 MS. KAMINSKI: Karen, would you 16 O-B-L-I-N-G-E-R. I was very young, so it had 17 like me to take that and get it copied since he 17 to be in like the 1990s. That was for three 18 brought it in? 18 years. 19 MS. GIFFEN: It's okay with me if 19 Q. For three years? 20 it's okay with you guys. 20 A. Correct. 21 MR. KELLY: It's okay with us. 21 Q. Okay. You said you were divorced 22 THE WITNESS: I don't have a 22 twice did you say? problem. I think I gave it to Fred, though. 23 A. Correct. So the next one was Kathy 24 There should be one page that's double-sided. 24 McClintock. Kathy with a K. Do you want me to 25 MR. KELLY: These are the two 25 spell that?

Page 16 1 O. Sure. 1 Yes, at the University of Akron. Q. Right. And you also got a 2 A. M-C-C-L-I-N-T-O-C-K. That was from 2 3 '98 until, it was fourteen years, so it was postdoctorate degree of a Master in Labor like 2012. 4 Relations from CSU, right? 4 5 Q. Do you have any children? 5 A. Correct. 6 A. I have an adopted daughter from 6 Q. And your other two degrees you got that second marriage and a biological son. 7 at CSU, correct? Q. How old are they, and what are 8 A. Correct. 8 9 9 their names? Q. According to your CV, you became 10 employed at CSU as a visiting assistant 10 A. Anthony is my son. He's 25. Q. Is it Anthony Pesta? 11 professor in 1998, correct? 11 A. Correct. 12 12 A. I was also an adjunct, but it 13 Q. And how about your daughter? 13 didn't seem appropriate to put it in my vita. 14 A. Krystal, K-R-Y-S-T-A-L, was Pesta, It's in the psych department if that's helpful. 15 but she got married, so it's Kearny, Q. So from 1998 to 2001 you were in 15 16 K-E-A-R-N-Y. 16 the psych department? 17 O. And how old is she? 17 A. Correct. A. Thirty-one. Q. Then what is term assistant 18 18 19 Q. Do either of those children reside 19 professor? What does that mean? 20 with you? 20 A. It's not even a distinction at CSU 21 A. My son does, yes. 21 anymore, but it used to be you would have a 22 Q. What is your home address? one-year contract, and every year they could 23 A. 26845 Chapel Hill Drive, North 23 renew it or not, so it was a full-time temp. 24 Olmsted, Ohio, 44070. 24 Q. So that was from 2001 to 2004 you 25 How long have you resided there? 25 were a contract professor? Page 15 Page 17 1 A. Since '25, so almost twenty 1 A. Correct. years -- 2005. I'm sorry. 2 Q. Assistant professor I should have 3 Q. I was going to say '25? And you 3 said. Now, this time it's of management and say that your son resides with you. Does 4 labor relations? anybody else live with you there? 5 5 A. This time meaning? 6 A. No. Q. Previously it was assistant 7 Q. Okay. You were kind enough to 7 professor of psychology. 8 provide for us a copy of your CV in response to A. Oh, correct. Yes. 9 our request. Q. All right. Is this when you moved 10 10 over to the business school? 11 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 1, 11 A. Correct. 12 the Curriculum Vitae of Bryan J. 12 O. So let's talk about that. So when 13 13 you were the professor of psychology from '98 Pesta, Ph.D., was marked for 14 purposes of identification.) 14 to 2001, what college were you associated, with 15 15 what college? 16 Q. Dr. Pesta, handing you what's been A. I know they realigned. I think it 16 17 marked as Exhibit 1, could you confirm for us 17 was arts and sciences back then. 18 that this is your CV? 18 Q. Okay. And then how about beginning A. Yes. 19 19 in 2001 when you became a professor of 20 Q. Okay. And it appears if we just management and labor relations? 21 run through this quickly -- first of all, is 21 A. It used to be called the -- I'm 22 the information contained on Exhibit 1 correct? 22 sorry. I didn't let you finish. It used to be 23 23 the MLR, management and labor relations. Maybe 24 Q. All right. And you got your Ph.D. 24 about eight years ago it changed to just 25 in 1997, correct? 25 management.

Page 18 1 Q. That was the department of what 1 intelligence research for the most part. I 2 college? 2 think I picked three, and they picked two, the A. College of Business. 3 3 committee. This would have been the college 4 PRC. 4 Q. I know now it's the Monte Ahuja 5 College of Business, I think? 5 Q. Who were the three you picked? 6 A. Correct. 6 A. I don't remember. I would have to 7 Q. Is that the right name? go back and look. It was I guess 14 years ago. 8 8 Q. Do you remember who the two were A. Correct. 9 O. Was it at that time? 9 the college picked? A. I have no idea. I mean, I have it A. No. It was Nance. I think he was 10 10 11 a CSU vice president or something like that. at home in my computer, I think. 11 12 Q. It changed names somewhere, but it 12 Q. That's all right. 13 was fundamentally the College of Business 13 A. All right. 14 throughout, correct? 14 So now you've got tenure, you're an 15 associate professor, and then you are promoted 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. So you became an assistant 16 to full professor in 2016? 17 professor? 17 A. Correct. 18 A. Well, visiting, which is full-time Q. Was the review process associated 18 19 temp, but then in 2005 or '06, I landed the 19 with becoming --20 tenure-track job in management. 20 A. The same deal. It's the same. I 21 Q. Okay. And then 2010 to 2016 was 21 don't think I went to the university on that 22 associate professor, right? one, but it was department, college, and then I 23 2010 to 2016? 23 was granted it, but there were external A. 24 Yes. 24 reviews, also. Q. 25 25 Correct. Q. And who were the external reviewers Page 21 1 Q. And you got tenure in 2010; is that 1 for that one? 2 right? A. It would be -- I don't remember. I 2 3 Correct. 3 would have to check. 4 Q. When you became an associate 4 Q. Okay. But same process in that you professor, that was approximately at the same 5 would have been asked to submit to those time you got tenure? reviewing entities the work that you had done, 7 A. I think they are granted at the 7 the research you were involved in, and the 8 same time. papers that you --Q. You went through an application A. So they don't care about teaching, 10 process and had a tenure review committee, et 10 it's all about research, so the external 11 cetera? 11 reviewers were focused on that, but I did have 12 A. Yeah. It went all the way through 12 to submit a dossier with teaching and service 13 department, college, and university. 13 statements, too. 14 Q. Okay. And at that time in 2010 14 Q. Let's talk about that a minute. So 15 were you requested to submit to them, to the 15 what do you understand is highly valued at 16 tenure review committee, what publications you 16 Cleveland State among professors? Was that too 17 had done and what research you were involved 17 vague of a question? 18 in, et cetera? 18 A. I'm sorry. 19 A. Yes. 19 Q. You're giving me a look that tells 20 Q. Did you have recommending outside 20 me that was too vague. 21 academicians? 21 A. What I valued? 22 A. Yeah. It's external review. I 22 Q. No, no, no. I'll ask it more 23 believe I had five. 23 directly. Were both your teaching skills and 24 Q. Who were they? your research and publication accomplishments 25 Oh, my God. People who publish in 25 and skills, were both of those important when

Page 22

- 1 you went through getting hired, getting
- 2 tenured, and getting full professor, and if you
- 3 need to break it down, feel free to do so.
- 4 A. Certainly. Also, service, like
- 5 serving on committees, professional service,
- 6 stuff like that.

9

- 7 Q. So service like within Cleveland
- 8 State as well as service --
 - A. It could be outside, too.
- 10 Q. Okay. All right. So you'd agree 11 that all three of those things were important
- 12 for each of those steps?
- 13 A. Not equally important, but yes.
- Q. What in your mind was given more to emphasis?
- 16 A. It's only about research.
- 17 Q. And what do you understand is the
- 18 reason for that?
- 19 A. Publish or perish. The university
- 20 gets money for -- you know, grant money and
- 21 stuff like that based on the faculty's
- 22 reputation. I think it's fairly well known
- 23 among professors that research gets you to the
- 24 next level.
- Q. Okay. Now, you mentioned this a

- Page 24 1 faculty are in the now management department?
- 2 A. Now?

3

8

- Q. Or when you left.
- 4 A. When I started there was a lot
- 5 more, I would say maybe 20, maybe even more
- 6 like in the early 2000s. When I left, just
- 7 full-time people, like tenure-track?
 - O. Let's start there.
- 9 A. Ten or 12.
- 10 Q. Okay. So almost half, reduction by
- 11 almost half?
- 12 A. It wasn't -- oh, like not
- 13 reduction, but I'm thinking of a better word.
- 14 Like they left, yeah.
- 15 Q. Right. I didn't mean to suggest
- 16 they had been terminated or something, but the
- 17 number of faculty in the department was reduced
- 18 significantly?

19

- A. By approximately 50 percent.
- Q. Okay. At the time that you left,
- 21 where would you put yourself among your
- 22 colleagues in terms of the amount of research
- 23 and publication that you did as compared to
- 24 others?
- A. Okay. That's a fair question. I

Page 23

- 1 minute ago, and now I need to clarify this a
- 2 bit. You said that the department was
- 3 originally called management and labor
- 4 relations, and now it's just called management?
- 5 A. MGT.
- 6 Q. When did that change?
- 7 A. I'm guessing around eight years
- 8 ago, but I'm -- I mean, I don't know what the
- margin of error is on that.
- 10 Q. No problem. Did the focus of the
- 11 group change when the name changed? 12 A. A little bit, yeah. That was our
- 13 motivating factor. Just labor relations, it's
- 14 picking up now which is good, but back then it
- 15 was almost dead. I think it was only like
- 16 8 percent of the labor force was even
- 17 unionized, and we didn't hire replacement
- 18 professors who had expertise in that, so we
- 19 just felt we needed to rebrand it and call it
- 20 management.
- Q. Is there a separate labor relations
- 22 department now?
- A. No. They are still with us, or
- 24 they are still in the management department.
- Q. I see. I see. Okay. How many

- 1 won merit pay specifically for research at
- 2 least twice, maybe three times, more awards I
- 3 won for teaching. I think in my department,
- 4 not college, I was up there. I think there is
- 5 a professor named Ken Dunegan who retired.
- 6 He's got a good record. I think the current
- 7 chair, unless he left, is Timothy DeGroot,
- 8 D-E-G-R-O-O-T. He had a really good record.
- 9 So, yeah, by impact factors and
- 10 stuff like that and at a school like CSU, I
- 11 definitely deserved both promotions.
- 12 Q. And if you would flip to the very
- 13 last page of Exhibit 1, you'll see your awards
- 14 and honors list, and I'm seeing merit pay
- 15 winners. Is that what you're referring to?
- 16 A. Correct. And there is also this
- 17 legacy award, and, well, the Excellence in
- 18 Humanist, that's irrelevant.
- 19 Q. All right. Do you have any
- 20 academic or teaching experience outside of CSU?
- A. So when I was a student at Akron
- 22 getting my Ph.D., they make you be student
- 23 teachers, and so I had that experience.
- Q. Is Akron an AAUP or other union --
 - A. Maybe five years ago, maybe ten,

25

Page 25

1 they voted the union in. 2

- Q. Fred wants to tell you you started to answer before I finished. 3
- A. I've been good so far. I'm sorry. 4 5 MR. KELLY: Let her finish the question. 6
- 7 Q. It's okay. So the end of the question was going to be, was there a
- collective bargaining representative for the
- 10 faculty at Akron while you were there? 11 A. When I was there, I don't believe
- 12 so, but I know they got one voted in
- 13 thereafter.

16

1

- 14 MR. KELLY: Just answer the 15 question, Bryan.
 - THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
- 17 Q. Okay. Next page, is this all of the classes that you taught?
- 19 A. I don't include Akron because, I
- 20 mean, this is a CSU thing.
- Q. Got it. And then there is a 21
- 22 three-and-a-half to four-page list of
- 23 publications, right?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. Were all of these published?

Page 26 1 The -- oh, there is one. Let me

- 2 see where it's at. Yeah, so I think it's
- 3 number nine. But there are some authors on the

Page 28

- 4 paper that APA style says you list the first
- seven, so Woodley would be in there somewhere.
- 6 Q. I see. Okay. All right. Now, one
- 7 of the things that, and we'll take a look at it
- at some time, you submitted at various points
- during this process a statement with respect to
- 10 your research involving intelligence?
 - A. Correct.
- 12 Q. You mentioned in there that you had
- 13 been interested for a long time in that subject
- and also attempting to determine that research
- 15 in connection with variables including race and
- 16 ethnicity. 17 A. Correct.

11

- 18 Q. When did you become interested in
- that as a subject area? 19
- 20 A. So if you look at my undergrad
- 21 transcript at CSU, I took a class called Human
- Abilities with Professor John Burns, it was in
- either '89 or '90, and I was just fascinated by
- 24 how powerful cognitive ability is in predicting
- success in life and how reliable and valid

Page 27

11

- A. That's the question?
- 2 Q. Yes.
- 3 A. You mean at CSU or just -- all of these are publications, yes.
- 5 Q. All of these papers were published?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. I guess what I'm really asking are
- any of the papers cited here only submitted for
- publication, but not yet published or accepted
- 10 for publication?
- A. No. I don't like to put that stuff 11
- 12 on my vita.
- 13 Q. Okay. One of the things I note and
- 14 you make reference to it in other
- 15 correspondence and various notations is of a
- 16 paper that you did, I think the principal
- 17 author or at least the first named author was a
- 18 person named Woodley, Genomic Versus
- 19 Self-Identified Ancestry, and it goes on from
- 20 there which was in 2018, and that was not
- 21 listed. Was that paper ever published?
- 22 A. I'm not familiar with it honestly.
- 23 Woodley? Do you know who the other authors
- 24 were?
- 25 You. Q.

- 1 these tests are, and then there was like maybe 2 a week lecture on group differences, and I was
- 3 totally fascinated with that. But I was trying
- 4 to be a cognitive psychologist at that point, 5 so I never did research on it back then.
- 6 Q. Okay. So if we take a look at the
- list of publications, I want to identify,
- 8 because you know the nature of this much better
- 9 than I do, which of the publications reference
- 10 that subject area that we just discussed.
 - A. Can I separate it by -- go ahead.
- MR. KELLY: Object to the form of 12
- the question. I think it's a little bit vague. What subject area are we talking about? Never
- 15 mind.
- 16 A. I was going to say do you want just 17 intelligence articles or ones that focus on
- 18 intelligence and race? 19 Q. Yes. Let's focus on intelligence
- and race or intelligence and some other 20
- 21 population separately.
 - A. Sure.
- 23 Q. So with that caveat, let's go
- 24 through these lists. No. 1 fits, yes?
 - Correct.

22

25

| | | D 20 | | | p 22 |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| 1 | Q. | Page 30 No. 2, how about that one? | 1 | Q. | Page 32 No. 20? |
| 2 | Q. A. | Correct. | 2 | Q. A. | Yes. |
| 3 | Q. | No. 3? | 3 | Q. | No. 21? |
| 4 | Q. A. | Yes. | 4 | Q. A. | No. 21: |
| 5 | | No. 4? | 5 | | No. 22? |
| | Q. | Yes. | 6 | Q. | Yes. |
| 6 | A. | | 7 | A. | |
| 7 | Q. | No. 5? | | Q. | No. 23? |
| 8 | A. | Correct. | 8 | Α. | No. |
| 9 | Q. | No. 6? | 9 | Q. | No. 24? |
| 10 | Α. | Yes. | 10 | Α. | No. |
| 11 | Q. | No. 7? | 11 | Q. | No. 25? |
| 12 | Α. | That's the controversy here. | 12 | Α. | Yes. |
| 13 | Q. | We'll spend more time talking about | 13 | Q. | No. 26? |
| 14 | that one | | 14 | A. | Yes. |
| 15 | A. | Yes. | 15 | Q. | No. 27? |
| 16 | Q. | So yes on that one, right? | 16 | A. | No. |
| 17 | A. | Correct. | 17 | Q. | No. 28? |
| 18 | Q. | No. 8? | 18 | A. | Yes. |
| 19 | A. | Yes. | 19 | Q. | No. 29? |
| 20 | Q. | No. 9? | 20 | A. | Yes. |
| 21 | A. | Yes. | 21 | Q. | Thirty? |
| 22 | Q. | No. 10? | 22 | A. | Yes. |
| 23 | A. | No. | 23 | Q. | Thirty-one? |
| 24 | Q. | No. 11? | 24 | A. | Yes. |
| 25 | A. | Yes. | 25 | Q. | Thirty-two? |
| | | Page 31 | | | Page 33 |
| 1 | _ | N _a 100 | 1 1 | | N _o |
| | Q. | No. 12? | 1 | A. | No. |
| 2 | Q. A. | That's marginal. I mean, it's | 2 | A. Q. | No. 33? |
| 3 | _ | That's marginal. I mean, it's | l . | | |
| | A. | That's marginal. I mean, it's | 2 | Q. | No. 33? |
| 3 | A. bibliom | That's marginal. I mean, it's netrics. | 2 3 | Q. A. | No. 33? No. |
| 3 4 | A. bibliom Q. A. | That's marginal. I mean, it's netrics. What is bibliometrics? | 2 3 4 | Q. A. Q. | No. 33? No. Thirty-four? |
| 3 4 5 | A. bibliom Q. A. authors | That's marginal. I mean, it's netrics. What is bibliometrics? It's where you study articles and | 2 3 4 5 | Q. A. Q. A. | No. 33? No. Thirty-four? No. |
| 3 4 5 6 | A. bibliom Q. A. authors who is | That's marginal. I mean, it's netrics. What is bibliometrics? It's where you study articles and for productivity and look for trends on | 2 3 4 5 6 | Q. A. Q. A. Q. | No. 33? No. Thirty-four? No. Thirty-five? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 | A. bibliom Q. A. authors who is a bibliom | That's marginal. I mean, it's netrics. What is bibliometrics? It's where you study articles and for productivity and look for trends on most impactful. So it's mostly netrics, but it's on the field of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. | No. 33? No. Thirty-four? No. Thirty-five? No. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A. bibliom Q. A. authors who is | That's marginal. I mean, it's netrics. What is bibliometrics? It's where you study articles and for productivity and look for trends on most impactful. So it's mostly netrics, but it's on the field of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. | No. 33? No. Thirty-four? No. Thirty-five? No. Thirty-six? No. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. bibliom Q. A. authors who is a bibliom intellige | That's marginal. I mean, it's netrics. What is bibliometrics? It's where you study articles and for productivity and look for trends on most impactful. So it's mostly netrics, but it's on the field of ence. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. | No. 33? No. Thirty-four? No. Thirty-five? No. Thirty-six? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A. bibliom Q. A. authors who is a bibliom intellige Q. A. | That's marginal. I mean, it's netrics. What is bibliometrics? It's where you study articles and for productivity and look for trends on most impactful. So it's mostly netrics, but it's on the field of ence. So put that in the maybe category? Maybe. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. | No. 33? No. Thirty-four? No. Thirty-five? No. Thirty-six? No. Thirty-seven? No. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A. bibliom Q. A. authors who is a bibliom intellige Q. | That's marginal. I mean, it's netrics. What is bibliometrics? It's where you study articles and for productivity and look for trends on most impactful. So it's mostly netrics, but it's on the field of ence. So put that in the maybe category? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. | No. 33? No. Thirty-four? No. Thirty-five? No. Thirty-six? No. Thirty-seven? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | A. bibliom Q. A. authors who is a bibliom intellige Q. A. Q. A. | That's marginal. I mean, it's netrics. What is bibliometrics? It's where you study articles and for productivity and look for trends on most impactful. So it's mostly netrics, but it's on the field of ence. So put that in the maybe category? Maybe. No. 13? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. | No. 33? No. Thirty-four? No. Thirty-five? No. Thirty-six? No. Thirty-seven? No. Thirty-eight? No. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A. bibliom Q. A. authors who is a bibliom intellige Q. A. Q. A. Q. | That's marginal. I mean, it's netrics. What is bibliometrics? It's where you study articles and for productivity and look for trends on most impactful. So it's mostly netrics, but it's on the field of ence. So put that in the maybe category? Maybe. No. 13? Yes. No. 14? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q. A. | No. 33? No. Thirty-four? No. Thirty-five? No. Thirty-six? No. Thirty-seven? No. Thirty-eight? No. Thirty-nine? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. bibliom Q. A. authors who is a bibliom intellige Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. A. | That's marginal. I mean, it's netrics. What is bibliometrics? It's where you study articles and for productivity and look for trends on most impactful. So it's mostly netrics, but it's on the field of ence. So put that in the maybe category? Maybe. No. 13? Yes. No. 14? Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. A. | No. 33? No. Thirty-four? No. Thirty-five? No. Thirty-six? No. Thirty-seven? No. Thirty-eight? No. Thirty-eight? The rest of these are not |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. bibliom Q. A. authors who is a bibliom intellige Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. Q. | That's marginal. I mean, it's netrics. What is bibliometrics? It's where you study articles and for productivity and look for trends on most impactful. So it's mostly netrics, but it's on the field of ence. So put that in the maybe category? Maybe. No. 13? Yes. No. 14? Yes. No. 15? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. intellige | No. 33? No. Thirty-four? No. Thirty-five? No. Thirty-six? No. Thirty-seven? No. Thirty-eight? No. Thirty-eight? The rest of these are not ence. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. bibliom Q. A. authors who is a bibliom intellige Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. A. Q. A. | That's marginal. I mean, it's netrics. What is bibliometrics? It's where you study articles and for productivity and look for trends on most impactful. So it's mostly netrics, but it's on the field of ence. So put that in the maybe category? Maybe. No. 13? Yes. No. 14? Yes. No. 15? Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q. A. O. O. A. O. | No. 33? No. Thirty-four? No. Thirty-five? No. Thirty-six? No. Thirty-seven? No. Thirty-eight? No. Thirty-nine? The rest of these are not ence. Oh, is that right? Thank you. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. bibliom Q. A. authors who is a bibliom intellige Q. A. A. Q. A. A. Q. A. A. A. Q. A. A. A. Q. A. A. A. Q. A. | That's marginal. I mean, it's netrics. What is bibliometrics? It's where you study articles and for productivity and look for trends on most impactful. So it's mostly netrics, but it's on the field of ence. So put that in the maybe category? Maybe. No. 13? Yes. No. 14? Yes. No. 15? Yes. No. 16? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q. A. | No. 33? No. Thirty-four? No. Thirty-five? No. Thirty-six? No. Thirty-seven? No. Thirty-eight? No. Thirty-nine? The rest of these are not ence. Oh, is that right? Thank you. Okay. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A. bibliom Q. A. authors who is a bibliom intellige Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. A. Q. A. A. Q. A. | That's marginal. I mean, it's netrics. What is bibliometrics? It's where you study articles and for productivity and look for trends on most impactful. So it's mostly netrics, but it's on the field of ence. So put that in the maybe category? Maybe. No. 13? Yes. No. 14? Yes. No. 15? Yes. No. 16? No. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Q. A. O. A. O. A. O. O. | No. 33? No. Thirty-four? No. Thirty-five? No. Thirty-six? No. Thirty-seven? No. Thirty-eight? No. Thirty-nine? The rest of these are not ence. Oh, is that right? Thank you. Okay. The rest are not on intelligence |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. bibliom Q. A. authors who is a bibliom intellige Q. A. | That's marginal. I mean, it's netrics. What is bibliometrics? It's where you study articles and for productivity and look for trends on most impactful. So it's mostly netrics, but it's on the field of ence. So put that in the maybe category? Maybe. No. 13? Yes. No. 14? Yes. No. 15? Yes. No. 16? No. No. 17? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q. A. and ana | No. 33? No. Thirty-four? No. Thirty-five? No. Thirty-six? No. Thirty-seven? No. Thirty-eight? No. Thirty-eight? The rest of these are not ence. Oh, is that right? Thank you. Okay. The rest are not on intelligence alysis with respect to race or some other |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. bibliom Q. A. authors who is a bibliom intellige Q. A. A. Q. A. A. Q. A. A. Q. A. Q. A. A. Q. A. A. Q. | That's marginal. I mean, it's netrics. What is bibliometrics? It's where you study articles and for productivity and look for trends on most impactful. So it's mostly netrics, but it's on the field of ence. So put that in the maybe category? Maybe. No. 13? Yes. No. 14? Yes. No. 15? Yes. No. 16? No. No. 17? Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. intellige Q. A. q. and ana populat | No. 33? No. Thirty-four? No. Thirty-five? No. Thirty-six? No. Thirty-seven? No. Thirty-eight? No. Thirty-eight? No. Thirty-nine? The rest of these are not ence. Oh, is that right? Thank you. Okay. The rest are not on intelligence alysis with respect to race or some other tion subgroup; is that right? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. bibliom Q. A. authors who is a bibliom intellige Q. A. | That's marginal. I mean, it's netrics. What is bibliometrics? It's where you study articles and for productivity and look for trends on most impactful. So it's mostly netrics, but it's on the field of ence. So put that in the maybe category? Maybe. No. 13? Yes. No. 14? Yes. No. 15? Yes. No. 16? No. No. 17? Yes. No. 18? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. intellige Q. A. q. and ana populat | No. 33? No. Thirty-four? No. Thirty-five? No. Thirty-six? No. Thirty-seven? No. Thirty-eight? No. Thirty-eight? No. Thirty-nine? The rest of these are not ence. Oh, is that right? Thank you. Okay. The rest are not on intelligence alysis with respect to race or some other tion subgroup; is that right? MS. KAMINSKI: Just so the record |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. bibliom Q. A. authors who is a bibliom intellige Q. A. Q. Q. A. Q. | That's marginal. I mean, it's netrics. What is bibliometrics? It's where you study articles and for productivity and look for trends on most impactful. So it's mostly netrics, but it's on the field of ence. So put that in the maybe category? Maybe. No. 13? Yes. No. 14? Yes. No. 15? Yes. No. 16? No. No. 17? Yes. No. 18? Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. intellige Q. A. q. and ana populat | No. 33? No. Thirty-four? No. Thirty-five? No. Thirty-six? No. Thirty-seven? No. Thirty-eight? No. Thirty-eight? No. Thirty-nine? The rest of these are not ence. Oh, is that right? Thank you. Okay. The rest are not on intelligence alysis with respect to race or some other tion subgroup; is that right? MS. KAMINSKI: Just so the record of the numbers you are reading off of |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. bibliom Q. A. authors who is a bibliom intellige Q. A. | That's marginal. I mean, it's netrics. What is bibliometrics? It's where you study articles and for productivity and look for trends on most impactful. So it's mostly netrics, but it's on the field of ence. So put that in the maybe category? Maybe. No. 13? Yes. No. 14? Yes. No. 15? Yes. No. 16? No. No. 17? Yes. No. 18? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. intellige Q. A. Q. and ana populat is clear are from | No. 33? No. Thirty-four? No. Thirty-five? No. Thirty-six? No. Thirty-seven? No. Thirty-eight? No. Thirty-eight? No. Thirty-nine? The rest of these are not ence. Oh, is that right? Thank you. Okay. The rest are not on intelligence alysis with respect to race or some other tion subgroup; is that right? MS. KAMINSKI: Just so the record |

Page 34 Page 36 1 reminder. Yes, I'm reading from Exhibit 1, and 1 No. 10, I'm sorry, but you're right. I just 2 each of those numbers represent a specific 2 forgot the date. That was 2018. publication. 3 3 Q. All right. This would have been 4 Q. So if I'm reading it correctly, the 4 the list of publications that you would have 5 first publication that you had that related to 5 presented, obviously it wouldn't have been this 6 that subject matter was in 2008, correct? 6 long, it would have been the publications list 7 A. There is two there that both relate 7 that you presented when you sought employment 8 to that subject matter. So page 4 starts my 8 with CSU, when you sought your tenure, and when intelligence stream. you sought your full professorship, correct? Q. Okay. All right. Then there is, 10 10 A. My memory is you could pick five, 11 at least for the period of 2008 to 2014, about 11 maybe seven articles that you think are most 12 half relating to intelligence and race or some 12 impressive, I guess, to send to the external 13 other population subgroup and about half that reviewers. 13 14 did not relate? 14 Q. But you would --15 The date was 2000 to 2014? Α. 15 MR. KELLY: Answer the question, 16 O. 2008 to 2014. 16 Bryan. That seems fair. I didn't count 17 17 THE WITNESS: I thought I did. I'm 18 it. sorry. Maybe I didn't understand it. 18 19 MS. KAMINSKI: There is more. MR. KELLY: You didn't answer her 19 20 Q. All right. Let's count them up. I 20 question. You volunteered. 21 got nine, Kerin is right, nine papers that 21 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. related to that subject matter and four that 22 Q. So let's take it step by step now. 23 did not. 23 When you sought tenure, you certainly would 24 A. Okay. 24 have provided a list of the publications you 25 Is that right? had or the papers that you had published to Page 37 Page 35 1 A. I didn't mark them, so I'd have to date, correct? 1 2 A. Correct. You have to submit your 2 go back and look. 3 Q. Then for the period 2015 to 2020, 3 CV. 4 your CV is conveniently page by page noted, and Q. All right. So at least the 4 5 you have the year. 5 internal tenure review people would have had A. That's good. 6 that list? 7 7 A. Yes. Q. It's much easier to read that way, 8 so thank you. From 2015 to 2020, most of the 8 Q. All right. Now, you just mentioned that you thought maybe you wouldn't have papers except two, maybe two-and-a-half, are 10 related to the issue of intelligence and race 10 submitted the entire list to the external 11 reviewers. 11 or some other population subgroup? A. The list, yes; but the actual 12 A. Correct. 12 13 13 publications --Q. Is that right? 14 Q. I see. Okay. Do you remember what 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. And then all of them were from 2021 15 publications you sent to your reviewers at each 16 to 2023, correct? of those stages? 16 17 A. Could you repeat that? 17 A. Yeah. So I got that in 2010. 18 Q. So all of the remaining 18 Let's start there. 19 publications are related to that subject 19 Q. So it had to be what was on the 20 possibilities list would have been 25 20 matter? through --21 A. No. I think there was one on 21 22 22 bibliometrics. Let me check really quick. Oh, A. The rest. 23 Q. -- the rest, through 44. Do you 23 I'm sorry. You're right. Let me see here. 24 MS. KAMINSKI: No. 12. 24 remember which ones you submitted? 25 To the external reviewers? 25 A. There is a more recent one.

Page 38 Page 40 1 O. Yes. 1 known that you were interested in researching 2 A. I think the -- where is it at? I 2 questions posed about intelligence or 3 just lost it. No. 26 I'm not positive, I think 3 intelligence and other population subgroups; 4 it was submitted, but I thought it was a good 4 agreed? 5 article, so I'm pretty sure, and this is my 5 A. Well, they are expert researchers, 6 memory. 6 and if you just see the names and the titles, 7 Q. Sure. yes, I would agree. 8 A. I'm pretty sure I submitted that 8 Q. You actually wouldn't have to 9 one, 26. I would have done the No. 30. Then, 9 really read the papers to know this given what 10 you know, I needed more purely business 10 the title was of each of them? 11 publications, so I'm trying to think. Let me 11 A. Maybe not all of them, but yes. 12 see. So I think 32. 12 Q. All right. One question that I 13 Q. Okay. 13 have, if we look at page 6, Dr. Pesta, and 14 A. And maybe 36. 14 page 6 includes your presentations to various Q. And then how about when you became conferences, did any of these conference 15 16 a full professor which would have been 2016? 16 presentations involve the issue of intelligence A. Okay. So --17 and race or intelligence and some other 17 18 Q. First of all, let's establish that 18 population subgroup? 19 what would have been on the list was items 14 19 A. I've only been to two, I believe, 20 through the remainder of the list, yes? and yes for both. 21 A. I'm wondering if any of these were 21 O. Which one or both? 22 22 in process. No, I would say you're correct. A. We're on page 16. The first one I 23 Q. All right. So that list would have 23 don't have a number. 24 been submitted to the internal reviewers and to 24 Q. Could you tell us what that -- for 25 external reviewers, but not necessarily the 25 the record, what are you talking about? Page 39 Page 41 papers, correct? A. It's a paper in 2010 called 1 1 2 Differential Epidemiology: Intelligence, 2 A. Just to clarify, the internal 3 reviewers got everything, all the papers. The 3 Neuroticism, and Chronic Disease by the 50 U.S. external got five or seven. 4 States. 5 Q. Okay. And when papers were 5 Q. I see. So you presented that; is submitted to the external reviewers? that right? 6 6 7 7 A. I have this all at home. I don't A. Correct. 8 8 O. And what was the second one? remember. I mean, I'd have to --Q. Okay. All right. So it appears to 9 A. So the second one, Bommer, I mean, 10 me then that at the time that you applied for 10 they presented, I wasn't there, but I'm an 11 tenure at CSU, both the internal reviewers at author of the paper. 11 12 CSU and whatever external reviewers were 12 Q. I see it. Okay. All right. And 13 employed to consider those questions were aware 13 then flip over to the last page again for me. 14 that you were interested in and publishing 14 A. Uh-huh. 15 papers regarding intelligence and race or 15 Q. You mentioned a little bit ago that 16 intelligence and some other population 16 you served as an expert? A. Yes. 17 subgroup? 17 18 A. Yes. I have a specific memory of 18 Q. So the general subject matter about 19 31 being announced, congratulations, you got 19 which you were asked to testify, was it the 20 this high impact journal publication, at a 20 same for each of these cases? 21 department meeting way back then. 21 A. Essentially. It was like Civil 22 22 Rights Act law, disparate impact for Q. And the same can be said of when 23 you became a full professor, that both the 23 statistical analysis. 24 internal reviewers for that purpose inside CSU 24 Q. Did you have to testify in a trial

25 in any of those?

25 as well as the external reviewers would have

Page 42 Page 44 1 A. No. 1 that's where you log in to get it; is that 2 Q. Okay. Thank you, Dr. Pesta. One 2 right? 3 of the things that might happen is we might 3 You don't even have to log in. I 4 have to go back and refer to prior exhibits. mean, you can just go to mdpi.com and see them 5 That's why we keep them here, so they are 5 6 accessible to you. 6 Q. And search it, okay. What is 7 Psych? 7 Tell me about the open source. 8 What is an open source? Strike all of what I 8 A. It was a journal that just started, just started that question with. what year is this, I guess in '19, and I was I 10 What is an open source journal? 10 guess the founding editor. I didn't -- let me A. It's one where you have immediate clarify. They selected me to be the first 11 12 access to the articles. Most of them are 12 editor. I had nothing to do with setting the 13 behind a pay wall, but not open source. 13 journal up, though. 14 Q. I'm not sure I understood what your 14 Q. And you said was. Is it no longer? 15 answer was. 15 A. I'm no longer the editor there. Q. Okay. All right. So you're not 16 A. So for the open source articles, 16 17 you can right now pull them up, but if you want 17 suggesting that it doesn't exist any longer? 18 one -- like the Journal of Intelligence is not 18 A. No. It does. 19 open source, so technically to get their 19 All right. Who are the they who 20 version, you'd have to log into their website 20 started it? 21 and pay them. 21 A. It would have been MDPI, their 22 Q. Okay. So an open source journal 22 agents. 23 means it is not behind a pay wall? 23 Q. Any particular individuals? 24 A. Correct, to the best of my 24 A. It was a lady named Daisy, I have 25 knowledge. 25 no idea what her last name is, who contacted me Page 43 Page 45 1 Q. That's all right. Is there and invited me to not be editor, but to submit, anything else that differentiates an open what do you call it, like a series of papers, 3 source journal from a non-open source journal? 3 like a topical issue for the journal. 4 A. Not that I know of. 4 Q. Okay. How did you become editor 5 5 then? 6 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 2, an 6 They asked me to be editor. 7 Editorial by Dr. Pesta in Psych, was 7 Q. And who is the they? 8 marked for purposes of 8 A. It would have been Daisy, but I 9 think she was more clerical, so I don't identification.) 10 10 remember who the lead person was. MR. KELLY: Can we take a few 11 Q. I'm handing you what's been marked 11 12 as Exhibit 2, Dr. Pesta, and feel free to take 12 minute break? 13 MS. GIFFEN: Sure. 13 a moment, but I think this is an editorial that 14 14 you published on Psych, so if you need to take (Brief recess.) 15 a moment. 15 Q. Dr. Pesta, we were talking about 16 A. No. Yes. 16 how you became associated with Psych and how 17 Q. Yes, it is? Okay. So let me ask a you became its editor. Exhibit 2 appears to 18 couple of questions first. What is MDPI? 18 me, and, please, correct me if I'm wrong, 19 A. Multidigital publishing, something appears to me to be sort of your introduction 19 20 like that. It's just a for-profit business to the world of what Psych was intended to be, 21 that publishes. I think they have over 100 21 right? 22 journals across various fields. 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. And are all of them open source? 23 Q. And you're doing so on behalf of 24 A. Yes. That's their model. 24 the editorial board, correct? 25 So they provide the platform that 25 Well, on behalf of MDPI, I guess. Q.

Page 46 Page 48 1 The journal's editorial board? 1 A. It did for me initially. Before I 2 Q. I'm just reading. The first 2 was editor, I was invited to do a special 3 sentence says, "It is my great pleasure on issue, and I took them up on their offer. Then 4 behalf of the editorial board and myself to after while that was in progress, they said, 5 introduce Psych, MDPI's new multidisciplinary 5 hey, do you want to be the editor in chief? 6 open," and then it goes on, right? 6 Q. Oh, so this special issue happened 7 A. I don't think we had an editorial before you became editor? board back then, so I don't remember what the 8 A. Correct. 9 concept --Q. When was the special issue? 10 A. It had to be early 2019, but I'd 10 Q. Did it ever have an editorial 11 board? 11 have to go back and check. It's all on line if 12 A. It does now, and it just launched, you just type in Psych MDPI. 12 13 so it grew over time, you know. 13 Q. This says that your editorial, 14 Q. Were there any other editors which is Exhibit 2, was received June 19th, 14 2019, which would have been your submission, 15 besides yourself? 15 There is now after I got fired from 16 A. 16 right? 17 editor. 17 A. Correct. 18 Q. When did you get fired? 18 Q. Accepted June 19th, 2019, which 19 A. It would have been probably late would have been the acceptance of the paper? 19 20 2019, early 2010 -- 2020. I'm sorry. 20 A. Correct. 21 Q. What happened with that separation? 21 Q. And then the last reference is 22 Tell us about it. 22 published June 20th, 2019, right? 23 A. So before I was editor, this is how 23 A. Correct. 24 I got introduced to Psych. Daisy sent me a 24 Q. Okay. If you'll look down in the 25 letter saying, you know, we appreciate your 25 left-hand corner of Exhibit 2, I see Psych Page 49 Page 47 1 research. Would you like to do a special 1 2019, 1, and then page references, right? 2 2 issue? A. Correct. 3 So I did a special issue on race 3 Q. Is that referencing the first --4 and IQ and solicited papers from anybody who 4 A. That would have been my special 5 wanted to submit one, and we published. I 5 issue after -- the first two pages would have 6 think there was like maybe 12 papers published. been my special issue. Maybe there was another 7 But then someone external complained about one 7 article that somebody submitted unrelated to 8 of the papers, and they fired me. I did 8 IO. 9 appeal, but they fired me. Q. So this editorial appears at the 10 Q. Which paper were they complaining 10 same time as the special issue; is that 11 about? correct? 12 A. It was by Richard Lynn. 12 A. Around the middle of it. 13 (Discussion off record.) 13 Q. Around the middle of what? A. So the special issue started first. 14 Q. So I asked which paper were they 14 15 concerned with, and your answer is? 15 Maybe three months later, I'd have to check, A. It was a paper by Richard Lynn. I 16 they asked me to be editor. So the special 16 17 don't remember the title, but it was like issue was still ongoing while I became editor. 17 18 60 -- reflections on 60 years researching this 18 Q. How long did it take for the 19 stuff, so it was almost an autobiography. 19 special issue to begin and end? 20 O. What was the -- let's see how to 20 A. Until they fired me it was just 21 ask this question. So I'm used to non-open 21 open. So it's not like, you know, bound copies 22 of a journal that you'd have to have just an 22 source journals that publish issues, and then 23 issue. 23 there are -- in what I would consider an issue, 24 then there are X number of papers inside that 24 Q. Got it. Which is what I think of

25 when I think of a journal, a scientific journal

25 issue. Is that how Psych works?

Page 50 Page 52 1 of the nature that we're talking about. That's 1 received for publication on June 8th, 2019, 2 not true anymore; is it? accepted August 28th, 2019, and then published 3 A. Correct. 3 August 30th; is that right? Q. Okay. What was the problem with 4 A. Correct. 4 5 Richard Lynn's article? 5 Q. Does that check with your memory of A. My opinion? Somebody complained 6 the sequence of events? 7 that it was racist. Richard Lynn is a big time 7 A. August 13th is a critical date. researcher. He's got over 100 publications on 8 Q. 13th? 9 this stuff. Like the SPLC lists him as a hate 9 A. I'm sorry, 30th. It's one of the 10 person or whatever. So I think they were just 10 handouts that I produced today. 11 offended by -- I didn't see the complaint. I Q. This was in many regards the 11 12 think whoever complained was just offended by 12 subject matter of what we're going to spend the 13 rest of the day talking about in terms of what 13 Richard Lynn. That's my belief. 14 Q. Okay. Is he still alive, Richard 14 happened at Cleveland State, right? 15 Lynn? 15 A. Correct. 16 A. I think a year ago he passed away. 16 Q. Before we get into those pieces, I Q. Okay. He's connected with the 17 note that if you look down on the bottom 17 18 Ulster Institute? 18 left-hand corner, it says Psych 2019, 1, and 19 A. Yes. 19 then it says 431 to 451. 20 O. What is the Ulster Institute? 20 A. 459. 21 A. It's an affiliation of researchers. 21 MR. KELLY: 459. 22 As far as I know they just do IQ research, but 22 Q. 459. I should ask this just as an maybe there is more. 23 open question. What does that mean? 24 24 Q. Have you ever been associated with A. So the one indicates -- well, it 25 them? 25 was published in 2019. One is the first issue Page 51 Page 53 1 A. I think in between getting fired 1 of the journal. It's the founding issue if from CSU and today there might be one paper that's what they call it. The page numbers in 3 where I list it, but I'd have to check. issue one were 431 to 459. 4 4 Q. If we look back at Exhibit 2, it Q. Okay. was Psych 2019, 1? 5 5 6 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 3, an 6 A. Correct. 7 Article Entitled Global Ancestry and 7 Q. So same issue, but different page 8 Cognitive Ability, was marked for 8 numbers, right? 9 purposes of identification.) 9 A. Yes. So -- okay, yes. 10 Q. Now, was Exhibit 3, Global Ancestry 10 11 and Cognitive Ability, was that part of the 11 Q. Dr. Pesta, you've been handed 12 Exhibit 3 which I believe to be the paper that special issue? 12 13 you wrote with John Lasker, John G.R. Fuerst, A. I honestly don't remember. I mean, 13 14 and Emil Kirkegaard entitled Global Ancestry 14 it would be easy to check. It was definitely 15 and Cognitive Ability; is that correct? 15 consistent with the theme of it. A. I think you said John Lasker. It's Q. Okay. Is it unusual for the editor 16 16 17 actually Jordan Lasker. I may have misheard 17 of a journal to also have a submission to the 18 you. 18 iournal? 19 19 Q. Thank you for correcting me. A. You just don't want to be the 20 Jordan Lasker, is that correct, that's the 20 reviewer for it, so no, or involved in the 21 paper that you published? 21 review decision. 22 A. Correct. 22 Q. Who were the reviewers for 23 This was published in Psych, right? 23 Exhibit 3? 24 A. 24 A. I have no memory of who they were. 25 Q. And I note now this says it was 25 In fact, they are not even identified. They

Page 54 Page 56 1 are anonymous. 1 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 2 2 Q. So tell me how that works. Q. Do you remember taking a sabbatical 3 A. So you submit an article like this 3 in 2019 to actually work on the writing of --4 one. The action editor who handles the 4 MR. KELLY: Global Ancestry and 5 document, you know, looks at it, reads it, and 5 Cognitive Ability? 6 says who do I know who would be experts in 6 MS. KAMINSKI: Exhibit 3. these areas? Then they send out invitations to 7 O. -- Exhibit 3? review for the journal. 8 A. I don't have a strong memory of it, 9 Q. So does MDPI keep a record of who 9 but I'm not denying it. 10 the reviewers were? 10 A. Yeah, I'm sure they do. 11 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 4, 11 Q. Does the editor take part in 12 12 Sabbatical Documentation, was marked 13 obtaining reviewers for articles? 13 for purposes of identification.) 14 A. So I guess that I was the top of 14 15 the pyramid, editor in chief. Then I can't Q. If you, Dr. Pesta, would take a 15 16 remember who the subeditors, the next level 16 look at Exhibit No. 4 which is, I believe, but you can tell me if this is wrong, your 17 were. Those would be the action editors. 18 Now I just blanked on your 18 application for a sabbatical in the fall of 19 question. Could you repeat it? 19 2019? 20 Q. Does the editor take part in 20 A. It does appear to be that, so yes. 21 finding reviewers? 21 So this jogs my memory for sure. 22 Q. And that the purpose behind the A. It's -- I don't think it's 22 23 mandated, but occasionally. I mean, if it's 23 sabbatical was to engage in the research we 24 the article is within the editor's expertise, 24 have just been talking about including writing 25 he or she might just be a reviewer or at least 25 Exhibit 3? Page 55 Page 57 1 know where to send it to or at least suggest A. Well, what's the date on this? 1 who should review it. 2 '18? Does it mention it? Yeah, so you're 3 Q. Did you review papers that were 3 correct. submitted to Psych for publication while you 4 You were granted that sabbatical, Q. 5 were editor? 5 right? 6 A. Not all of them. I usually A. Yes. 6 deferred to the action editors, but I think I 7 Q. How long did you take? had to rubber stamp their decisions at the end. 8 A. It would have to be six months, Q. Did you review any other papers in 9 because if you go a year, they dock some of 10 which Jordan Lasker, John Fuerst, or Emil 10 your salary. 11 Kirkegaard were involved? 11 Q. What's the point of a sabbatical? 12 A. No. 12 Describe it in laymen's term what sabbaticals Q. All right. So now I want to take 13 13 are used for. 14 us -- let me ask before we move to that, you 14 A. I'm kind of a cynic. I think it's 15 took a sabbatical in the fall of 2019, right? 15 just a vacation for faculty. But theoretically A. '19? I thought I took it -- right. 16 16 you propose to either improve your teaching, 17 I took one right after getting tenure. Maybe 17 design a new course, or you could focus more on 18 I'm confusing it with that. I guess I did. I 18 research. 19 don't really remember. 19 Q. You get paid during the sabbatical, 20 O. It was --20 right? 21 MR. KELLY: Let me just caution the 21 A. Six months 100 percent. 22 witness if you don't know, don't speculate. 22 Q. But you're not eligible to do it 23 Just tell her you don't know or you're not 23 all the time. There is some limitation. Tell 24 certain or you need something else to remind 24 me, if you know, what is that? 25 you. 25 A. I think it's seven years which sort

| 1 | Page 58 | 1 | Page 60 |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------|---|
| | of makes sense if I took one in '11 and now I'm | 1 | department chair. I think the college PRC |
| 2 | applying again in '18. | 2 | committee approves it, but I'm going on my |
| 3 | Q. So it's my understanding that most | 3 | memory. |
| 4 | faculty members try to do a sabbatical because | 4 | Q. PRC? |
| 5 | of what you just described. It really does | 5 | A. Peer review committee. |
| 6 7 | sound like a great opportunity. | 6 | Q. Which would have been a group of |
| 8 | A. Right.Q. Did you have to make a report at | 8 | your colleagues, I assume? A. One from each department in the |
| 9 | any point about what happened with your | 9 | business college. |
| 10 | sabbatical? | 10 | Q. Does the dean take part? |
| 11 | A. Yes. I believe the semester you | 11 | A. I suspect he probably has to |
| 12 | come back or maybe the next year, I don't | 12 | approve the final, you know, sabbatical |
| 13 | remember, you have to submit what was | 13 | request, but I don't know. |
| 14 | accomplished to the department chair. | 14 | Q. Is Exhibit 4 roughly to your |
| 15 | Q. Did you do that? | 15 | knowledge generally what you what you're |
| 16 | A. To the best of my memory, yes. | 16 | required to what information you're required |
| 17 | Q. Did you describe then the paper you | 17 | to provide in order to get the sabbatical? |
| 18 | had published during that time frame? | 18 | A. You mean this whole packet? |
| 19 | A. Beyond just listing it, I don't | 19 | Q. Yes. |
| 20 | know that I would have described it. | 20 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | Q. Okay. So that would have meant if | 21 | Q. All right. Now I'd like to go to |
| 22 | you started in the fall and when you say six | 22 | Exhibit 5. |
| 23 | months, are we talking about | 23 | |
| 24 | A. A semester. | 24 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 5, |
| 25 | Q a semester or two semesters? | 25 | the Research Misconduct |
| | Page 59 | | Page 61 |
| 1 | A. Yeah. I'm sorry to interrupt you. | 1 | Investigation Final Report, was |
| 2 | A semester, one semester. | 2 | marked for purposes of |
| 3 | Q. A semester. So you would have been | 3 | identification.) |
| 4 | back to work by the spring of 2020; is that | 4 | |
| 5 | right? | 5 | Q. Dr. Pesta, I'm handing you what's |
| 6 | A. I've got to do the math on that. | 6 | been marked as Exhibit 5, which I understand is |
| 7 | Let's see. Yeah, that sounds right. | 7 | the final investigative report of the |
| 8 | Q. Did anybody say anything to you | 8 | investigation committee that concerned |
| 9 | that they had a problem with your proposal for | 9 | allegations of research misconduct involving |
| 10 | your sabbatical or that they didn't like the | 10 | you. Is that what you believe Exhibit 5 to be? |
| 11 | research that you were going to do in your | 11 | A. Correct. |
| 12 | sabbatical? | 12 | Q. And if we go through it, the first, |
| 13 | A. No. | 13 | there is a cover page, and then I'll start |
| 14 | Q. When you provided your report about | 14 | over again. The first ten pages is the report |
| 15 | the sabbatical and what you'd accomplished, did | | itself, correct? |
| 16 | anybody indicate to you that they were upset | 16 | A. Yes, but the first page is not |
| 17 | about it? | 17 | numbered, so it's probably nine. |
| 1 | A 3.7 | 18 | Q. Good point. And then beginning on |
| 18 | A. No. | | 4.4 11 . C |
| 18 19 | Q. Who was the dean at that time? | 19 | page 11 are a list of attachments to the |
| 18 19 20 | Q. Who was the dean at that time? Excuse me. Let me before you answer that | 19 20 | report, correct? |
| 18 19 20 21 | Q. Who was the dean at that time? Excuse me. Let me before you answer that question, how do you get a sabbatical? What do | 19 20 21 | report, correct? A. Correct. |
| 18 19 20 21 22 | Q. Who was the dean at that time? Excuse me. Let me before you answer that question, how do you get a sabbatical? What do you do? | 19 20 21 22 | report, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. Prior to the time that |
| 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q. Who was the dean at that time? Excuse me. Let me before you answer that question, how do you get a sabbatical? What do you do? A. So it's in the collective | 19 20 21 22 23 | report, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. Prior to the time that the investigative committee was convened, you |
| 18 19 20 21 22 | Q. Who was the dean at that time? Excuse me. Let me before you answer that question, how do you get a sabbatical? What do you do? | 19 20 21 22 | report, correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. Prior to the time that |

1 A. Yes, from Dr. Ward.

- 2 Q. Okay. And you received a copy of
- 3 the charge to the committee from Dr. Ward,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And if we look, the charge to the
- 7 committee is pages 12 through 15 of the
- attachments to the report, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And the individuals who were going
- 11 to serve on that committee were Christopher
- 12 Mallett, Conor McLennan, and Wendy Regoeczi,
- 13 and I may not have pronounced that properly.
- 14 A. Regoeczi.
- 15 Q. It's R-E-G-O-E-C-Z-I, and McLennan
- 16 is M-C-L-E-N-N-A-N, and Mallett is
- 17 M-A-L-L-E-T-T.
- 18 And the charge to the committee is
- 19 dated July 26th, 2021, correct?
- 20 A. What page are you on now?
- 21 Q. I'm on page 12.
- 22 A. July 26th, 2021, yes.
- Q. Just in your own words, what do you 23
- 24 understand was the impetus for convening the
- 25 committee?

Page 63

25

14

- 1 A. Yeah, so on 9-19-2019, I was
- 2 alerted, the NIH emailed me and CSU
- administrators saying we have got several
- 4 complaints about the Lasker paper, Exhibit 3,
- 5 and here is 20 allegations that you need to
- 6 defend. By the very next day, 9-20-2019, I had
- 7 a very detailed email explaining everything,
- and I was waiting for them to reply. It only
- 9 took them 615 days to reply to it, but that was
- 10 the impetus. That's what I believe.
- Q. Okay. So, as you understand it, 11
- 12 what prompted the convening of the
- 13 investigation committee was the NIH
- 14 communication that they were concerned
- 15 about --
- 16 A. Yeah, I don't have proof of that,
- 17 but, yes, that's correct.
- Q. All right. How many times did you 18 19 meet with the committee; do you remember?
- 20 A. Twice on Zoom. There is another
- 21 committee, too. The first investigative 22 committee twice on Zoom.
- 23 Q. You were represented by counsel
- 24 before the committee?
- 25 A. I think at the first meeting my

Page 62

- 1 prior attorney, Jay Carson, was present on
- 2 Zoom. I don't think he was there for the
- second one. That's my memory.
- 4 Q. But he could have been if he wanted 5 to?
- 6 A. I think he had a conflict or 7 something.
- 8 Q. Don't hear any of my questions as
- asking for communications between you and
- 10 Mr. Carson. Mr. Carson assisted you in
- communicating with the committee from time to 12 time?
- 13 A. One specific would be challenging
- 14 the expertise of the members, but that's the
- 15 only one, I think.
- Q. Asking for a delay of the 16
- committee's work? 17
- 18 A. Oh, he did do that, too. I just
- 19 didn't remember.
- 20 Q. That's okay. Anything else that
- 21 you can think of?
- 22 A. Delay, a stay of the investigation,
- that was one of them. Then appealing I guess
- or objecting to the committee composition. 24
 - Q. Describe for me, what was the
 - Page 65

Page 64

1 objection to the committee?

- 2 A. They are not experts. They have no
- publications in genetics or intelligence, and
- 4 they didn't even know what they were doing in
- my opinion. 6
- Q. Why was it important that they be 7 experts in genetics or intelligence?
- 8 A. Because the CSU policy says the
- 9 RIO, research integrity officer, must ensure
- 10 that the necessary expertise to evaluate this
- 11 case is present on the committee. It says it
- 12 in two places, but I don't have the policy
- 13 numbers memorized.
 - Q. Why would they need that expertise?
- 15 A. Because they are trying to evaluate
- 16 whether my research is I presume racist or
- 17 pseudoscience or appropriate.
- 18 Why did they have to consider that Q. 19 at all?
- 20 A. Well, it is highly technical stuff.
- 21 I mean, they didn't even understand it to the
 - extent they read it. I think that is unfair,
- 23 so I objected.
- 24 Q. You'll agree with me that the
- 25 nature of the violations, the potential

Page 68 1 violations that the committee was undertaking, 1 the allegations of research misconduct unless 2 concerned allegations of research misconduct, 2 they had an expert in those two areas? 3 3 right? A. According to CSU policy, yes. 4 4 Yes. Q. Where does it say that? A. 5 Q. Much of which had to do with your 5 A. I'd have to dig it up. It's 34. 6 communications, requests, et cetera, with the 6 The policy number is like 34. 7 NIH, right? 7 Q. What I heard you say is the policy 8 A. Correct. 8 says that the university is supposed to make 9 Why would they need to know sure that the people conducting the 10 anything about genetics for that purpose? 10 investigation have expertise in the area at A. How do you evaluate whether the 11 issue, right? 11 12 paper is no good or junk science? Can you 12 A. I don't know if that's verbatim, 13 repeat the question again? I want to make 13 but yes. 14 sure. 14 Q. So the area at issue is research 15 Q. Yes. You've indicated to me the 15 misconduct as described in the charge, right? 16 objection to there not being an expert on the 16 A. No. I mean, even the dates for 17 committee, and you told me that they didn't 17 when I reported or didn't report articles they 18 have expertise, I think you said, in genetics couldn't grasp I think because of lack of 19 or intelligence, and I assume you mean research 19 expertise, so I think it unfairly biased the 20 in those areas. 20 investigation. 21 21 A. Correct. Q. But you did not have an objection 22 My question to you is, I understand 22 that any of the committee members had conflicts 23 from the committee's report what they were 23 of interest or bias? MR. KELLY: Object to the form of 24 investigating is whether you engaged in 24 25 research misconduct much of which was in your 25 the question. When? You can answer. Page 67 Page 69 1 connection with NIH? 1 Q. You can answer. 2 MR. KELLY: Object to the form of 2 A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat it? 3 the question, ambiguous. You can answer. Q. You did not raise an objection that A. It just came out of my mind. Give 4 4 the committee members had a conflict of 5 me a second. 5 interest or were biased? Oh, because their lack of A. I don't remember the biased part. 6 6 expertise, and I think I can prove it later, 7 MR. KELLY: Same objection. 8 made them make several --8 A. I don't remember the biased part. 9 THE WITNESS: Don't say that? I'm 9 I did not make an objection to conflict of 10 sorry. 10 interest. 11 A. Make several -- made them make 11 Q. I think you said this before, but I 12 several mistakes, blatant mistakes, regarding 12 want to make sure it's right. The specific 13 my fate. 13 objection that you made was communicated to the 14 Q. What were they? 14 committee by Mr. Carson, correct? 15 A. Let me see. I have it. They 15 A. I think it was to Dr. Ward. I 16 didn't even know what dbGaP was first of all. 16 don't know if the committee saw it. There is 17 There is a comment where Christopher an email in -- I think it's in the binder maybe 18 Mallett -- did I get his first name right? 18 or maybe the final report that says exactly 19 Christopher Mallett like maybe a third of the 19 what you said. 20 way into my first interview, he's like, I have 20 Q. Okay. That forms the basis for the 21 no idea what research topic you are -- what 21 objection that was raised? 22 topic you research. There are others that I'm 22 A. Correct.

18 (Pages 66 - 69)

Q. Confirm for me, if you will, that

24 you were given several opportunities to -- in

25 addition to the two times you were interviewed

23 trying to recall. Oh, the IRB issue, too.

Q. So it's your view that the

25 committee wasn't going to be able to evaluate

24

23

Page 70 1 by the committee, that you were given several 2 opportunities to present written statements or supplements to the committee, right? 3 4 A. In some cases, yes; in some cases, 5 no.

- 6 Q. So let's go through quickly the 7 report. If you switch to page 26, that is an opening statement that you presented to the committee, right?
- 10 A. Correct.
- Q. And that preceded immediately your 11 12 interview, the first interview that you had,
- 13 right?

1

7

- 14 A. It was either the night before or 15 the same day of the interview that I sent this 16 in my memory.
- 17 Q. Okay. Did you request that the interview be via Zoom?
- 19 A. I think it was COVID era, so it had 20 to be, I think.
- 21 Q. And during that initial meeting, 22 you were offered the opportunity to make an 23 opening statement, right?
- 24 A. I sent the opening statement. I 25 don't know if there was an offer.

1 It had something from something Taylor,

2 Dr. Taylor at UCLA, and maybe other stuff. Oh,

Page 72

- the Lasker paper, too.
- 4 Q. And then subsequently you were
- provided additional information as the committee's investigation happened, right?
- 7 A. The only other thing I can think of
- 8 is their report.
 - O. How about the interviews?
- 10 A. Oh, yeah, for sure.

9

11

- Q. Each time there was an interview by
- 12 the committee, whether it was an interview of
- 13 you or an interview of somebody else, there was
- 14 a transcription of the --
- 15 A. Yeah, see, I didn't even get notice 16 of these interviews until after the fact, so I
- couldn't submit questions as the CSU policy
- says I should have been able to.
- 19 Q. Let's focus on the questions that I 20 ask. You were provided a transcription of the 21 interviews following the interviews, correct?
- 22 A. I need to be specific. I know I
- got, it's in here, the Bird interviews with
- those five people, whatever it was, and I know
- 25 I have the interview with Kent Taylor from UCLA

Page 71

- Q. When you were informed that the
- 2 investigation was going to happen and you were
- provided a copy of the charge, Dr. Ward
- 4 communicated with you and gave you access to a
- share file which contained the information that
- 6 had also been provided to the committee, right?
 - A. I think it was a PDF file. We
- called it the binder during the interviews, and
- it contained all the allegations there.
- 10 Q. And it was on line?
- 11 A. I don't think so. I think it was
- 12 emailed to me.
- 13 Q. You got it in print?
- 14 A. In your share file.
- 15 MR. KELLY: Object to the form of
- 16 the question.
- 17 A. Can we start over?
- 18 MR. KELLY: It's muddled.
- 19 Q. What were you provided by Dr. Ward
- 20 in connection with the materials provided to
- 21 the committee?
- 22 A. We called it informally the binder,
- 23 the investigative binder. It was maybe half
- 24 this size. It had all my dbGaP applications.
- 25 It had the complaint letter from Bird, et al.

- Page 73 1 and of course my two interviews. If there were
- 2 other interviews, I didn't get them.
- 3 Q. After you received those, did you
- review them to make sure they were correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you ever raise an objection of 6
- no, that's not what happened?
- 8 MR. KELLY: Objection to the
- 9 question, ambiguous. You can answer. Unless I
- 10 instruct you not to answer, you can always
- answer after my objection. Go ahead. 11
 - A. Sorry. You'll have to repeat it.
- 13 He knocked the question out of my head.
- 14 Q. After reading the transcriptions of
- your interviews with the committee, did you
- ever find that they were incorrect in any way?
- 17
 - A. I guess can you give me an example
- 18 of what incorrect means? I mean, there is
- 19 typos in here from the Zoom auto transcriber.
- 20 I honestly don't know how to answer that.
- 21 Q. Did you find that they were
- 22 substantively incorrect?
- MR. KELLY: Object to the form of 23
- 24 the question, ambiguous.
 - A. I think what happened -- because I

25

12

| | Page 74 | | Page 76 |
|----|---|----|---|
| 1 | saw the video, too. There's a link to it. So | 1 | and it's the handout that I have, one of them. |
| 2 | I watched the video and read the transcript. | 2 | The official date of publication, |
| 3 | What I said was pretty accurately laid on | 3 | the NIH director didn't know it. We had to |
| 4 | paper. | 4 | point it out to him. It would be that one |
| 5 | Q. All right. So the transcriptions | 5 | there (indicating). I think it's that one. Is |
| 6 | that we have are, as you say, pretty accurate | 6 | that it? |
| 7 | to what the video of the interviews said? | 7 | MR. KELLY: Do you want to mark |
| 8 | A. Yes. | 8 | that? |
| 9 | Q. Okay. If you turn to page 34, are | 9 | |
| 10 | you with me? | 10 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 6, a |
| 11 | A. Yes. | 11 | One-Page Document from a Zoom |
| 12 | Q. You're asked by Conor McLennan, "Do | | Interview Submitted by Dr. Pesta, |
| 13 | you believe your handling of the data set is | 13 | was marked for purposes of |
| 14 | controversial? Please, explain." | 14 | identification.) |
| 15 | And you said, "Absolutely not. I | 15 | |
| 16 | believe, like to the best of my ability, I | 16 | A. I don't think this is the one. I'm |
| 17 | tried to follow the NIH's policy and | 17 | sorry. No, this is the one. |
| 18 | guidelines. And when I reported stuff, I to | 18 | MS. GIFFEN: So, first of all, let |
| 19 | the best of my ability tried to report exactly | 19 | the record reflect Exhibit 6 is a document that |
| 20 | what they wanted. Nothing more, nothing less. | 20 | Dr. Pesta brought with him today to his |
| 21 | I did not share data with anyone not authorized | 21 | deposition. |
| 22 | to use it. I do believe that the data I the | 22 | Q. Dr. Pesta, what is Exhibit 6? |
| 23 | Lasker paper is inconsistent with the | 23 | A. Okay. It shows that so one of |
| 24 | applications I produced." | 24 | the things they said is you didn't report |
| 25 | A. You said inconsistent? | 25 | Lasker, the publication, when you should have, |
| | Page 75 | | Page 77 |
| 1 | Q. Excuse me. "Is consistent with the | 1 | and there was a semi lengthy debate about when |
| 2 | applications that I produced. And the one | 2 | it should be reported. This first paragraph |
| 3 | problem that everyone stuck on is it's the same | 3 | here illustrates an example of that. |
| 4 | data, TCP. I had three applications for the | 4 | So I think it was in my first |
| 5 | same data, but I was screwed up, and I reported | 5 | interview, Conor is like, You know, what's |
| 6 | the Lasker paper in the one application that | 6 | important for you to report is when it's |
| 7 | Ę , | 7 | accepted, and this is an example of how lack of |
| 8 | lot of the issues arise from that." | 8 | expertise hurt me. So according to Conor, his |
| 9 | What did that mean. | 9 | opinion, when the paper is accepted for |
| 10 | A. Okay. So | 10 | publication obligates you to report it. He's |
| 11 | MR. KELLY: Object to the form of | 11 | flatly wrong. There is the NIH policy |
| 12 | the question, ambiguous. Go ahead and answer. | | underneath it. |
| 13 | A. So at the time of the interview, | 13 | Q. Oh, I see, the official date of |
| 14 | this is what I concluded. After the interview | 14 | publication? |
| 15 | in preparing for this lawsuit, I did more | 15 | A. Yes, which appears on the journal |
| 16 | research, and I will just say that I did not | 16 | article. |
| 17 | violate NIH policies at all with one exception | 17 | Q. So the reference here that you're |
| 18 | we can get to whenever you want. | 18 | making is the when it should have been |
| 19 | Q. Okay. So how is it that you didn't | 19 | reported, right? |
| 20 | violate the policy with respect to the Lasker | 20 | A. And if, when and if. |
| 21 | paper as described on page 34 of the interview? | 21 | Q. This appears to me to be only about |
| 22 | A. So Deputy Director of NIH, Michael | 22 | when. How is it |
| 23 | Lauer, L-A-U-E-R, one of the things he accused | | A. It's really complicated. So every |
| 24 | us of is how we reported these articles or | 24 | year once you get approved for the data, you |
| 25 | didn't report them, but he's provably wrong, | 25 | can use it for one year, and then you've got to |

20 (Pages 74 - 77)

Page 80 1 either renew it if you want to continue using 1 A. I'm sorry. Lower case D, lower 2 it for another year or you have to close it out 2 case B, upper case G, lower case A, upper case 3 meaning I'm done with the research and I'm 3 P. 4 going to delete everything. 4 MR. KELLY: This is 7? 5 Whenever for the three applications 5 THE NOTARY: Yes. 6 I submitted -- in fact, this is the other 6 MR. KELLY: These are three 7 handout. I don't know if we should refer to it documents here. 8 now. So every time I submitted an application, 8 MS. GIFFEN: Yes. I want them all 9 and there were three, for the same TCP data 9 as one exhibit because it's too confusing to 10 set, three dates would be generated; the date I 10 have them separate. 11 submitted it to CSU, the date CSU approved it 11 Q. Can you confirm for me, Dr. Pesta, 12 and forwarded it to NIH, and then the date NIH that Exhibit 7 is your first request for the 12 13 approved it. 13 TCP data from NIH? 14 So even more complicated, when 14 A. Correct. 15 you're renewing an article -- or a data access 15 Q. Okay. And that was --16 and want to use this again for another year, MS. GIFFEN: I don't know, Fred, if 16 17 you have to report any officially published you want to -- we've got this if you want to 18 articles, and that's it. That's what we did. put it together (indicating). 19 When you're closing out an 19 MR. KELLY: I'm not going to touch 20 application, you have to report everything 20 it. 21 which includes preprints, conference 21 MS. GIFFEN: Thank you. Let me 22 presentations, and publications. 22 have the exhibit so we can do this. 23 Q. Let's look at something else. What 23 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. 24 was the data set that you were interested in 24 Q. So this is the first request that 25 you had made to the NIH for the TCP data? 25 acquiring? Page 79 Page 81 1 A. Okay. It's from dbGaP, database of 1 A. Correct. 2 phenotypes and genotypes, and a subset -- so it Q. This was the first request that you just houses a bunch of genetic data. The 3 had made at any time in your career for data 4 specific data we wanted was TCP, trajectories 4 from NIH, correct? of complex phenotypes. 5 A. I've used some publicly available Q. From here on out we're going to 6 NIH data for other publications. First 7 refer to that as TCP, okay? genetic, first restricted access data. 8 A. Okay. Yes. 8 Q. First restricted. So we should be Q. And there were three different clear that all of what we're talking about is 10 requests that you made to the NIH that involved 10 restricted access data, correct? 11 TCP data, correct? 11 A. I agree. 12 A. I think there was a fourth one, but 12 MR. KELLY: Object to the form of 13 it was after Lasker was published and I was 13 the question. 14 being investigated or during that time. 14 Q. This refers to the project name is 15 Sex Differences in Cortical Volume and G in a 15 Q. Let's hold off on that, and let's 16 Large Adolescent Sample, right? 16 focus on those first three. 17 17 A. Right. Q. The request date is noted as 18 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 7, 18 April 12th, 2018? 19 Project Request 18007, was marked 19 20 for purposes of identification.) A. So I had to reverify these things, 20 and I'm pretty sure the sex differences dates 21 22 MR. KELLY: If I may, you should 22 here for this application are all correct. 23 Some of the other ones aren't, but, yes, 23 probably spell that, dbGaP. 24 MS. GIFFEN: Hold on. Now you can 24 4-12-18. 25 begin. She wasn't at her machine yet. 25 You are what's called the principal

www.veritext.com

| 1 | Page 82 | 1 | Page 84 |
|--|---|--|---|
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | investigator, right? | | model data use certification agreement," right? |
| 2 | A. Correct. | 2 | A. Correct. |
| 3 | Q. And the principal investigator is a | 3 | Q. Sometimes called a DUC? |
| 4 | term of art used by NIH for purposes of making | 4 | A. They are the same thing, correct. |
| 5 | data requests, right? | 5 | Q. The DUC is attached to Exhibit 7 on |
| 6 | A. Yeah. I guess it's their | 6 | pages |
| 7 | terminology. | 7 | A. It renumbers. |
| 8 | Q. And let's also make clear that the | 8 | Q. It renumbers. You are exactly |
| 9 | application that you're making is on line, | 9 | right. |
| 10 | right? | 10 | A. One to seven. |
| 11 | A. Correct. | 11 | Q. All right. There is also I |
| 12 | Q. So you go to NIH. You use a | 12 | don't think there is on this one. |
| 13 | special ID number I suspect? | 13 | A. The addendum? |
| 14 | A. I think it's just a user. It was | 14 | Q. Oh, there is. |
| 15 | my name, Bryan Pesta, no spaces. | 15 | A. Okay. |
| 16 | Q. And so then you log in, right? | 16 | Q. There is also an addendum to the |
| 17 | A. Uh-huh. | 17 | data use certification agreement. Do you see |
| 18 | Q. And you then fill in the project | 18 | that? |
| 19 | request? | 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | A. Correct. | 20 | Q. Okay. And in those you agree to |
| 21 | Q. And there are forms that come up | 21 | comply with both what's in the DUC as well as |
| 22 | that you then fill in? | 22 | the NIH security best practices for |
| 23 | A. Correct. | 23 | controlled-access data? |
| 24 | Q. And what we're looking at in | 24 | A. Correct. |
| 25 | Exhibit 7 is the physical printout of those | 25 | |
| | | | |
| | Page 83 | | Page 85 |
| 1 | Page 83 questions that you answered as part of the | 1 | Page 85 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 8, a |
| 1 2 | | 1 2 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | questions that you answered as part of the | l | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 8, a |
| 2 | questions that you answered as part of the request; is that right? | 2 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 8, a Document Entitled NIH Security Best |
| 3 | questions that you answered as part of the request; is that right? A. The on-line | 2 3 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 8, a Document Entitled NIH Security Best Practices for Controlled-Access Data |
| 2 3 4 | questions that you answered as part of the request; is that right? A. The on-line MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question, ambiguous. | 2 3 4 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 8, a Document Entitled NIH Security Best Practices for Controlled-Access Data Subject to the NIH Genomic Data |
| 2 3 4 5 | questions that you answered as part of the request; is that right? A. The on-line MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question, ambiguous. A. The on-line, you know, it was like | 2 3 4 5 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 8, a Document Entitled NIH Security Best Practices for Controlled-Access Data Subject to the NIH Genomic Data Sharing Policy, was marked for |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | questions that you answered as part of the request; is that right? A. The on-line MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question, ambiguous. A. The on-line, you know, it was like you're filling out a form, and you don't see | 2 3 4 5 6 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 8, a Document Entitled NIH Security Best Practices for Controlled-Access Data Subject to the NIH Genomic Data Sharing Policy, was marked for purposes of identification.) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | questions that you answered as part of the request; is that right? A. The on-line MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question, ambiguous. A. The on-line, you know, it was like | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 8, a Document Entitled NIH Security Best Practices for Controlled-Access Data Subject to the NIH Genomic Data Sharing Policy, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. This, Exhibit 8, is a copy of those |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | questions that you answered as part of the request; is that right? A. The on-line MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question, ambiguous. A. The on-line, you know, it was like you're filling out a form, and you don't see like the bubbles, but, yes, this is, I agree. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 8, a Document Entitled NIH Security Best Practices for Controlled-Access Data Subject to the NIH Genomic Data Sharing Policy, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. This, Exhibit 8, is a copy of those |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | questions that you answered as part of the request; is that right? A. The on-line MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question, ambiguous. A. The on-line, you know, it was like you're filling out a form, and you don't see like the bubbles, but, yes, this is, I agree. Q. And on the second page of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 8, a Document Entitled NIH Security Best Practices for Controlled-Access Data Subject to the NIH Genomic Data Sharing Policy, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. This, Exhibit 8, is a copy of those best practices I just referred to, right? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | questions that you answered as part of the request; is that right? A. The on-line MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question, ambiguous. A. The on-line, you know, it was like you're filling out a form, and you don't see like the bubbles, but, yes, this is, I agree. Q. And on the second page of Exhibit 7, you write, and this is the use | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 8, a Document Entitled NIH Security Best Practices for Controlled-Access Data Subject to the NIH Genomic Data Sharing Policy, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. This, Exhibit 8, is a copy of those best practices I just referred to, right? A. I could have swore that our DUC was |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | questions that you answered as part of the request; is that right? A. The on-line MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question, ambiguous. A. The on-line, you know, it was like you're filling out a form, and you don't see like the bubbles, but, yes, this is, I agree. Q. And on the second page of Exhibit 7, you write, and this is the use statement for the data, right? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 8, a Document Entitled NIH Security Best Practices for Controlled-Access Data Subject to the NIH Genomic Data Sharing Policy, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. This, Exhibit 8, is a copy of those best practices I just referred to, right? A. I could have swore that our DUC was from October of 2012, the original when we so I think this is newer. I don't know |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | questions that you answered as part of the request; is that right? A. The on-line MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question, ambiguous. A. The on-line, you know, it was like you're filling out a form, and you don't see like the bubbles, but, yes, this is, I agree. Q. And on the second page of Exhibit 7, you write, and this is the use statement for the data, right? A. Correct. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 8, a Document Entitled NIH Security Best Practices for Controlled-Access Data Subject to the NIH Genomic Data Sharing Policy, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. This, Exhibit 8, is a copy of those best practices I just referred to, right? A. I could have swore that our DUC was from October of 2012, the original when we so I think this is newer. I don't know if there is any changes, but |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | questions that you answered as part of the request; is that right? A. The on-line MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question, ambiguous. A. The on-line, you know, it was like you're filling out a form, and you don't see like the bubbles, but, yes, this is, I agree. Q. And on the second page of Exhibit 7, you write, and this is the use statement for the data, right? A. Correct. Q. Therein there is a non-technical | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 8, a Document Entitled NIH Security Best Practices for Controlled-Access Data Subject to the NIH Genomic Data Sharing Policy, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. This, Exhibit 8, is a copy of those best practices I just referred to, right? A. I could have swore that our DUC was from October of 2012, the original when we so I think this is newer. I don't know if there is any changes, but Q. Well, if this was updated March of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | questions that you answered as part of the request; is that right? A. The on-line MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question, ambiguous. A. The on-line, you know, it was like you're filling out a form, and you don't see like the bubbles, but, yes, this is, I agree. Q. And on the second page of Exhibit 7, you write, and this is the use statement for the data, right? A. Correct. Q. Therein there is a non-technical study? A. Summary. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 8, a Document Entitled NIH Security Best Practices for Controlled-Access Data Subject to the NIH Genomic Data Sharing Policy, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. This, Exhibit 8, is a copy of those best practices I just referred to, right? A. I could have swore that our DUC was from October of 2012, the original when we so I think this is newer. I don't know if there is any changes, but Q. Well, if this was updated March of 2015 and your request was 2018? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | questions that you answered as part of the request; is that right? A. The on-line MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question, ambiguous. A. The on-line, you know, it was like you're filling out a form, and you don't see like the bubbles, but, yes, this is, I agree. Q. And on the second page of Exhibit 7, you write, and this is the use statement for the data, right? A. Correct. Q. Therein there is a non-technical study? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 8, a Document Entitled NIH Security Best Practices for Controlled-Access Data Subject to the NIH Genomic Data Sharing Policy, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. This, Exhibit 8, is a copy of those best practices I just referred to, right? A. I could have swore that our DUC was from October of 2012, the original when we so I think this is newer. I don't know if there is any changes, but Q. Well, if this was updated March of 2015 and your request was 2018? A. Yeah. So when I printed them |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | questions that you answered as part of the request; is that right? A. The on-line MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question, ambiguous. A. The on-line, you know, it was like you're filling out a form, and you don't see like the bubbles, but, yes, this is, I agree. Q. And on the second page of Exhibit 7, you write, and this is the use statement for the data, right? A. Correct. Q. Therein there is a non-technical study? A. Summary. Q. Non-technical summary, right? A. Correct. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 8, a Document Entitled NIH Security Best Practices for Controlled-Access Data Subject to the NIH Genomic Data Sharing Policy, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. This, Exhibit 8, is a copy of those best practices I just referred to, right? A. I could have swore that our DUC was from October of 2012, the original when we so I think this is newer. I don't know if there is any changes, but Q. Well, if this was updated March of 2015 and your request was 2018? A. Yeah. So when I printed them out I don't have them it said, I'm |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | questions that you answered as part of the request; is that right? A. The on-line MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question, ambiguous. A. The on-line, you know, it was like you're filling out a form, and you don't see like the bubbles, but, yes, this is, I agree. Q. And on the second page of Exhibit 7, you write, and this is the use statement for the data, right? A. Correct. Q. Therein there is a non-technical study? A. Summary. Q. Non-technical summary, right? A. Correct. Q. And in the form it says that use of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 8, a Document Entitled NIH Security Best Practices for Controlled-Access Data Subject to the NIH Genomic Data Sharing Policy, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. This, Exhibit 8, is a copy of those best practices I just referred to, right? A. I could have swore that our DUC was from October of 2012, the original when we so I think this is newer. I don't know if there is any changes, but Q. Well, if this was updated March of 2015 and your request was 2018? A. Yeah. So when I printed them out I don't have them it said, I'm positive on this, I think it said October. Now |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | questions that you answered as part of the request; is that right? A. The on-line MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question, ambiguous. A. The on-line, you know, it was like you're filling out a form, and you don't see like the bubbles, but, yes, this is, I agree. Q. And on the second page of Exhibit 7, you write, and this is the use statement for the data, right? A. Correct. Q. Therein there is a non-technical study? A. Summary. Q. Non-technical summary, right? A. Correct. Q. And in the form it says that use of the data | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 8, a Document Entitled NIH Security Best Practices for Controlled-Access Data Subject to the NIH Genomic Data Sharing Policy, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. This, Exhibit 8, is a copy of those best practices I just referred to, right? A. I could have swore that our DUC was from October of 2012, the original when we so I think this is newer. I don't know if there is any changes, but Q. Well, if this was updated March of 2015 and your request was 2018? A. Yeah. So when I printed them out I don't have them it said, I'm positive on this, I think it said October. Now I'm blocking on the date, but it was a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | questions that you answered as part of the request; is that right? A. The on-line MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question, ambiguous. A. The on-line, you know, it was like you're filling out a form, and you don't see like the bubbles, but, yes, this is, I agree. Q. And on the second page of Exhibit 7, you write, and this is the use statement for the data, right? A. Correct. Q. Therein there is a non-technical study? A. Summary. Q. Non-technical summary, right? A. Correct. Q. And in the form it says that use of the data A. What page are you on? I'm sorry. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 8, a Document Entitled NIH Security Best Practices for Controlled-Access Data Subject to the NIH Genomic Data Sharing Policy, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. This, Exhibit 8, is a copy of those best practices I just referred to, right? A. I could have swore that our DUC was from October of 2012, the original when we so I think this is newer. I don't know if there is any changes, but Q. Well, if this was updated March of 2015 and your request was 2018? A. Yeah. So when I printed them out I don't have them it said, I'm positive on this, I think it said October. Now I'm blocking on the date, but it was a different date. Yeah, October 12th, 2012. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | questions that you answered as part of the request; is that right? A. The on-line MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question, ambiguous. A. The on-line, you know, it was like you're filling out a form, and you don't see like the bubbles, but, yes, this is, I agree. Q. And on the second page of Exhibit 7, you write, and this is the use statement for the data, right? A. Correct. Q. Therein there is a non-technical study? A. Summary. Q. Non-technical summary, right? A. Correct. Q. And in the form it says that use of the data A. What page are you on? I'm sorry. Q. I think it's actually the third | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 8, a Document Entitled NIH Security Best Practices for Controlled-Access Data Subject to the NIH Genomic Data Sharing Policy, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. This, Exhibit 8, is a copy of those best practices I just referred to, right? A. I could have swore that our DUC was from October of 2012, the original when we so I think this is newer. I don't know if there is any changes, but Q. Well, if this was updated March of 2015 and your request was 2018? A. Yeah. So when I printed them out I don't have them it said, I'm positive on this, I think it said October. Now I'm blocking on the date, but it was a different date. Yeah, October 12th, 2012. Q. Which was what? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | questions that you answered as part of the request; is that right? A. The on-line MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question, ambiguous. A. The on-line, you know, it was like you're filling out a form, and you don't see like the bubbles, but, yes, this is, I agree. Q. And on the second page of Exhibit 7, you write, and this is the use statement for the data, right? A. Correct. Q. Therein there is a non-technical study? A. Summary. Q. Non-technical summary, right? A. Correct. Q. And in the form it says that use of the data A. What page are you on? I'm sorry. Q. I think it's actually the third page. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 8, a Document Entitled NIH Security Best Practices for Controlled-Access Data Subject to the NIH Genomic Data Sharing Policy, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. This, Exhibit 8, is a copy of those best practices I just referred to, right? A. I could have swore that our DUC was from October of 2012, the original when we so I think this is newer. I don't know if there is any changes, but Q. Well, if this was updated March of 2015 and your request was 2018? A. Yeah. So when I printed them out I don't have them it said, I'm positive on this, I think it said October. Now I'm blocking on the date, but it was a different date. Yeah, October 12th, 2012. Q. Which was what? A. You see where it says updated on |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | questions that you answered as part of the request; is that right? A. The on-line MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question, ambiguous. A. The on-line, you know, it was like you're filling out a form, and you don't see like the bubbles, but, yes, this is, I agree. Q. And on the second page of Exhibit 7, you write, and this is the use statement for the data, right? A. Correct. Q. Therein there is a non-technical study? A. Summary. Q. Non-technical summary, right? A. Correct. Q. And in the form it says that use of the data A. What page are you on? I'm sorry. Q. I think it's actually the third page. A. Okay. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 8, a Document Entitled NIH Security Best Practices for Controlled-Access Data Subject to the NIH Genomic Data Sharing Policy, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. This, Exhibit 8, is a copy of those best practices I just referred to, right? A. I could have swore that our DUC was from October of 2012, the original when we so I think this is newer. I don't know if there is any changes, but Q. Well, if this was updated March of 2015 and your request was 2018? A. Yeah. So when I printed them out I don't have them it said, I'm positive on this, I think it said October. Now I'm blocking on the date, but it was a different date. Yeah, October 12th, 2012. Q. Which was what? A. You see where it says updated on Exhibit 8, updated March 9th? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | questions that you answered as part of the request; is that right? A. The on-line MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question, ambiguous. A. The on-line, you know, it was like you're filling out a form, and you don't see like the bubbles, but, yes, this is, I agree. Q. And on the second page of Exhibit 7, you write, and this is the use statement for the data, right? A. Correct. Q. Therein there is a non-technical study? A. Summary. Q. Non-technical summary, right? A. Correct. Q. And in the form it says that use of the data A. What page are you on? I'm sorry. Q. I think it's actually the third page. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 8, a Document Entitled NIH Security Best Practices for Controlled-Access Data Subject to the NIH Genomic Data Sharing Policy, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. This, Exhibit 8, is a copy of those best practices I just referred to, right? A. I could have swore that our DUC was from October of 2012, the original when we so I think this is newer. I don't know if there is any changes, but Q. Well, if this was updated March of 2015 and your request was 2018? A. Yeah. So when I printed them out I don't have them it said, I'm positive on this, I think it said October. Now I'm blocking on the date, but it was a different date. Yeah, October 12th, 2012. Q. Which was what? A. You see where it says updated on |

| 1 | Page 86 | 1 | Page 88 |
|--|--|---|---|
| | updated in 2012. I don't know that there is | | refresher double-sided thing that I gave you |
| 2 | differences between the two. | 2 | guys. |
| 3 | Q. Okay. Wait a second. Hold on. So | 3 | /The man of Demonition February 10 - |
| 4 | let me refer you to the DUC which is contained | 4 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 10, a |
| 5 | in Exhibit 7. | 5 | Timeline Regarding BJP's Data Access |
| 6 | A. Okay. What page? | 6 | Requests, was marked for purposes of |
| 7 | Q. It's where it's renumbered. It's the model data use certification agreement. | 7 8 | identification.) |
| 8 9 | A. Got it. | 9 | Q. I'm sorry. We're now on |
| 10 | Q. That's dated October 12th, 2012, | 10 | Q. I'm sorry. We're now on Exhibit 10. First of all, Dr. Pesta, what is |
| 11 | right? | 11 | Exhibit 10? |
| 12 | A. Yeah. So maybe my memory confused | | A. It shows a detailed timeline of |
| 13 | the two. I agree. | 13 | events, when I should have reported what, when |
| 14 | Q. But the DUC agreement refers to the | 14 | I shouldn't have reported, and footnotes of |
| 15 | best practices for controlled-access policy, | 15 | explanations on the back. |
| 16 | right? | 16 | Q. You prepared this? |
| 17 | A. Correct. Which is the March 9th. | 17 | A. I did. |
| 18 | Q. Yeah okay. So are we in agreement | 18 | Q. When did you prepare this? |
| 19 | that those were the two things that applied to | 19 | A. Believe it or not, this table took |
| 20 | the request for information from NIH? | 20 | me 100 hours to create, just this double-sided |
| 21 | A. Yes. | 21 | thing, so it took months. I probably had it |
| 22 | Q. I understand that when you get an | 22 | finished maybe two months ago. I don't |
| 23 | approval to have access to the data like TCP, | 23 | remember. |
| 24 | you have it for one year? | 24 | Q. And what did you do with this? |
| 25 | A. Correct. | 25 | A. So I wanted to confirm that what |
| | D 07 | | |
| | Page 87 | | Page 89 |
| 1 | Q. You have to either get a renewal at | 1 | Page 89 you have there as Exhibit 9 and 7, the dates |
| 1 2 | | 1 2 | 9 |
| 1 | Q. You have to either get a renewal at | _ | you have there as Exhibit 9 and 7, the dates |
| 2 | Q. You have to either get a renewal at that point or you have to close it out? | _ | you have there as Exhibit 9 and 7, the dates are accurate because I noticed NIH will throw |
| 2 3 | Q. You have to either get a renewal at that point or you have to close it out?A. Correct. | 3 | you have there as Exhibit 9 and 7, the dates are accurate because I noticed NIH will throw in weird dates that make no sense. So I went |
| 2 3 4 | Q. You have to either get a renewal at that point or you have to close it out?A. Correct.Q. And so, and I think this is what | 3 4 | you have there as Exhibit 9 and 7, the dates are accurate because I noticed NIH will throw in weird dates that make no sense. So I went back to my NIH account on line, downloaded the |
| 2 3 4 5 | Q. You have to either get a renewal at that point or you have to close it out? A. Correct. Q. And so, and I think this is what you were referring to earlier in terms of | 3 4 5 | you have there as Exhibit 9 and 7, the dates are accurate because I noticed NIH will throw in weird dates that make no sense. So I went back to my NIH account on line, downloaded the applications again, and just made doubly sure |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | Q. You have to either get a renewal at that point or you have to close it out? A. Correct. Q. And so, and I think this is what you were referring to earlier in terms of noting whether papers had been published? | 3 4 5 6 7 | you have there as Exhibit 9 and 7, the dates are accurate because I noticed NIH will throw in weird dates that make no sense. So I went back to my NIH account on line, downloaded the applications again, and just made doubly sure that these dates are correct. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q. You have to either get a renewal at that point or you have to close it out? A. Correct. Q. And so, and I think this is what you were referring to earlier in terms of noting whether papers had been published? A. Yeah, the when or if, remember that? Q. Yeah. But so then the renewal | 3 4 5 6 7 | you have there as Exhibit 9 and 7, the dates are accurate because I noticed NIH will throw in weird dates that make no sense. So I went back to my NIH account on line, downloaded the applications again, and just made doubly sure that these dates are correct. Q. Okay. So this is a compilation that you made of the data that A. Of the applications that I got off |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q. You have to either get a renewal at that point or you have to close it out? A. Correct. Q. And so, and I think this is what you were referring to earlier in terms of noting whether papers had been published? A. Yeah, the when or if, remember that? Q. Yeah. But so then the renewal dates become important, right? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | you have there as Exhibit 9 and 7, the dates are accurate because I noticed NIH will throw in weird dates that make no sense. So I went back to my NIH account on line, downloaded the applications again, and just made doubly sure that these dates are correct. Q. Okay. So this is a compilation that you made of the data that A. Of the applications that I got off the dbGaP website. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Q. You have to either get a renewal at that point or you have to close it out? A. Correct. Q. And so, and I think this is what you were referring to earlier in terms of noting whether papers had been published? A. Yeah, the when or if, remember that? Q. Yeah. But so then the renewal | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | you have there as Exhibit 9 and 7, the dates are accurate because I noticed NIH will throw in weird dates that make no sense. So I went back to my NIH account on line, downloaded the applications again, and just made doubly sure that these dates are correct. Q. Okay. So this is a compilation that you made of the data that A. Of the applications that I got off the dbGaP website. Q. Okay. Let's see. We'll go back to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Q. You have to either get a renewal at that point or you have to close it out? A. Correct. Q. And so, and I think this is what you were referring to earlier in terms of noting whether papers had been published? A. Yeah, the when or if, remember that? Q. Yeah. But so then the renewal dates become important, right? A. Plus the closeout dates. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | you have there as Exhibit 9 and 7, the dates are accurate because I noticed NIH will throw in weird dates that make no sense. So I went back to my NIH account on line, downloaded the applications again, and just made doubly sure that these dates are correct. Q. Okay. So this is a compilation that you made of the data that A. Of the applications that I got off the dbGaP website. Q. Okay. Let's see. We'll go back to Exhibit 7 which is the sex differences paper. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q. You have to either get a renewal at that point or you have to close it out? A. Correct. Q. And so, and I think this is what you were referring to earlier in terms of noting whether papers had been published? A. Yeah, the when or if, remember that? Q. Yeah. But so then the renewal dates become important, right? A. Plus the closeout dates. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | you have there as Exhibit 9 and 7, the dates are accurate because I noticed NIH will throw in weird dates that make no sense. So I went back to my NIH account on line, downloaded the applications again, and just made doubly sure that these dates are correct. Q. Okay. So this is a compilation that you made of the data that A. Of the applications that I got off the dbGaP website. Q. Okay. Let's see. We'll go back to Exhibit 7 which is the sex differences paper. The project ID No. Is 18007, and it says the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q. You have to either get a renewal at that point or you have to close it out? A. Correct. Q. And so, and I think this is what you were referring to earlier in terms of noting whether papers had been published? A. Yeah, the when or if, remember that? Q. Yeah. But so then the renewal dates become important, right? A. Plus the closeout dates. ————— (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 9, Project Request No. 19090, was | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | you have there as Exhibit 9 and 7, the dates are accurate because I noticed NIH will throw in weird dates that make no sense. So I went back to my NIH account on line, downloaded the applications again, and just made doubly sure that these dates are correct. Q. Okay. So this is a compilation that you made of the data that A. Of the applications that I got off the dbGaP website. Q. Okay. Let's see. We'll go back to Exhibit 7 which is the sex differences paper. The project ID No. Is 18007, and it says the request date was April 12th, 2018, right? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q. You have to either get a renewal at that point or you have to close it out? A. Correct. Q. And so, and I think this is what you were referring to earlier in terms of noting whether papers had been published? A. Yeah, the when or if, remember that? Q. Yeah. But so then the renewal dates become important, right? A. Plus the closeout dates. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 9, Project Request No. 19090, was marked for purposes of | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | you have there as Exhibit 9 and 7, the dates are accurate because I noticed NIH will throw in weird dates that make no sense. So I went back to my NIH account on line, downloaded the applications again, and just made doubly sure that these dates are correct. Q. Okay. So this is a compilation that you made of the data that A. Of the applications that I got off the dbGaP website. Q. Okay. Let's see. We'll go back to Exhibit 7 which is the sex differences paper. The project ID No. Is 18007, and it says the request date was April 12th, 2018, right? A. Which is consistent with the CSU |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q. You have to either get a renewal at that point or you have to close it out? A. Correct. Q. And so, and I think this is what you were referring to earlier in terms of noting whether papers had been published? A. Yeah, the when or if, remember that? Q. Yeah. But so then the renewal dates become important, right? A. Plus the closeout dates. ————— (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 9, Project Request No. 19090, was | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | you have there as Exhibit 9 and 7, the dates are accurate because I noticed NIH will throw in weird dates that make no sense. So I went back to my NIH account on line, downloaded the applications again, and just made doubly sure that these dates are correct. Q. Okay. So this is a compilation that you made of the data that A. Of the applications that I got off the dbGaP website. Q. Okay. Let's see. We'll go back to Exhibit 7 which is the sex differences paper. The project ID No. Is 18007, and it says the request date was April 12th, 2018, right? A. Which is consistent with the CSU Exhibit 7. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q. You have to either get a renewal at that point or you have to close it out? A. Correct. Q. And so, and I think this is what you were referring to earlier in terms of noting whether papers had been published? A. Yeah, the when or if, remember that? Q. Yeah. But so then the renewal dates become important, right? A. Plus the closeout dates. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 9, Project Request No. 19090, was marked for purposes of identification.) | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | you have there as Exhibit 9 and 7, the dates are accurate because I noticed NIH will throw in weird dates that make no sense. So I went back to my NIH account on line, downloaded the applications again, and just made doubly sure that these dates are correct. Q. Okay. So this is a compilation that you made of the data that A. Of the applications that I got off the dbGaP website. Q. Okay. Let's see. We'll go back to Exhibit 7 which is the sex differences paper. The project ID No. Is 18007, and it says the request date was April 12th, 2018, right? A. Which is consistent with the CSU Exhibit 7. Q. Okay. Now let's look at Exhibit 9 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q. You have to either get a renewal at that point or you have to close it out? A. Correct. Q. And so, and I think this is what you were referring to earlier in terms of noting whether papers had been published? A. Yeah, the when or if, remember that? Q. Yeah. But so then the renewal dates become important, right? A. Plus the closeout dates. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 9, Project Request No. 19090, was marked for purposes of identification.) A. Okay. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | you have there as Exhibit 9 and 7, the dates are accurate because I noticed NIH will throw in weird dates that make no sense. So I went back to my NIH account on line, downloaded the applications again, and just made doubly sure that these dates are correct. Q. Okay. So this is a compilation that you made of the data that A. Of the applications that I got off the dbGaP website. Q. Okay. Let's see. We'll go back to Exhibit 7 which is the sex differences paper. The project ID No. Is 18007, and it says the request date was April 12th, 2018, right? A. Which is consistent with the CSU Exhibit 7. Q. Okay. Now let's look at Exhibit 9 which is the 19090 application. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Q. You have to either get a renewal at that point or you have to close it out? A. Correct. Q. And so, and I think this is what you were referring to earlier in terms of noting whether papers had been published? A. Yeah, the when or if, remember that? Q. Yeah. But so then the renewal dates become important, right? A. Plus the closeout dates. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 9, Project Request No. 19090, was marked for purposes of identification.) A. Okay. Q. Handing you what's been marked as | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | you have there as Exhibit 9 and 7, the dates are accurate because I noticed NIH will throw in weird dates that make no sense. So I went back to my NIH account on line, downloaded the applications again, and just made doubly sure that these dates are correct. Q. Okay. So this is a compilation that you made of the data that A. Of the applications that I got off the dbGaP website. Q. Okay. Let's see. We'll go back to Exhibit 7 which is the sex differences paper. The project ID No. Is 18007, and it says the request date was April 12th, 2018, right? A. Which is consistent with the CSU Exhibit 7. Q. Okay. Now let's look at Exhibit 9 which is the 19090 application. A. Okay. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q. You have to either get a renewal at that point or you have to close it out? A. Correct. Q. And so, and I think this is what you were referring to earlier in terms of noting whether papers had been published? A. Yeah, the when or if, remember that? Q. Yeah. But so then the renewal dates become important, right? A. Plus the closeout dates. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 9, Project Request No. 19090, was marked for purposes of identification.) A. Okay. Q. Handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 9, can you confirm for me that this is | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | you have there as Exhibit 9 and 7, the dates are accurate because I noticed NIH will throw in weird dates that make no sense. So I went back to my NIH account on line, downloaded the applications again, and just made doubly sure that these dates are correct. Q. Okay. So this is a compilation that you made of the data that A. Of the applications that I got off the dbGaP website. Q. Okay. Let's see. We'll go back to Exhibit 7 which is the sex differences paper. The project ID No. Is 18007, and it says the request date was April 12th, 2018, right? A. Which is consistent with the CSU Exhibit 7. Q. Okay. Now let's look at Exhibit 9 which is the 19090 application. A. Okay. Q. And it says it was applied for on |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q. You have to either get a renewal at that point or you have to close it out? A. Correct. Q. And so, and I think this is what you were referring to earlier in terms of noting whether papers had been published? A. Yeah, the when or if, remember that? Q. Yeah. But so then the renewal dates become important, right? A. Plus the closeout dates. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 9, Project Request No. 19090, was marked for purposes of identification.) A. Okay. Q. Handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 9, can you confirm for me that this is the second request you made for the TCP data? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | you have there as Exhibit 9 and 7, the dates are accurate because I noticed NIH will throw in weird dates that make no sense. So I went back to my NIH account on line, downloaded the applications again, and just made doubly sure that these dates are correct. Q. Okay. So this is a compilation that you made of the data that A. Of the applications that I got off the dbGaP website. Q. Okay. Let's see. We'll go back to Exhibit 7 which is the sex differences paper. The project ID No. Is 18007, and it says the request date was April 12th, 2018, right? A. Which is consistent with the CSU Exhibit 7. Q. Okay. Now let's look at Exhibit 9 which is the 19090 application. A. Okay. Q. And it says it was applied for on July 15th, 2018? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q. You have to either get a renewal at that point or you have to close it out? A. Correct. Q. And so, and I think this is what you were referring to earlier in terms of noting whether papers had been published? A. Yeah, the when or if, remember that? Q. Yeah. But so then the renewal dates become important, right? A. Plus the closeout dates. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 9, Project Request No. 19090, was marked for purposes of identification.) A. Okay. Q. Handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 9, can you confirm for me that this is the second request you made for the TCP data? A. Yes. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | you have there as Exhibit 9 and 7, the dates are accurate because I noticed NIH will throw in weird dates that make no sense. So I went back to my NIH account on line, downloaded the applications again, and just made doubly sure that these dates are correct. Q. Okay. So this is a compilation that you made of the data that A. Of the applications that I got off the dbGaP website. Q. Okay. Let's see. We'll go back to Exhibit 7 which is the sex differences paper. The project ID No. Is 18007, and it says the request date was April 12th, 2018, right? A. Which is consistent with the CSU Exhibit 7. Q. Okay. Now let's look at Exhibit 9 which is the 19090 application. A. Okay. Q. And it says it was applied for on July 15th, 2018? A. Correct. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q. You have to either get a renewal at that point or you have to close it out? A. Correct. Q. And so, and I think this is what you were referring to earlier in terms of noting whether papers had been published? A. Yeah, the when or if, remember that? Q. Yeah. But so then the renewal dates become important, right? A. Plus the closeout dates. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 9, Project Request No. 19090, was marked for purposes of identification.) A. Okay. Q. Handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 9, can you confirm for me that this is the second request you made for the TCP data? A. Yes. Q. And it appears that that request | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | you have there as Exhibit 9 and 7, the dates are accurate because I noticed NIH will throw in weird dates that make no sense. So I went back to my NIH account on line, downloaded the applications again, and just made doubly sure that these dates are correct. Q. Okay. So this is a compilation that you made of the data that A. Of the applications that I got off the dbGaP website. Q. Okay. Let's see. We'll go back to Exhibit 7 which is the sex differences paper. The project ID No. Is 18007, and it says the request date was April 12th, 2018, right? A. Which is consistent with the CSU Exhibit 7. Q. Okay. Now let's look at Exhibit 9 which is the 19090 application. A. Okay. Q. And it says it was applied for on July 15th, 2018? A. Correct. Q. And your table shows it was applied |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q. You have to either get a renewal at that point or you have to close it out? A. Correct. Q. And so, and I think this is what you were referring to earlier in terms of noting whether papers had been published? A. Yeah, the when or if, remember that? Q. Yeah. But so then the renewal dates become important, right? A. Plus the closeout dates. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 9, Project Request No. 19090, was marked for purposes of identification.) A. Okay. Q. Handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 9, can you confirm for me that this is the second request you made for the TCP data? A. Yes. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | you have there as Exhibit 9 and 7, the dates are accurate because I noticed NIH will throw in weird dates that make no sense. So I went back to my NIH account on line, downloaded the applications again, and just made doubly sure that these dates are correct. Q. Okay. So this is a compilation that you made of the data that A. Of the applications that I got off the dbGaP website. Q. Okay. Let's see. We'll go back to Exhibit 7 which is the sex differences paper. The project ID No. Is 18007, and it says the request date was April 12th, 2018, right? A. Which is consistent with the CSU Exhibit 7. Q. Okay. Now let's look at Exhibit 9 which is the 19090 application. A. Okay. Q. And it says it was applied for on July 15th, 2018? A. Correct. |

Page 90 1 Q. Okay. So that appears to be the 1 Exhibit 9, not Exhibit 7, so I say yes to your 2 same, right? 2 question. A. Yes. 3 3 Q. So this is going to be challenging, 4 Okay. So now let's examine so I'd like to agree on some nomenclature. 5 Exhibit 9 a little more carefully. This is to 5 A. Sure. collect the TCP data again, correct? 6 Q. So when we talk about the request involving sex differences, we're talking about 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. And you note here on page 2 of the project ID 18007? 8 9 request, "Note, I've already received access to 9 A. Correct. 10 these data (18007)" which is the reference to 10 Q. And when we talk about the request 11 the sex differences request, correct? 11 involving the admixture analysis purpose, we're 12 A. Correct. So this would have been talking about 19090? 12 13 in the -- in the renewal of Exhibit 9. 13 A. Correct. Q. And when we're talking about 14 MR. KELLY: Just answer the 14 15 question. Just let her ask the question. 15 transracial validity --THE WITNESS: Okay. A. Yes. 16 16 Q. -- we're talking about the third 17 MR. KELLY: Reply to the question. 17 Q. This note is in the project request that we haven't yet gotten to --18 MR. KELLY: Objection. 19 19 that you did? 20 A. Yeah. So NIH is a strange thing. 20 Q. -- which is 19747. Are you all 21 MR. KELLY: Just answer the 21 right with that? MR. KELLY: Object to the form of 22 question. 22 23 THE WITNESS: It's not going to the question, ambiguous and confusing. 23 24 make sense, though. 24 A. Yes. 25 MR. KELLY: She'll figure it out. 25 All right. So this Exhibit 9 Page 93 Page 91 That's what her job is. 1 refers to the admixture analysis, correct? 1 2 Can you repeat, please? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. So when you made the request in 3 Q. And we've now determined that, as 4 part of your initial request, you noted that 4 Exhibit 9 for project ID 19090, you said to the 5 NIH, "Note: I've already received access to you'd already received access to the data from 6 these data," and then you made reference to the 6 the sex differences request, correct? project which is specifically sex differences 7 A. Correct, which was the first. in cortical volume meaning the prior request 8 Q. But this time the purpose for using for data to NIH, correct? that data is different, correct? 9 10 10 A. I don't think so. A. A different topic, yes. Q. And that related to, "I will employ 11 MR. KELLY: Go ahead. 11 12 A. No. Can you repeat? I'm sorry. 12 admixture analysis to determine if global 13 ancestry predicts mental health outcomes. I 13 I'm getting flustered. MR. KELLY: I'm going to instruct 14 will also apply admixture mapping to determine 14 15 which regions of the genome are most strongly 15 the witness to just listen to the question, see 16 if you can answer it. Catch the ball before 16 associated with the outcomes." 17 you try to start running with it. Just listen 17 Correct. 18 to it. If it's a yes or no question, you can 18 Q. In the non-technical summary of your request, the mental disorders, actually 19 answer yes or no. 20 20 it's in both places that you were referring to (Record read.) 21 that what you're interested in studying 21 THE WITNESS: I can answer? 22 A. Yeah, so I just screwed up a minute 22 includes schizophrenia and depression, correct? 23 ago. I thought I was like at 18007 when you 23 A. I'm looking for depression. You asked the question. That's what confused me. 24 said non-technical summary? 25 But now I understand that you're talking about 25 Q. It's actually in both.

| 1 | Page 94 | 1 | Page 96 |
|--|---|---|--|
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | A. Oh, yeah, and low IQ, but I admit | 1 | about direct or indirect reference to cognitive |
| 2 | we weren't explicit. | 2 | ability. |
| 3 | Q. Is there some way where you made | 3 | Q. I actually said IQ, but |
| 4 | reference to IQ that isn't explicit? | 4 | A. Okay. |
| 5 | A. 19747, which we haven't gotten to | 5 | Q that is your best indication, |
| 6 | yet, has all that. There might be something in | 6 | right? |
| 7 | here. Let me check. | 7 | A. For the specific project, yes. |
| 8 | Q. Please, do. | 8 | Q. All right. |
| 9 | A. Thank you. Yeah, no, I think 19747 | 9 | (The many Demonishing Entitle 11 |
| 10 | is going to be a lot more relevant. | 10 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 11, a |
| 11 | Q. So for our purposes we can agree | 11 | Project Renewal Application for |
| 12 | that the request for NIH data in Exhibit 9 does | 12 | Project 19090, was marked for |
| 13 | not relate to low IQ? | 13 | purposes of identification.) |
| 14 | MR. KELLY: Object to the form of | 14 15 | A Con Lock you a question? Lithink |
| 15 16 | the question. | 1 | A. Can I ask you a question? I think |
| | A. Not directly for sure. | | it's a duplicate of 19090. |
| 17 | Q. Where does it indirectly? | 17 | MR. KELLY: Yes, it is. This is |
| 18 | A. I mean, I didn't read through the | 18 | A. Eleven and Exhibit 9 appear to be |
| 19 20 | whole thing. I scanned through it. | 20 | |
| $\begin{vmatrix} 20 \\ 21 \end{vmatrix}$ | Q. Please, do.MR. KELLY: Read it. | 21 | |
| $\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22\end{vmatrix}$ | Q. I would like you to tell me if in | $\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22\end{vmatrix}$ | A. Oh, okay. Thank you.Q. All right. Dr. Pesta, can you |
| $\begin{vmatrix} 22 \\ 23 \end{vmatrix}$ | any way it refers to IQ. | $\begin{vmatrix} 22 \\ 23 \end{vmatrix}$ | Q. All right. Dr. Pesta, can you confirm for me that Exhibit 11 is the form that |
| $\begin{vmatrix} 23 \\ 24 \end{vmatrix}$ | MS. KAMINSKI: Do you want to go | 24 | you filled out to get a renewal of the |
| | off the record while he reads it? | 25 | admixture analysis |
| 23 | | 23 | • |
| 1 | Page 95 MS. GIFFEN: Sure. Let me know | 1 | Page 97 A. Of 19090. |
| 2 | when you're done, and we'll go back on the | | A. OI 19090. |
| 4 | | 1 7 | O data raquast? |
| 3 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 2 3 | Q data request? |
| 3 4 | record. | 3 | A. Correct. |
| 4 | record. (Brief recess.) | 3 4 | A. Correct.Q. And that shows a request date of |
| 5 | record. (Brief recess.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. | 3 4 5 | A. Correct. Q. And that shows a request date of August 29th, 2019? |
| 4 5 6 | record. (Brief recess.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. Dr. Pesta, have you completed | 3 4 5 6 | A. Correct.Q. And that shows a request date ofAugust 29th, 2019?A. Correct. |
| 4 5 6 7 | record. (Brief recess.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. Dr. Pesta, have you completed reviewing Exhibit | 3 4 5 6 7 | A. Correct. Q. And that shows a request date of August 29th, 2019? A. Correct. Q. And is that correct? |
| 4 5 6 7 8 | record. (Brief recess.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. Dr. Pesta, have you completed reviewing Exhibit A. Nine. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A. Correct. Q. And that shows a request date of August 29th, 2019? A. Correct. Q. And is that correct? A. Yes. The next two dates in the |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 | record. (Brief recess.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. Dr. Pesta, have you completed reviewing Exhibit A. Nine. Q 9? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. Correct. Q. And that shows a request date of August 29th, 2019? A. Correct. Q. And is that correct? A. Yes. The next two dates in the same column are irrelevant. |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | record. (Brief recess.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. Dr. Pesta, have you completed reviewing Exhibit A. Nine. Q 9? A. Yes. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A. Correct. Q. And that shows a request date of August 29th, 2019? A. Correct. Q. And is that correct? A. Yes. The next two dates in the same column are irrelevant. Q. Why do you say they are irrelevant? |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | record. (Brief recess.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. Dr. Pesta, have you completed reviewing Exhibit A. Nine. Q 9? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me does it either | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A. Correct. Q. And that shows a request date of August 29th, 2019? A. Correct. Q. And is that correct? A. Yes. The next two dates in the same column are irrelevant. Q. Why do you say they are irrelevant? A. They don't I mean, look at the |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | record. (Brief recess.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. Dr. Pesta, have you completed reviewing Exhibit A. Nine. Q 9? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me does it either directly or indirectly refer to a purpose that | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | A. Correct. Q. And that shows a request date of August 29th, 2019? A. Correct. Q. And is that correct? A. Yes. The next two dates in the same column are irrelevant. Q. Why do you say they are irrelevant? A. They don't I mean, look at the previous renewal date, 9-9-19. I didn't do |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | record. (Brief recess.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. Dr. Pesta, have you completed reviewing Exhibit A. Nine. Q 9? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me does it either directly or indirectly refer to a purpose that involves IQ? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A. Correct. Q. And that shows a request date of August 29th, 2019? A. Correct. Q. And is that correct? A. Yes. The next two dates in the same column are irrelevant. Q. Why do you say they are irrelevant? A. They don't I mean, look at the previous renewal date, 9-9-19. I didn't do that. It just makes no sense, the previous |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | record. (Brief recess.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. Dr. Pesta, have you completed reviewing Exhibit A. Nine. Q 9? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me does it either directly or indirectly refer to a purpose that involves IQ? A. Yeah. The indirect reference would | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | A. Correct. Q. And that shows a request date of August 29th, 2019? A. Correct. Q. And is that correct? A. Yes. The next two dates in the same column are irrelevant. Q. Why do you say they are irrelevant? A. They don't I mean, look at the previous renewal date, 9-9-19. I didn't do that. It just makes no sense, the previous renewal date. I mean, I put in this |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | record. (Brief recess.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. Dr. Pesta, have you completed reviewing Exhibit A. Nine. Q 9? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me does it either directly or indirectly refer to a purpose that involves IQ? A. Yeah. The indirect reference would be about one-third down where it says key | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A. Correct. Q. And that shows a request date of August 29th, 2019? A. Correct. Q. And is that correct? A. Yes. The next two dates in the same column are irrelevant. Q. Why do you say they are irrelevant? A. They don't I mean, look at the previous renewal date, 9-9-19. I didn't do that. It just makes no sense, the previous renewal date. I mean, I put in this application on 8-29-19, and I just don't know |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | record. (Brief recess.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. Dr. Pesta, have you completed reviewing Exhibit A. Nine. Q 9? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me does it either directly or indirectly refer to a purpose that involves IQ? A. Yeah. The indirect reference would | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. Correct. Q. And that shows a request date of August 29th, 2019? A. Correct. Q. And is that correct? A. Yes. The next two dates in the same column are irrelevant. Q. Why do you say they are irrelevant? A. They don't I mean, look at the previous renewal date, 9-9-19. I didn't do that. It just makes no sense, the previous renewal date. I mean, I put in this application on 8-29-19, and I just don't know what that means, the middle guy. |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | record. (Brief recess.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. Dr. Pesta, have you completed reviewing Exhibit A. Nine. Q 9? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me does it either directly or indirectly refer to a purpose that involves IQ? A. Yeah. The indirect reference would be about one-third down where it says key words, and then it says cognitive disorders. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. Correct. Q. And that shows a request date of August 29th, 2019? A. Correct. Q. And is that correct? A. Yes. The next two dates in the same column are irrelevant. Q. Why do you say they are irrelevant? A. They don't I mean, look at the previous renewal date, 9-9-19. I didn't do that. It just makes no sense, the previous renewal date. I mean, I put in this application on 8-29-19, and I just don't know |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | record. (Brief recess.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. Dr. Pesta, have you completed reviewing Exhibit A. Nine. Q 9? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me does it either directly or indirectly refer to a purpose that involves IQ? A. Yeah. The indirect reference would be about one-third down where it says key words, and then it says cognitive disorders. Q. Okay. You perceive that low IQ is | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. Correct. Q. And that shows a request date of August 29th, 2019? A. Correct. Q. And is that correct? A. Yes. The next two dates in the same column are irrelevant. Q. Why do you say they are irrelevant? A. They don't I mean, look at the previous renewal date, 9-9-19. I didn't do that. It just makes no sense, the previous renewal date. I mean, I put in this application on 8-29-19, and I just don't know what that means, the middle guy. Q. Well, if we go back to Exhibit 9, |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | record. (Brief recess.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. Dr. Pesta, have you completed reviewing Exhibit A. Nine. Q 9? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me does it either directly or indirectly refer to a purpose that involves IQ? A. Yeah. The indirect reference would be about one-third down where it says key words, and then it says cognitive disorders. Q. Okay. You perceive that low IQ is a cognitive disorder? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. Correct. Q. And that shows a request date of August 29th, 2019? A. Correct. Q. And is that correct? A. Yes. The next two dates in the same column are irrelevant. Q. Why do you say they are irrelevant? A. They don't I mean, look at the previous renewal date, 9-9-19. I didn't do that. It just makes no sense, the previous renewal date. I mean, I put in this application on 8-29-19, and I just don't know what that means, the middle guy. Q. Well, if we go back to Exhibit 9, and this relates to the same project number, |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | record. (Brief recess.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. Dr. Pesta, have you completed reviewing Exhibit A. Nine. Q 9? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me does it either directly or indirectly refer to a purpose that involves IQ? A. Yeah. The indirect reference would be about one-third down where it says key words, and then it says cognitive disorders. Q. Okay. You perceive that low IQ is a cognitive disorder? A. Yeah. So there is that, what is it, Virginia versus Atkins where if your IQ is | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A. Correct. Q. And that shows a request date of August 29th, 2019? A. Correct. Q. And is that correct? A. Yes. The next two dates in the same column are irrelevant. Q. Why do you say they are irrelevant? A. They don't I mean, look at the previous renewal date, 9-9-19. I didn't do that. It just makes no sense, the previous renewal date. I mean, I put in this application on 8-29-19, and I just don't know what that means, the middle guy. Q. Well, if we go back to Exhibit 9, and this relates to the same project number, right, the admixture analysis project number? |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | record. (Brief recess.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. Dr. Pesta, have you completed reviewing Exhibit A. Nine. Q 9? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me does it either directly or indirectly refer to a purpose that involves IQ? A. Yeah. The indirect reference would be about one-third down where it says key words, and then it says cognitive disorders. Q. Okay. You perceive that low IQ is a cognitive disorder? A. Yeah. So there is that, what is | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. Correct. Q. And that shows a request date of August 29th, 2019? A. Correct. Q. And is that correct? A. Yes. The next two dates in the same column are irrelevant. Q. Why do you say they are irrelevant? A. They don't I mean, look at the previous renewal date, 9-9-19. I didn't do that. It just makes no sense, the previous renewal date. I mean, I put in this application on 8-29-19, and I just don't know what that means, the middle guy. Q. Well, if we go back to Exhibit 9, and this relates to the same project number, right, the admixture analysis project number? A. Yes. Okay. |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | record. (Brief recess.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. Dr. Pesta, have you completed reviewing Exhibit A. Nine. Q 9? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me does it either directly or indirectly refer to a purpose that involves IQ? A. Yeah. The indirect reference would be about one-third down where it says key words, and then it says cognitive disorders. Q. Okay. You perceive that low IQ is a cognitive disorder? A. Yeah. So there is that, what is it, Virginia versus Atkins where if your IQ is not 70 or higher you can't be executed. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. Correct. Q. And that shows a request date of August 29th, 2019? A. Correct. Q. And is that correct? A. Yes. The next two dates in the same column are irrelevant. Q. Why do you say they are irrelevant? A. They don't I mean, look at the previous renewal date, 9-9-19. I didn't do that. It just makes no sense, the previous renewal date. I mean, I put in this application on 8-29-19, and I just don't know what that means, the middle guy. Q. Well, if we go back to Exhibit 9, and this relates to the same project number, right, the admixture analysis project number? A. Yes. Okay. Q. All right. And that original |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | record. (Brief recess.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. Dr. Pesta, have you completed reviewing Exhibit A. Nine. Q 9? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me does it either directly or indirectly refer to a purpose that involves IQ? A. Yeah. The indirect reference would be about one-third down where it says key words, and then it says cognitive disorders. Q. Okay. You perceive that low IQ is a cognitive disorder? A. Yeah. So there is that, what is it, Virginia versus Atkins where if your IQ is not 70 or higher you can't be executed. Q. And so you're suggesting that that | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. Correct. Q. And that shows a request date of August 29th, 2019? A. Correct. Q. And is that correct? A. Yes. The next two dates in the same column are irrelevant. Q. Why do you say they are irrelevant? A. They don't I mean, look at the previous renewal date, 9-9-19. I didn't do that. It just makes no sense, the previous renewal date. I mean, I put in this application on 8-29-19, and I just don't know what that means, the middle guy. Q. Well, if we go back to Exhibit 9, and this relates to the same project number, right, the admixture analysis project number? A. Yes. Okay. Q. All right. And that original request was July 15th, 2018, correct? |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | record. (Brief recess.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. Dr. Pesta, have you completed reviewing Exhibit A. Nine. Q 9? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me does it either directly or indirectly refer to a purpose that involves IQ? A. Yeah. The indirect reference would be about one-third down where it says key words, and then it says cognitive disorders. Q. Okay. You perceive that low IQ is a cognitive disorder? A. Yeah. So there is that, what is it, Virginia versus Atkins where if your IQ is not 70 or higher you can't be executed. Q. And so you're suggesting that that was to alert NIH that one of the purposes that | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. Correct. Q. And that shows a request date of August 29th, 2019? A. Correct. Q. And is that correct? A. Yes. The next two dates in the same column are irrelevant. Q. Why do you say they are irrelevant? A. They don't I mean, look at the previous renewal date, 9-9-19. I didn't do that. It just makes no sense, the previous renewal date. I mean, I put in this application on 8-29-19, and I just don't know what that means, the middle guy. Q. Well, if we go back to Exhibit 9, and this relates to the same project number, right, the admixture analysis project number? A. Yes. Okay. Q. All right. And that original request was July 15th, 2018, correct? A. Correct. |

Page 98 Page 100 1 A. Correct. But my point is -- well, 1 that Exhibit 12 relates to the third 2 I mean, I just want to clarify. So it says I application you made for the TCP data? 3 did that on 8-29-18. How could the previous 3 A. Correct. 4 renewal date be later in time? 4 And that the nomenclature we 5 Q. Oh, you're just objecting to the --5 determined to use with respect to that is the 6 A. Dates. 6 transracial validity data or, excuse me, purpose, correct? 7 Q. -- how the subsequent dates are 7 8 A. Correct. populated? 9 A. Yes. I agree with the request So this shows that the request, the 10 date, but not the other two. 10 initial request for 19747, was dated Q. Okay. I actually hadn't asked you 11 September 19th, 2018; is that correct? 11 12 about the other two dates. I just want to note 12 A. Correct. 13 that for our purposes. 13 Q. And does that check with your 14 A. All right. I'm sorry. 14 Table 1? 15 Q. All right. And should we check to 15 A. Yes, it does, column three. 16 see if that date is consistent with your 16 Q. Okay. All right. What was the 17 purpose for this data request? 17 Table 1? 18 A. Yes, it is. 18 A. So it was to study whether genetics Q. Okay. So at least with respect to 19 plays a partial -- it was the focus of the 19 20 project 18007 and project 19090, we have both 20 Lasker paper. This one produced that. This 21 the original request date and the renewal 21 RUS -- wait, we're on Exhibit 12, right? 22 dates, correct? 22 Q. Yes. 23 A. Correct. 23 A. Yeah. So, I mean, it's technical 24 Q. When were you supposed to have your 24 jargon. I can explain it if you want. O. Go ahead, please. 25 renewal request done by for project 19090? 25 Page 99 Page 101 1 A. You have to do it within a year. I A. Am I looking at the right one? 1 2 mean, I guess you could close it out two weeks 2 Yeah, if you look at page 2. Q. Yes. after getting it, or you could wait 364 days 3 and then submit it. 4 A. It looks like the middle paragraph. Q. Do you know what the date was that 5 What? 5 6 you had to do that for project 19090? 6 A. There is the three paragraphs up 7 A. Well, I don't think it's reflected 7 top. The second and third are relevant. 8 in these documents because I applied for that Actually, the first is, too. I'm sorry. 9 on 7-15-18, but it took time for CSU to approve Q. All right. So if you could state 10 in laymen's terms, what was the research use 10 it and the NIH. So whatever that NIH date is 11 where I was granted access, a year from there 11 for which you sought the data? 12 A. Transethnic validity means you have 12 would be the deadline for renewing it or 13 closing it out. 13 these polygenic scores, which in this case Q. Do you remember that that was 14 would be a genetic estimate of your IQ, and 14 15 September 1st, 2019? 15 they're developed almost mostly on white 16 A. No, I don't remember. 16 people. 17 Q. Okay. 17 So the issue is can you take a PGS 18 18 score developed on primarily white people and 19 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 12, have it still be valid with black people, Project Request for Project 19747, because if it's not valid, you can't even do a 20 21 comparison. So that's the first thing. 21 was marked for purposes of 22 identification.) 22 Yeah, so that's basically the first 23 paragraph. All right. The second, did you 23 24 Q. Handing you what's been marked as 24 want me to continue? 25 25 Exhibit 12, can you confirm for me, Dr. Pesta, Q. Please.

Page 102

- 1 A. The second paragraph, this is
- 2 statistical technical stuff, but Lee, et al.,
- 3 where we got the PGS scores from, they did
- 4 their transethnic validity validation different
- 5 than how we did it, and our argument was that
- 6 our approach was better.
- 7 Q. What was the approach you were 8 using?
 - A. Well, it says that; doesn't it?
- 10 O. Pardon me?
- 11 A. I'm just reading it. Yeah, it's
- 12 the choice of which, the terminology is SNP,
- 13 single nucleotide polymorphisms, were used as
- 14 our estimates.

9

- 15 Q. Estimates of what?
- 16 A. Genetic IQ.
- 17 Q. So the SNPs, what you wrote here
- 18 was, "This means that the authors included all
- 19 SNPs associated with education regardless of
- 20 the significance of the association."
- A. I can explain that, but it's
- 22 technical.
- 23 Q. Sure.
- A. Okay. So if you think of DNA, you
- 25 see the complicated 3-dimensional molecule.

1 Q. Hold on a second before you keep

2 going. So you would deduce -- and when you say

Page 104

- 3 you are looking for the rungs that are
- 4 different, that's the SNP, right?
 - A. Yes. Exactly, yes.
 - Q. If you note that everybody with
- 7 diabetes has a difference in seven SNPs, then
- 8 you would suggest then that that's the key to
- 9 determining whether somebody is likely to have
- 10 diabetes?

5

6

- 11 A. So it's a probability. The start
- 12 of your question made it seem like you said for
- 13 sure. No. It's a probability argument, and
- 14 seven wouldn't be enough.
- 15 Q. You would need a lot more than
- 16 seven?
- 17 A. Correct. That creates the problem
- 18 with the sentence.
- 19 Q. Hold on. So the seven would be
- 20 ridiculous because, as you just mentioned a
- 21 moment ago, there are did you say a billion or
- 22 billions?
- A. Three billion total, and 3 percent
- 24 differ across humans, but that's still like a
- 25 couple million.

Page 103

- 1 But if you think of it as just being a ladder,
- 2 it makes more sense to explain to people.
- 3 So you have this ladder. It's DNA.
- 4 Obviously ladders have rungs. So there is5 3 billion of them in the human genome.
- 6 Something like 90 percent -- 97 percent are the
- 7 same across every human being. The 3 percent
- 8 that differ are what causes me to be short, you
- 9 to be tall, you to have green eyes, or him to
- 10 have brown.
- 11 What you do then is -- this is
- 12 useful. It might be long winded. First one
- 13 has to conduct what's called a GWAS study,
- 14 G-W-A-S, a genome-wide association study. S ϕ 14
- 15 let's suppose I want to see if diabetes has a
- 16 genetic basis. What I would do is get two
- 17 groups of people. This group is not diabetic.
- 18 This group is diabetic. Then you would scan
- 19 the genomes of people in each group and look
- 1) the genomes of people in each group and loo
- 20 for differences in the rungs, and you would
- basically sum them. So this third rung is adifference. That's one. Rung 22 is a
- 23 difference. That's two. The end result is to
- 24 summarize a score that predicts in this case
- 25 your propensity for diabetes, but --

- Q. Right. Right. So the idea that
- Q. Right. Right. So the idea that
 seven SNPs would be responsible for any single
- 3 manifestation is pretty remote; isn't it?
- 4 A. So I'm trying to balance between
- 5 being technically accurate and explaining it to
- 6 you. For like Mendelian disorders, it could be
- 7 just one, but we're looking at polygenic stuff.
- 8 IQ is determined by multiple, multiple genes,
- 9 so in that context yes.
- 10 Q. That's helpful. Because there may
- 11 be certain things where you really only have to
- look at one gene, and then you're more likely
- 13 to have fewer SNPs that would show us the
 - difference, right?
 - A. Correct.
- 16 Q. Because we're dealing with
- 17 intelligence, do we even know how many genes
- 18 are associated with intelligence?
- 19 A. It's a work in progress. So these
- 20 are revised, and every revision has more SNPs
- 21 that you can use to scale the score.
- Q. But at the moment we don't know precisely the number of genes or even the
- 24 location of genes that are associated with
- 25 intelligence?

15

1

8

13

14

1 A. With certainty, no.

- 2 Q. And that means we can't possibly
- 3 know the number of SNPs that you'd have to find
- 4 differences in in order to make an accurate
- 5 determination of intelligence, right?
- 6 A. I would disagree with that because
- 7 I don't think anyone claims that intelligence
- 8 is 100 percent genetic. There are
- 9 environmental influences that affect it. So
- 10 the best you can do by getting more SNPs is
- 11 increase the reliability of your measure which
- 12 then lets you find -- then you want to
- 13 correlate those PGS scores with like a real
- 14 paper and pencil IQ test.
- 15 Q. I'm sorry. I didn't hear what you 16 just said.
- 17 A. Okay. So once you have the genetic
- 18 estimate of IQ, then you want people to take
- actual IQ tests, and then you want to see if
- 20 the genes predict the scores.
- 21 Q. So what were you proposing to do in
- 22 your request which we see as 19747?
- 23 A. So, yeah, you were asking
- 24 specifically about, I believe, the first
- 25 sentence of the second paragraph on page 2. So

Page 106 Page 108

- A. And they were looking at -- well, 2 they gave a really good IQ test, a battery of
- 3 tests that we use to calculate the phenotypic
- 4 IQ, the paper and pencil score, and they also
- 5 had their DNA and many other variables like
- 6 gender, age, SES.
- 7 Q. SES, what's that?
 - A. Socioeconomic status.
- 9 Q. That was as reported by the parents 10 or participants?
- A. I would imagine parents, but I 11
- would have to go back and look. 12
 - Q. Okay. Go ahead.
 - Yeah, and the IQ test. So you have
- 15 a spreadsheet with genetic data over here, the
- 16 SNPs and their PGS score, and then you have
- phenotypic data like, well, your IQ test score,
- 18 paper and pencil. I don't know if we had
- 19 income, we didn't, but, you know, like age,
- 20 gender, that kind of stuff.
- 21 Q. So how did you determine the
- 22 ethnicity of the participants?
- 23 A. In that -- so we didn't collect the
- 24 original data. The researchers did it in
- 25 Philadelphia.

Page 107

- 1 the problem is when you're scanning the genome
- 2 to try to identify these SNPs, you're doing a
- 3 ton of statistical tests, and often when you do
- 4 a statistical test, you have to worry about
- 5 false alarms. It's a statistically significant
- 6 difference at this rung, but it's a fluke.
- 7 With traditional measures of values
- 8 of significance, it's .05. If you use that and
- 9 you're looking at millions of, you know, rungs,
- 10 you're going to get a lot of false alarms. So
- 11 what you do is you tweak the P value. Instead
- 12 of .05, you might only accept a rung if it's
- 13 got a probability of .001. So that's basically
- 14 what the first part of the second paragraph is
- 15 getting at.
- 16 Q. Okay. What was the nature of the
- 17 TCP data?
- 18 A. Yeah, so it was collected like in
- 19 29 -- 2009 in Philadelphia. It was a bunch of
- 20 like early teenage, I think the age range was
- 21 like eight to 18.
- 22 Q. I think it was eight to 21; does
- 23 that sound right?
- 24 A. Okay. I'd have to check.
- Q. Go ahead. 25

- Q. I'm aware of that.
- 2 A. Yeah. Could you repeat the
- 3 question?

1

9

19

- 4 Q. How did you determine the ethnicity
- 5 or race of the participants?
- A. Two ways, and this was the point of 6
- 7 the paper. One, the researchers back then
- 8 asked them.
 - Q. They call it SIRE, right?
- 10 Self-identified race ethnicity.
- Q. So that information was contained 11
- 12 in the original NIH data, right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 O. Go ahead.
- 15 A. And then we had to do what's called
- 16 admixture analysis to get a genetic estimate of
- ancestry which is different from 17
- self-identified race ethnicity. 18
 - Q. How did you do that?
- A. It's a statistical technique. 20
- 21 Basically it clusters people into the origin of
- 22 ancestry. It's a complicated technique, but
- 23 basically you can say that Joe here is
- 24 75 percent white ancestry, maybe 20 percent
- 25 African, and 5 percent something else.

Page 109

Page 112 Page 110 1 Q. How do you determine that? 1 A. Okay. I'll wait then. 2 2 A. It's called factor analysis Q. No, that's all right. You can tell 3 me now. What happened in that part of the 3 principal components analysis. I wonder if we 4 have a plot? Can I show you the Lasker paper? 4 study. You can see a plot of it. 5 A. Okay. So the 2009 data set, can we 6 Q. Okay. 6 just call it PNC to not be confusing? 7 7 A. Which exhibit is that? Q. Sure. 8 A. So the PNC original data set 8 O. It's Exhibit 2 or 3. A. Yeah, here it is. Okay. So look 9 didn't -- it had self-identified race, but it 10 didn't have measures of eye color, hair color, 10 at page 436. Q. I lost my own. Page 436 of 11 or skin color. 12 Q. Just let me interrupt you for one 12 Exhibit 3? 13 A. Correct. Okay. So this plots 13 second, and then we'll go back to that. It 14 also didn't do the admixture analysis that you 14 admixture analysis results on the X axis. So, 15 just described? 15 you know, for any subject in that study, we 16 would know from zero to 100 percent how much 16 A. No. 17 European ancestry they have, and then we're 17 Q. So now proceed. So it didn't have 18 eye, skin, or hair color? 18 plotting that against the self-report, the 19 19 SIRE, on the Y axis. As you can see, it maps A. Correct. And so there is a theory 20 on very nicely. 20 about race IQ gaps called colorism, and it's totally environmental. It's due to 21 For example, the probability that 22 you have no European ancestry but you 22 discrimination, the race IQ gap, and so it 23 self-identify as -- well, can I reverse that? predicts that the darker your skin, the more 24 European ancestry -- wait a minute. 24 discrimination you'll experience. 25 25 Yeah, so the probability that you So we wanted to pit that social Page 111 Page 113 1 have zero European ancestry and report being 1 construct of race against genetic ancestry, 2 black is essentially zero. That's on the left 2 DNA, to see which best predicted the paper and 3 side of the graph. On the right side, if your 3 pencil gap. 4 Q. Okay. So what does eye, hair, and 4 European ancestry is 100 percent, then you can 5 see this top curve, there is 100 percent chance skin color have to do with it? 6 or pretty close to it that you self-identify as 6 A. So colorism is not my theory. We 7 tested it. But if you're a colorist theorist, 7 white or European. 8 Q. What is a PNC data set? 8 then you predict that IQ scores will decrease 9 with darker skin tones, not because of A. PNC. Oh, the data set that was 10 collected in Philadelphia in '09 or whatever, 10 genetics, but because they experience more 11 discrimination. 11 the Philadelphia neurodevelopmental cohort, but 12 that's the TCP data. I know it's confusing. 12 Q. And how did you determine the eye, 13 Q. But how did you determine what the 13 hair, and skin color? 14 14 admixture results were? A. Yes, this was a mistake. We 15 A. It's -- it looks at the SNPs, and 15 uploaded it to a server, but I had an 16 so people who are predominantly African would 16 oversight. I didn't report it in this 17 light up a different set of SNPs than people 17 application. But there is a server, HIrisPlex 18 who are predominantly white or any other 18 that you can input I think it's like 32 SNPs, which is not enough to identify people, but it 19 ethnicity or race that you want to look at. 20 is enough to reliably predict color of hair, 20 And you can see that on page, I 21 want to make sure -- no, that's not going to 21 eye, and skin. 22 help us. We'll probably get to it. But did 22 Q. And so then what happened?

A. So then we put it all into a model,

24 and that was the table I was going to show you.

25 Yeah, it's on page 438.

23

23 that answer your question?

Q. Well, if you're getting to the skin

25 color reference, that is where I'm going.

24

Page 114 1 Q. Okay. That's the one I'm looking agreed to call the transracial --2 at. So what does the chart on 438 tell us? 2 A. Validity. Q. -- validity, that the concepts 3 3 A. Okay. So on the X axis is genetic 4 ancestry. So you're either not at all European or 100 percent European, and this is sort of a 5 A. In where? 6 validation check under skin color measures. On 6 the Y axis is skin color, and you can see, if 7 you look at European ancestry equals zero, then 8 9 your skin color is pretty dark which makes 9 10 sense. If your skin color is pretty dark, 10 11 you're probably not European. On the other Q. Right. 11 12 extreme, if you're 100 percent European, your 12 13 skin is much lighter. So this graph shows that 13 third paragraph? 14 the skin color has some reliability. 14 15 Then we combine all this. Now we 16 have all our measures calculated. Now we run 17 the analysis to see. We have your IQ score. 17 18 We know on the environmental side your 19 self-identified race -- race is just a social 20 construct, I agree with that -- and predictions 21 from colorism theory, that's the environmental 21 22 side. On the other side, the genetic side, you 23 have these PGS scores. So you enter them both 23 24 predicting paper and pencil IQ scores and see 24 25 what wins. 25 set. Page 115 Q. And what won? 1 1 2 They both do. 3 O. You said they both do. There were 4 three. 5 A. So, well, we lumped colorism and 6 skin color together as one camp or hypothesis

4 you've just described, most of them are in here somewhere; aren't they? MR. KELLY: Objection to the form of the question, ambiguous. Q. In your research use statement. A. What I just explained to you? A. Yes. Did you want me to go to the Q. Sure. I didn't mean to cut you 15 off. If you want to say more about the use 16 statement, by all means. A. Okay. If you look in the third 18 paragraph on page 2 of Exhibit -- let me straighten out my documents here -- page 2 of 20 Exhibit 12, third paragraph, now, this is where lack of expertise I think hurt me. Transethnic 22 validity, you can't do that analysis unless you compare races. I mean, the word trans there indicates that. So we're using the TCP data Page 117 Okay, here's another thing, 2 educational attainment. I'm sorry. The second 3 sentence, educational attainment is the same 4 thing as intelligence in the literature. I 5 don't know if anybody knew that. So just right 6 off the bat there are three things that mention 7 IQ. If you'd like to, you can look at it. PGS 8 and cognitive ability, the end of the third 9 line; cognitive data, end of the fourth line; 10 general and broad ability indexes, the start of 11 the fifth line; demographic data. Yeah, so, I 12 mean, it's all over the place in that paragraph. 13 14 Q. Okay. Did you do anything with 15 schizophrenia? 16 A. No. We -- I started with the sex 17 difference paper, didn't work, closed it out, and we decided to do this before the schizophrenia paper. 19 Q. Why did you include schizophrenia 20 21 in the use statement? 22 A. Well, we wanted to be open to

23 looking at it. We just never got around to it

24 because everything blew up.

Q. Got it.

10 significant, meaning each has some influence on 11 the IQ gaps. But, if I remember correctly, the 12 genetic side was a little higher in this study. 13 Q. Okay. You believe that in 14 Exhibit 12 you told the NIH those were the 15 things that you wanted to do and to study with 16 respect to the TCP data? 17 A. Yeah. But I think if you 18 lack -- this goes back to an earlier point. If

and the PGS DNA stuff as the other camp or hypothesis, entered them into a regression

model predicting phenotypic IQ, and both were

20 that you would get that. 21 Q. But clearly, however, your request

you lack expertise in this area, I don't know

22 in 19747 --

23 A. Is this Exhibit 12? Okay. 24

Q. Clearly your request in Exhibit 12

25 which is identified as 19747 which I think we

25

Page 116

| | D 110 | | D 120 |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Page 118 | 1 | Page 120 Because it says |
| $\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$ | (Theraupan Denosition Exhibit 12 | 2 | A. Yes. |
| $\frac{2}{3}$ | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 13, Project Renewal Request for Project | $\frac{2}{3}$ | Q right there research summaries, |
| 4 | 19747, was marked for purposes of | 4 | scientific presentations, publications, |
| 5 | identification.) | 5 | intellectual property. |
| | identification.) | 6 | A. Well, we did this on line. I mean, |
| 6 | O Dr. Posta you've been bended | 7 | |
| . | Q. Dr. Pesta, you've been handed | 0 | we can my colleague, John, will testify to |
| 8 | Exhibit 13. Will you confirm for me that this | 8 | this, too. It said for renewals just report |
| 9 | is the renewal application for project 19747 | 9 | official publications on line. For closeouts |
| 10 | for which we used the nomenclature transracial | 10 | it said report everything. |
| 11 | validity? | 11 | Q. All right. And I believe you've |
| 12 | A. Yes. | 12 | taken the position that because the Lasker |
| 13 | Q. That request date says | 13 | paper was not published until August 30th and |
| 14 | December 3rd, 2019; is that right? | | you made your renewal request on August 29th, |
| 15 | A. Correct. | | both of 2019, you did not report the paper, |
| 16 | Q. Let's make sure that that's | | correct? |
| 17 | consistent with your table. | 17 | A. Yeah. We weren't sneaking anything |
| 18 | A. It is. | 18 | by it. It wasn't officially published. NIH |
| 19 | Q. It is, okay. So we've got the | 19 | policy says report officially published |
| 20 | table all right thus far, right? | 20 | articles. We didn't have it. We knew it was |
| 21 | A. Correct. | 21 | |
| 22 | Q. So now I'd like to look at and do a | | so we didn't report it. |
| 23 | little comparison of the two project renewals. | 23 | Q. But you did report the Lasker paper |
| 24 | A. Okay. | 24 | , |
| 25 | Q. So I want you to have Exhibit 11 | 25 | analysis, when you closed out that project, |
| | D 440 | | |
| | Page 119 | | Page 121 |
| 1 | and Exhibit 13 in front of you. | 1 | right? |
| 2 | and Exhibit 13 in front of you. A. Got it. | 2 | right? A. Well, so our interpretation was |
| 2 3 | and Exhibit 13 in front of you.A. Got it.Q. With regard to Exhibit 11 which is | 2 3 | right? A. Well, so our interpretation was it's all TCP data, all these applications. So |
| 2 3 4 | and Exhibit 13 in front of you. A. Got it. Q. With regard to Exhibit 11 which is the admixture analysis | 2 3 4 | right? A. Well, so our interpretation was it's all TCP data, all these applications. So when we went to close that one out, it said |
| 2 3 4 5 | and Exhibit 13 in front of you. A. Got it. Q. With regard to Exhibit 11 which is the admixture analysis A. Correct. | 2 3 4 5 | right? A. Well, so our interpretation was it's all TCP data, all these applications. So when we went to close that one out, it said report everything from using the TCP data. So |
| 2 3 4 | and Exhibit 13 in front of you. A. Got it. Q. With regard to Exhibit 11 which is the admixture analysis A. Correct. Q this project renewal asks you to | 2 3 4 5 6 | right? A. Well, so our interpretation was it's all TCP data, all these applications. So when we went to close that one out, it said report everything from using the TCP data. So we reported it on the wrong form, but we really |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | and Exhibit 13 in front of you. A. Got it. Q. With regard to Exhibit 11 which is the admixture analysis A. Correct. Q this project renewal asks you to say what your research progress is including | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | right? A. Well, so our interpretation was it's all TCP data, all these applications. So when we went to close that one out, it said report everything from using the TCP data. So we reported it on the wrong form, but we really just followed verbatim what the NIH wanted. |
| 2 3 4 5 | and Exhibit 13 in front of you. A. Got it. Q. With regard to Exhibit 11 which is the admixture analysis A. Correct. Q this project renewal asks you to say what your research progress is including whether you've done any scientific | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | right? A. Well, so our interpretation was it's all TCP data, all these applications. So when we went to close that one out, it said report everything from using the TCP data. So we reported it on the wrong form, but we really just followed verbatim what the NIH wanted. Q. But that was, according to your |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | and Exhibit 13 in front of you. A. Got it. Q. With regard to Exhibit 11 which is the admixture analysis A. Correct. Q this project renewal asks you to say what your research progress is including whether you've done any scientific presentations or publications, right? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | right? A. Well, so our interpretation was it's all TCP data, all these applications. So when we went to close that one out, it said report everything from using the TCP data. So we reported it on the wrong form, but we really just followed verbatim what the NIH wanted. Q. But that was, according to your Table 1, that was reported at the closeout on |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | and Exhibit 13 in front of you. A. Got it. Q. With regard to Exhibit 11 which is the admixture analysis A. Correct. Q this project renewal asks you to say what your research progress is including whether you've done any scientific presentations or publications, right? A. No. I disagree with that. When we | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | right? A. Well, so our interpretation was it's all TCP data, all these applications. So when we went to close that one out, it said report everything from using the TCP data. So we reported it on the wrong form, but we really just followed verbatim what the NIH wanted. Q. But that was, according to your Table 1, that was reported at the closeout on August 31st, 2021, right? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | and Exhibit 13 in front of you. A. Got it. Q. With regard to Exhibit 11 which is the admixture analysis A. Correct. Q this project renewal asks you to say what your research progress is including whether you've done any scientific presentations or publications, right? A. No. I disagree with that. When we did I think they changed their policy partly | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | right? A. Well, so our interpretation was it's all TCP data, all these applications. So when we went to close that one out, it said report everything from using the TCP data. So we reported it on the wrong form, but we really just followed verbatim what the NIH wanted. Q. But that was, according to your Table 1, that was reported at the closeout on August 31st, 2021, right? A. I think 2020, correct? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | and Exhibit 13 in front of you. A. Got it. Q. With regard to Exhibit 11 which is the admixture analysis A. Correct. Q this project renewal asks you to say what your research progress is including whether you've done any scientific presentations or publications, right? A. No. I disagree with that. When we did I think they changed their policy partly because of what happened with me. This is a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | right? A. Well, so our interpretation was it's all TCP data, all these applications. So when we went to close that one out, it said report everything from using the TCP data. So we reported it on the wrong form, but we really just followed verbatim what the NIH wanted. Q. But that was, according to your Table 1, that was reported at the closeout on August 31st, 2021, right? A. I think 2020, correct? Q. I'm sorry. You're right. Thank |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | and Exhibit 13 in front of you. A. Got it. Q. With regard to Exhibit 11 which is the admixture analysis A. Correct. Q this project renewal asks you to say what your research progress is including whether you've done any scientific presentations or publications, right? A. No. I disagree with that. When we did I think they changed their policy partly because of what happened with me. This is a renewal. We saw it on line. We very carefully | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | right? A. Well, so our interpretation was it's all TCP data, all these applications. So when we went to close that one out, it said report everything from using the TCP data. So we reported it on the wrong form, but we really just followed verbatim what the NIH wanted. Q. But that was, according to your Table 1, that was reported at the closeout on August 31st, 2021, right? A. I think 2020, correct? Q. I'm sorry. You're right. Thank you for that correction. August 31st, 2020. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | and Exhibit 13 in front of you. A. Got it. Q. With regard to Exhibit 11 which is the admixture analysis A. Correct. Q this project renewal asks you to say what your research progress is including whether you've done any scientific presentations or publications, right? A. No. I disagree with that. When we did I think they changed their policy partly because of what happened with me. This is a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | right? A. Well, so our interpretation was it's all TCP data, all these applications. So when we went to close that one out, it said report everything from using the TCP data. So we reported it on the wrong form, but we really just followed verbatim what the NIH wanted. Q. But that was, according to your Table 1, that was reported at the closeout on August 31st, 2021, right? A. I think 2020, correct? Q. I'm sorry. You're right. Thank |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | and Exhibit 13 in front of you. A. Got it. Q. With regard to Exhibit 11 which is the admixture analysis A. Correct. Q this project renewal asks you to say what your research progress is including whether you've done any scientific presentations or publications, right? A. No. I disagree with that. When we did I think they changed their policy partly because of what happened with me. This is a renewal. We saw it on line. We very carefully followed it. When it's a renewal, they only want officially published articles. They don't | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | right? A. Well, so our interpretation was it's all TCP data, all these applications. So when we went to close that one out, it said report everything from using the TCP data. So we reported it on the wrong form, but we really just followed verbatim what the NIH wanted. Q. But that was, according to your Table 1, that was reported at the closeout on August 31st, 2021, right? A. I think 2020, correct? Q. I'm sorry. You're right. Thank you for that correction. August 31st, 2020. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | and Exhibit 13 in front of you. A. Got it. Q. With regard to Exhibit 11 which is the admixture analysis A. Correct. Q this project renewal asks you to say what your research progress is including whether you've done any scientific presentations or publications, right? A. No. I disagree with that. When we did I think they changed their policy partly because of what happened with me. This is a renewal. We saw it on line. We very carefully followed it. When it's a renewal, they only | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | right? A. Well, so our interpretation was it's all TCP data, all these applications. So when we went to close that one out, it said report everything from using the TCP data. So we reported it on the wrong form, but we really just followed verbatim what the NIH wanted. Q. But that was, according to your Table 1, that was reported at the closeout on August 31st, 2021, right? A. I think 2020, correct? Q. I'm sorry. You're right. Thank you for that correction. August 31st, 2020. A. Correct. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | and Exhibit 13 in front of you. A. Got it. Q. With regard to Exhibit 11 which is the admixture analysis A. Correct. Q this project renewal asks you to say what your research progress is including whether you've done any scientific presentations or publications, right? A. No. I disagree with that. When we did I think they changed their policy partly because of what happened with me. This is a renewal. We saw it on line. We very carefully followed it. When it's a renewal, they only want officially published articles. They don't | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | right? A. Well, so our interpretation was it's all TCP data, all these applications. So when we went to close that one out, it said report everything from using the TCP data. So we reported it on the wrong form, but we really just followed verbatim what the NIH wanted. Q. But that was, according to your Table 1, that was reported at the closeout on August 31st, 2021, right? A. I think 2020, correct? Q. I'm sorry. You're right. Thank you for that correction. August 31st, 2020. A. Correct. Q. Now let's look again at Exhibit 13 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | and Exhibit 13 in front of you. A. Got it. Q. With regard to Exhibit 11 which is the admixture analysis A. Correct. Q this project renewal asks you to say what your research progress is including whether you've done any scientific presentations or publications, right? A. No. I disagree with that. When we did I think they changed their policy partly because of what happened with me. This is a renewal. We saw it on line. We very carefully followed it. When it's a renewal, they only want officially published articles. They don't want which makes sense. They don't want | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | right? A. Well, so our interpretation was it's all TCP data, all these applications. So when we went to close that one out, it said report everything from using the TCP data. So we reported it on the wrong form, but we really just followed verbatim what the NIH wanted. Q. But that was, according to your Table 1, that was reported at the closeout on August 31st, 2021, right? A. I think 2020, correct? Q. I'm sorry. You're right. Thank you for that correction. August 31st, 2020. A. Correct. Q. Now let's look again at Exhibit 13 which is 19747, and you made that renewal |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | and Exhibit 13 in front of you. A. Got it. Q. With regard to Exhibit 11 which is the admixture analysis A. Correct. Q this project renewal asks you to say what your research progress is including whether you've done any scientific presentations or publications, right? A. No. I disagree with that. When we did I think they changed their policy partly because of what happened with me. This is a renewal. We saw it on line. We very carefully followed it. When it's a renewal, they only want officially published articles. They don't want preprints. They don't want preprints. They don't want presentations. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | right? A. Well, so our interpretation was it's all TCP data, all these applications. So when we went to close that one out, it said report everything from using the TCP data. So we reported it on the wrong form, but we really just followed verbatim what the NIH wanted. Q. But that was, according to your Table 1, that was reported at the closeout on August 31st, 2021, right? A. I think 2020, correct? Q. I'm sorry. You're right. Thank you for that correction. August 31st, 2020. A. Correct. Q. Now let's look again at Exhibit 13 which is 19747, and you made that renewal request on December 3rd, 2019, right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And the project renewal |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | and Exhibit 13 in front of you. A. Got it. Q. With regard to Exhibit 11 which is the admixture analysis A. Correct. Q this project renewal asks you to say what your research progress is including whether you've done any scientific presentations or publications, right? A. No. I disagree with that. When we did I think they changed their policy partly because of what happened with me. This is a renewal. We saw it on line. We very carefully followed it. When it's a renewal, they only want officially published articles. They don't want which makes sense. They don't want preprints. They don't want presentations. They just want official publications. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | right? A. Well, so our interpretation was it's all TCP data, all these applications. So when we went to close that one out, it said report everything from using the TCP data. So we reported it on the wrong form, but we really just followed verbatim what the NIH wanted. Q. But that was, according to your Table 1, that was reported at the closeout on August 31st, 2021, right? A. I think 2020, correct? Q. I'm sorry. You're right. Thank you for that correction. August 31st, 2020. A. Correct. Q. Now let's look again at Exhibit 13 which is 19747, and you made that renewal request on December 3rd, 2019, right? A. Correct. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | and Exhibit 13 in front of you. A. Got it. Q. With regard to Exhibit 11 which is the admixture analysis A. Correct. Q this project renewal asks you to say what your research progress is including whether you've done any scientific presentations or publications, right? A. No. I disagree with that. When we did I think they changed their policy partly because of what happened with me. This is a renewal. We saw it on line. We very carefully followed it. When it's a renewal, they only want officially published articles. They don't want which makes sense. They don't want preprints. They don't want presentations. They just want official publications. Q. So you are saying you weren't asked | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | right? A. Well, so our interpretation was it's all TCP data, all these applications. So when we went to close that one out, it said report everything from using the TCP data. So we reported it on the wrong form, but we really just followed verbatim what the NIH wanted. Q. But that was, according to your Table 1, that was reported at the closeout on August 31st, 2021, right? A. I think 2020, correct? Q. I'm sorry. You're right. Thank you for that correction. August 31st, 2020. A. Correct. Q. Now let's look again at Exhibit 13 which is 19747, and you made that renewal request on December 3rd, 2019, right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And the project renewal |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | and Exhibit 13 in front of you. A. Got it. Q. With regard to Exhibit 11 which is the admixture analysis A. Correct. Q this project renewal asks you to say what your research progress is including whether you've done any scientific presentations or publications, right? A. No. I disagree with that. When we did I think they changed their policy partly because of what happened with me. This is a renewal. We saw it on line. We very carefully followed it. When it's a renewal, they only want officially published articles. They don't want which makes sense. They don't want preprints. They don't want preprints. They don't want preprints. They don't want presentations. Q. So you are saying you weren't asked for scientific presentations or research | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | right? A. Well, so our interpretation was it's all TCP data, all these applications. So when we went to close that one out, it said report everything from using the TCP data. So we reported it on the wrong form, but we really just followed verbatim what the NIH wanted. Q. But that was, according to your Table 1, that was reported at the closeout on August 31st, 2021, right? A. I think 2020, correct? Q. I'm sorry. You're right. Thank you for that correction. August 31st, 2020. A. Correct. Q. Now let's look again at Exhibit 13 which is 19747, and you made that renewal request on December 3rd, 2019, right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And the project renewal form, and I'm looking at page 3 of Exhibit 13, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | and Exhibit 13 in front of you. A. Got it. Q. With regard to Exhibit 11 which is the admixture analysis A. Correct. Q this project renewal asks you to say what your research progress is including whether you've done any scientific presentations or publications, right? A. No. I disagree with that. When we did I think they changed their policy partly because of what happened with me. This is a renewal. We saw it on line. We very carefully followed it. When it's a renewal, they only want officially published articles. They don't want which makes sense. They don't want preprints. They don't want presentations. They just want official publications. Q. So you are saying you weren't asked for scientific presentations or research summaries? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | right? A. Well, so our interpretation was it's all TCP data, all these applications. So when we went to close that one out, it said report everything from using the TCP data. So we reported it on the wrong form, but we really just followed verbatim what the NIH wanted. Q. But that was, according to your Table 1, that was reported at the closeout on August 31st, 2021, right? A. I think 2020, correct? Q. I'm sorry. You're right. Thank you for that correction. August 31st, 2020. A. Correct. Q. Now let's look again at Exhibit 13 which is 19747, and you made that renewal request on December 3rd, 2019, right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And the project renewal form, and I'm looking at page 3 of Exhibit 13, says, "Research Summary: We have no new |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | and Exhibit 13 in front of you. A. Got it. Q. With regard to Exhibit 11 which is the admixture analysis A. Correct. Q this project renewal asks you to say what your research progress is including whether you've done any scientific presentations or publications, right? A. No. I disagree with that. When we did I think they changed their policy partly because of what happened with me. This is a renewal. We saw it on line. We very carefully followed it. When it's a renewal, they only want officially published articles. They don't want which makes sense. They don't want preprints. They don't want presentations. They just want official publications. Q. So you are saying you weren't asked for scientific presentations or research summaries? A. Only for closeouts, not for | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | right? A. Well, so our interpretation was it's all TCP data, all these applications. So when we went to close that one out, it said report everything from using the TCP data. So we reported it on the wrong form, but we really just followed verbatim what the NIH wanted. Q. But that was, according to your Table 1, that was reported at the closeout on August 31st, 2021, right? A. I think 2020, correct? Q. I'm sorry. You're right. Thank you for that correction. August 31st, 2020. A. Correct. Q. Now let's look again at Exhibit 13 which is 19747, and you made that renewal request on December 3rd, 2019, right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And the project renewal form, and I'm looking at page 3 of Exhibit 13, says, "Research Summary: We have no new publications nor submissions from this data |

25 were told not to run further analyses until we

25 is wrong, you weren't asked for those things?

Page 122 Page 124 1 had heard back." Then for scientific 19090 which is the admixture analysis? 2 presentations it says none, publications none, 2 A. Correct. 3 intellectual property none. Q. Which you made a renewal on 3 First of all, have I read that 4 August 29, '19. You did not cite the Lasker 4 5 correctly of what you reported? 5 paper? A. Yes. 6 6 A. Because it wasn't officially 7 O. So this renewal was on 7 published. 8 December 3rd, 2019. Why didn't you report the 8 Q. Because it wasn't officially published until the following day? Lasker paper? A. Yeah, this is complicated. If you 10 A. Correct. 10 11 look at footnote B, it's all laid out there. 11 Q. Then for the 9-19-18, which is the 12 I'll try to summarize it. 12 transracial validity paper, which, by the way, 13 Q. Okay. 13 that use statement you'll agree with me comes 14 A. One year after having it, renew, 14 closest to what is described in the Lasker 15 closeout. Renew says just publications, 15 paper; do you agree? 16 official publications. Closeout says report 16 A. Yes. 17 everything. And with that in mind, what date 17 Q. And that you made a renewal of 18 was that, 8-31? So I was closing out the 18 December 3rd, 2019, but you didn't report the 19 application on 8-31-20. Is that consistent 19 Lasker paper on December 3rd, 2019; did you? 20 with what this says? 20 A. Because there is a reason for that, 21 Because I was closing it out, I 21 I think it's in the footnote, but let me see if 22 should have reported everything, but in this 22 I can refigure it out, but that's an important 23 interim -- so Lasker was -- so we renew on the 23 point. 24 24 29th 070. MR. KELLY: Take your time. 25 25 Q. Yes. Not 070. It was 090. THE WITNESS: Yeah. Page 123 Page 125 1 A. Thank you, 090. So we had our A. Can you throw out those dates again 1 2 renewal in. The next thing we had to do -- can while I'm reading? 3 I refresh my memory? 3 Q. Sure. I'm just reading your 4 Q. Sure. 4 Table 1 that says 090 renewal was August 29th. A. Okay. Note C there is consistent 5 5 A. Okav. 6 with what I just said. So on 8-31-20 -- is Q. And we know there wasn't a report, 6 this the right thing? Just double-checking. 7 but you've described why, meaning there wasn't Yeah, we went to close out -- I'm confusing 8 a report of the Lasker paper, but you've myself. Can we take a break? Maybe I'm --9 described why. 10 Q. Hold on a minute. Let's work 10 A. Renewal versus closeout, yes. 11 through this. 11 Q. Yes. And because the publication 12 A. Okay. 12 hadn't happened until after. Q. So on your Table 1 -- let's just 13 13 A. We renewed that one. 14 take it. We don't have anything in front of us 14 Q. Correct. And now we're looking at 15 to show the closeouts, but let's just use your 15 19747, the transracial validity use statement. 16 Table 1 as the dates. 16 That renewal was December 3rd, 2019, but there 17 A. Okav. 17 was no report of the Lasker paper. Q. So the 18007 which is the first 18 A. Yeah. So, I'm sorry, I didn't spot 19 request for NIH data, that's the sex 19 this earlier, but it's the second to last full 20 differences, and when I say NIH data I should paragraph on the back. They last approved our 21 be clear, the TCP data, that you never made a 21 use of the TCP data on the renewal of what 22 renewal. You closed that on June 25th, 2019. 22 we're calling the admixture analysis one, so 23 A. And there was nothing to report 23 there was nothing to report. It was like a

25

24 fuzzy time window.

Q. How is that a fuzzy time window?

25

24 because Lasker wasn't published.

Q. Okay. Then the next thing is the

Page 126 Page 128 1 A. Because the complication here is 1 closeout when they clearly want to know at 2 that these are the same data identical for all 2 renewal time whether there has been any papers three applications, but when you close out this 3 published? 4 one that's maybe not IQ related, it says report 4 MR. KELLY: Objection to form. 5 this stuff about the TCP data. It's hard to 5 A. Only official. Only official 6 explain. 6 publications for renewals. 7 Q. So I want to get this straight, 7 Q. Okay. I'm giving you that. For 8 Dr. Pesta. 8 purposes of this discussion, I'll give you that 9 A. Sure. the publication was two days later, so arguably Q. Your contention is the reason why 10 10 you didn't have to do it with respect to that. 11 we didn't report Lasker on the 090 is because 11 the paper was published a day later? 12 12 Q. But your explanation for why it 13 A. It looks like two days. 13 wasn't reported with respect to 747 is because 14 Q. Okay, two days. The reason why we 14 you had already renewed it without describing 15 didn't report it on --15 the paper? A. 12-3-19. 16 16 A. This date, 12-3, was after this all 17 Q. -- the 747 which is a couple of 17 blew up. NIH was complaining to me. My job 18 months after Lasker is published, right? 18 was probably threatened at that point even. So A. Correct. 19 we wanted to make sure we followed these things 20 Q. Is because we hadn't reported it on 20 verbatim so that nobody could come back and 21 the earlier? 21 raise questions like this. So I'm going to try 22 A. Could you maybe take a minute to 22 to explain this clearly. Give me like 30 23 read that second to last paragraph? 23 seconds to put it together. Q. Actually, I have read that 24 Okay. So on 9-23-19 the NIH, that paragraph because you've written this in other 25 was the last time they approved a TCP data set Page 127 Page 129 1 documents. 1 renewal or closeout, but Lasker was published before that. So if you follow verbatim what 2 A. I'm sure I did, yes. 3 Q. And I don't understand it, and I'm 3 they said, we didn't need to report it. 4 MR. KELLY: Well --4 trying to understand it. I don't understand 5 5 how if the point of this request by NIH is to O. Say that again. 6 know what papers are being published using this 6 MR. KELLY: This is -- don't get 7 7 data how it's possible to on the one hand not worried about this. 8 report the data because the paper is published 8 THE WITNESS: Who, me? 9 9 a day later, but the next time you have a MR. KELLY: Yes. 10 10 renewal, you also don't report the paper well THE WITNESS: Worried about? 11 after the paper has been published? 11 MR. KELLY: You're just very 12 A. Yeah, and it's explained right 12 anxious. 13 there. Okay. On 12-3 -- well, let me try to 13 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm a hyper person, and I'm kind of hungry and tired, but I 14 make it clear. I didn't know this was going to 14 15 be unclear. 15 agree we should get through this question. On 12-3-19 I applied to renew 747, 16 Q. All right. So as I understand what 16 17 the transracial guy. When we did that, 17 that paragraph says -- let's read the 18 verbatim NIH required me to report any official 18 paragraph. 19 publications, and you see how I underline it, 19 A. Can I see it? 20 Q. "Earlier on December 3, 2019, BJP 20 since NIH last approved use of the TCP data. 21 That was after Lasker was published. So if you 21 applied" -- that's you, right? 22 follow this verbatim, I didn't -- I'm not being 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. -- "applied to renew 9747. Here 23 sneaky. We were just getting --24 Q. Dr. Pesta, do you believe the NIH 24 verbatim NIH required BJP to report any 25 means by this just don't tell us about it until official publications since NIH last approved

| | D 400 | | D 100 |
|--|---|--|---|
| 1 | Page 130 his use of the TCP data. The last NIH | 1 | Page 132 |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | | $\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$ | differences one. I never downloaded the data for it. |
| 2 | approval, however, was for 19090 on | | |
| 3 | September 23rd, 2019. Since Lasker was | 3 | Q. Aren't we supposed to read these all together, Dr. Pesta? |
| 4 | published prior to this date, BJP appropriately | 4 | 6 . |
| 5 | did not report it for the renewal of 19747." | 5 | A. I thought I was just doing that. |
| $\begin{vmatrix} 6 \\ 7 \end{vmatrix}$ | A. Exactly. I don't know how to say | 6 | MR. KELLY: Okay. The |
| 7 | it better. | 0 | question is it's up to you, but the question has been answered now. It's 12:46. |
| 8 | Q. If you ask me, what you're doing | 8 | |
| 9 | here is you're reading together all three of | 9 | MS. GIFFEN: I'm fine to take a |
| 10 | the requests, right? | 10 | break. |
| 11 | A. You have to. It's the same data. | 11 | MR. KELLY: Thank you. How long do |
| 12 | So even if I'm working on the sex project, for | 12 | you guys want to break for lunch? |
| 13 | example, when I go to renew the transracial | 13 | MS. GIFFEN: There is so much more |
| 14 | one, I have to report stuff related to the sex | 14 | to go. Off the record. |
| 15 | one, too, because it's the same data set. | 15 | (Luncheon recess taken.) |
| 16 | Q. So we're reading it all together, | 16 | |
| 17 | and that's why you didn't have to report it | 17 | |
| 18 | until the first closeout report which isn't | 18 | |
| 19 | until August 31st, 2020, like nine months | 19 | |
| 20 | later, right? | 20 | |
| 21 | A. I didn't report it because the NIH, | 21 | |
| 22 | if you follow what they said verbatim, anything | 22 | |
| 23 | since the last approval by NIH. The last | 23 | |
| 24 | approval was on 9-23-19. Lasker was published | | |
| 25 | on what, 8-30? I shouldn't have reported it. | 25 | |
| | Page 131 | | Page 133 |
| 1 | Q. Do you remember when CSU | 1 | AFTERNOON SESSION |
| 2 | A. Am I | 2 | CONTINUED EXAMINATION OF BRYAN J. PESTA |
| 3 | MR. KELLY: It's just | | BY MS. GIFFEN: |
| 4 | Q. Just answer the question. Do you | 4 | Q. Dr. Pesta, we had discussed both |
| 5 | remember when CSU asked you what you were going | ſ | the description of the research use statements |
| 6 | to do with the TCP dataset, and you replied | | that we went over, and we also discussed the |
| | that you were going to put it on your CSU | | timing of the report to NIH about the Lasker |
| 8 | computer behind the firewall, and you were | 8 | paper on your submissions, and you have |
| 9 | going to be the only person using it? | 9 | provided to us Exhibit |
| 10 | A. That's a that's wrong, patently | 10 | A. Eleven I think it was. |
| 11 | wrong. It's in the binder and maybe even the | 11 | Q. There is two of them. I should |
| 12 | final report in two places that I was going to | | have pulled them out. |
| 13 | use it at my home locked up to my desk, no | 13 | A. One is six. |
| 14 | internet access except to get the data | 14 | Q. You just passed it. The one with |
| 15 | initially, so it was approved. | 15 | your table on it. I'm sorry I didn't note |
| 16 | And there is two examples of that | | this. |
| 17 | in it's either Exhibit 5, and I don't think we | 17 | A. It's not a big deal. Did you |
| 18 19 | have the binder as an exhibit yet. Q. So | 18 | Q. It's there for sure. Keep going. |
| 20 | | 19 | MS. KAMINSKI: Six and 10. |
| | A. And moreover, to answer your | 20 | Q. It's 6 and 10. So you brought with |
| 21 | question, that it was the provost who said that, she quoted it in my discipline letter, | 21 22 | you Exhibit 6 and 10 that refer to those subjects. Did you have anything more you |
| 122 | | ,,, | Subjects - Life voli have anything more voli |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | you're the one who wrote to Terri Kocevar that | 23 | wanted to add to that? |
| | | | |

34 (Pages 130 - 133)

| , | Page 134 | | Page 136 |
|--|--|--|--|
| | revisit it. I'm happy to, though, if you do. | | the protocols outlined in the link above." |
| 2 | Q. That's okay. I'm satisfied if | 2 | Do you recall that? |
| 3 | you're satisfied with what you said. | 3 | A. Yes. |
| 4 | A. Okay. | 4 | Q. Is that what you were referring to |
| 5 | Q. I now want to turn to a question, | 5 | earlier? |
| 6 | you mentioned earlier that you told NIH that | 7 | A. There is another one, but yes.O. When was the other one? |
| 7 8 | you were going to put the data onto a home computer. | 8 | Q. When was the other one?A. There was another one. I tried to |
| 9 | A. Correct. | 9 | find it, but I couldn't. This is Add Health. |
| 10 | Q. And you made mention of an occasion | 10 | Probably ABCD. I don't know what the number |
| 11 | where you knew that that was part of the | 11 | was. |
| 12 | record. I want to ask you if this is what you | 12 | Q. ABCD was? |
| 13 | were referring to. | 13 | A. A different non-TCP data set. |
| 14 | were reterring to. | 14 | Q. We'll get that date. You submitted |
| 15 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 14, | 15 | that request around August of 2020. Does that |
| 16 | Project Request 26271, was marked | 16 | sound right? |
| 17 | for purposes of identification.) | 17 | A. So looking at my tabled footnotes, |
| 18 | | 1 | is that 026? Oh, 7-4-20, yes. |
| 19 | MR. KELLY: Object to the form of | 19 | Q. All right. Did that |
| 20 | the question. I don't know that he testified | 1 | relate neither the project request that |
| 21 | to that. You can answer. | 21 | we're looking at, Exhibit 14, nor the project |
| 22 | A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that? | 22 | request with respect to ABCD relate to the TCP |
| 23 | Q. She's getting it. Handing you | 23 | data; is that true? |
| 24 | what's been marked as Exhibit 14, do you | 24 | A. They are all dbGaP, but not TCP. |
| 25 | recognize that as the project request for | 25 | |
| | | | |
| | Page 135 | | Page 137 |
| 1 | Page 135 262710, that being the project ID number? | 1 | Page 137 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 15, |
| 1 2 | Page 135 262710, that being the project ID number? A. I don't think there is a zero at | 1 2 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 15, |
| | 262710, that being the project ID number? | | |
| 2 | 262710, that being the project ID number? A. I don't think there is a zero at | 2 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 15, an Email String with Attachments, |
| 3 | A. I don't think there is a zero at the end, or it's blocked out here. But, yes, | 2 3 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 15, an Email String with Attachments, was marked for purposes of |
| 2 3 4 | 262710, that being the project ID number? A. I don't think there is a zero at the end, or it's blocked out here. But, yes, this is it. | 2 3 4 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 15, an Email String with Attachments, was marked for purposes of |
| 2 3 4 5 | A. I don't think there is a zero at the end, or it's blocked out here. But, yes, this is it. Q. The request date is July 16th of | 2 3 4 5 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 15, an Email String with Attachments, was marked for purposes of identification.) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | A. I don't think there is a zero at the end, or it's blocked out here. But, yes, this is it. Q. The request date is July 16th of 2020, correct? | 2 3 4 5 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 15, an Email String with Attachments, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Dr. Pesta, I'm handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 15. This is I believe the communication with Terri Kocevar that you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. I don't think there is a zero at the end, or it's blocked out here. But, yes, this is it. Q. The request date is July 16th of 2020, correct? A. Correct. Q. What data is being requested in this NIH project request? | 2 3 4 5 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 15, an Email String with Attachments, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Dr. Pesta, I'm handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 15. This is I believe the communication with Terri Kocevar that you referred to in your earlier testimony. First |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A. I don't think there is a zero at the end, or it's blocked out here. But, yes, this is it. Q. The request date is July 16th of 2020, correct? A. Correct. Q. What data is being requested in this NIH project request? A. It's a different data set. It's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 15, an Email String with Attachments, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Dr. Pesta, I'm handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 15. This is I believe the communication with Terri Kocevar that you referred to in your earlier testimony. First of all, can you confirm that? You can take a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A. I don't think there is a zero at the end, or it's blocked out here. But, yes, this is it. Q. The request date is July 16th of 2020, correct? A. Correct. Q. What data is being requested in this NIH project request? A. It's a different data set. It's not TCP. It's called Add Health. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 15, an Email String with Attachments, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Dr. Pesta, I'm handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 15. This is I believe the communication with Terri Kocevar that you referred to in your earlier testimony. First of all, can you confirm that? You can take a minute to read through it if you need to. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | A. I don't think there is a zero at the end, or it's blocked out here. But, yes, this is it. Q. The request date is July 16th of 2020, correct? A. Correct. Q. What data is being requested in this NIH project request? A. It's a different data set. It's not TCP. It's called Add Health. Q. And it's capital A, D-D, capital H? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 15, an Email String with Attachments, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Dr. Pesta, I'm handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 15. This is I believe the communication with Terri Kocevar that you referred to in your earlier testimony. First of all, can you confirm that? You can take a minute to read through it if you need to. A. Uh-huh. Yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A. I don't think there is a zero at the end, or it's blocked out here. But, yes, this is it. Q. The request date is July 16th of 2020, correct? A. Correct. Q. What data is being requested in this NIH project request? A. It's a different data set. It's not TCP. It's called Add Health. Q. And it's capital A, D-D, capital H? A. It's adolescent something | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 15, an Email String with Attachments, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Dr. Pesta, I'm handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 15. This is I believe the communication with Terri Kocevar that you referred to in your earlier testimony. First of all, can you confirm that? You can take a minute to read through it if you need to. A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Okay. And if we work from |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A. I don't think there is a zero at the end, or it's blocked out here. But, yes, this is it. Q. The request date is July 16th of 2020, correct? A. Correct. Q. What data is being requested in this NIH project request? A. It's a different data set. It's not TCP. It's called Add Health. Q. And it's capital A, D-D, capital H? A. It's adolescent something development. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 15, an Email String with Attachments, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Dr. Pesta, I'm handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 15. This is I believe the communication with Terri Kocevar that you referred to in your earlier testimony. First of all, can you confirm that? You can take a minute to read through it if you need to. A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Okay. And if we work from backwards to forwards, which is always |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. I don't think there is a zero at the end, or it's blocked out here. But, yes, this is it. Q. The request date is July 16th of 2020, correct? A. Correct. Q. What data is being requested in this NIH project request? A. It's a different data set. It's not TCP. It's called Add Health. Q. And it's capital A, D-D, capital H? A. It's adolescent something development. Q. In your research use statement on | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 15, an Email String with Attachments, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Dr. Pesta, I'm handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 15. This is I believe the communication with Terri Kocevar that you referred to in your earlier testimony. First of all, can you confirm that? You can take a minute to read through it if you need to. A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Okay. And if we work from backwards to forwards, which is always confusing, the first email is actually between |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. I don't think there is a zero at the end, or it's blocked out here. But, yes, this is it. Q. The request date is July 16th of 2020, correct? A. Correct. Q. What data is being requested in this NIH project request? A. It's a different data set. It's not TCP. It's called Add Health. Q. And it's capital A, D-D, capital H? A. It's adolescent something development. Q. In your research use statement on page 2 of the document, you write, "To meet the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 15, an Email String with Attachments, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Dr. Pesta, I'm handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 15. This is I believe the communication with Terri Kocevar that you referred to in your earlier testimony. First of all, can you confirm that? You can take a minute to read through it if you need to. A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Okay. And if we work from backwards to forwards, which is always confusing, the first email is actually between Lisa Franklin and Jack Kraszewski? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. I don't think there is a zero at the end, or it's blocked out here. But, yes, this is it. Q. The request date is July 16th of 2020, correct? A. Correct. Q. What data is being requested in this NIH project request? A. It's a different data set. It's not TCP. It's called Add Health. Q. And it's capital A, D-D, capital H? A. It's adolescent something development. Q. In your research use statement on page 2 of the document, you write, "To meet the Add Health secure storage and handling | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 15, an Email String with Attachments, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Dr. Pesta, I'm handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 15. This is I believe the communication with Terri Kocevar that you referred to in your earlier testimony. First of all, can you confirm that? You can take a minute to read through it if you need to. A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Okay. And if we work from backwards to forwards, which is always confusing, the first email is actually between Lisa Franklin and Jack Kraszewski? MR. NEEL: Kraszewski. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. I don't think there is a zero at the end, or it's blocked out here. But, yes, this is it. Q. The request date is July 16th of 2020, correct? A. Correct. Q. What data is being requested in this NIH project request? A. It's a different data set. It's not TCP. It's called Add Health. Q. And it's capital A, D-D, capital H? A. It's adolescent something development. Q. In your research use statement on page 2 of the document, you write, "To meet the Add Health secure storage and handling requirements, we plan to follow the steps | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 15, an Email String with Attachments, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Dr. Pesta, I'm handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 15. This is I believe the communication with Terri Kocevar that you referred to in your earlier testimony. First of all, can you confirm that? You can take a minute to read through it if you need to. A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Okay. And if we work from backwards to forwards, which is always confusing, the first email is actually between Lisa Franklin and Jack Kraszewski? MR. NEEL: Kraszewski. A. Page 4 of 5? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A. I don't think there is a zero at the end, or it's blocked out here. But, yes, this is it. Q. The request date is July 16th of 2020, correct? A. Correct. Q. What data is being requested in this NIH project request? A. It's a different data set. It's not TCP. It's called Add Health. Q. And it's capital A, D-D, capital H? A. It's adolescent something development. Q. In your research use statement on page 2 of the document, you write, "To meet the Add Health secure storage and handling requirements, we plan to follow the steps outlined in the following link." Then there is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 15, an Email String with Attachments, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Dr. Pesta, I'm handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 15. This is I believe the communication with Terri Kocevar that you referred to in your earlier testimony. First of all, can you confirm that? You can take a minute to read through it if you need to. A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Okay. And if we work from backwards to forwards, which is always confusing, the first email is actually between Lisa Franklin and Jack Kraszewski? MR. NEEL: Kraszewski. A. Page 4 of 5? Q. Page 4 of 5. Five is the request |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. I don't think there is a zero at the end, or it's blocked out here. But, yes, this is it. Q. The request date is July 16th of 2020, correct? A. Correct. Q. What data is being requested in this NIH project request? A. It's a different data set. It's not TCP. It's called Add Health. Q. And it's capital A, D-D, capital H? A. It's adolescent something development. Q. In your research use statement on page 2 of the document, you write, "To meet the Add Health secure storage and handling requirements, we plan to follow the steps outlined in the following link." Then there is a reference to a link. "Specifically we plan | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 15, an Email String with Attachments, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Dr. Pesta, I'm handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 15. This is I believe the communication with Terri Kocevar that you referred to in your earlier testimony. First of all, can you confirm that? You can take a minute to read through it if you need to. A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Okay. And if we work from backwards to forwards, which is always confusing, the first email is actually between Lisa Franklin and Jack Kraszewski? MR. NEEL: Kraszewski. A. Page 4 of 5? Q. Page 4 of 5. Five is the request that Lisa is making, right? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. I don't think there is a zero at the end, or it's blocked out here. But, yes, this is it. Q. The request date is July 16th of 2020, correct? A. Correct. Q. What data is being requested in this NIH project request? A. It's a different data set. It's not TCP. It's called Add Health. Q. And it's capital A, D-D, capital H? A. It's adolescent something development. Q. In your research use statement on page 2 of the document, you write, "To meet the Add Health secure storage and handling requirements, we plan to follow the steps outlined in the following link." Then there is a reference to a link. "Specifically we plan to store the data on a desktop model hard | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 15, an Email String with Attachments, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Dr. Pesta, I'm handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 15. This is I believe the communication with Terri Kocevar that you referred to in your earlier testimony. First of all, can you confirm that? You can take a minute to read through it if you need to. A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Okay. And if we work from backwards to forwards, which is always confusing, the first email is actually between Lisa Franklin and Jack Kraszewski? MR. NEEL: Kraszewski. A. Page 4 of 5? Q. Page 4 of 5. Five is the request that Lisa is making, right? A. Correct. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. I don't think there is a zero at the end, or it's blocked out here. But, yes, this is it. Q. The request date is July 16th of 2020, correct? A. Correct. Q. What data is being requested in this NIH project request? A. It's a different data set. It's not TCP. It's called Add Health. Q. And it's capital A, D-D, capital H? A. It's adolescent something development. Q. In your research use statement on page 2 of the document, you write, "To meet the Add Health secure storage and handling requirements, we plan to follow the steps outlined in the following link." Then there is a reference to a link. "Specifically we plan to store the data on a desktop model hard drive. This will be kept in a locked cabinet | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 15, an Email String with Attachments, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Dr. Pesta, I'm handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 15. This is I believe the communication with Terri Kocevar that you referred to in your earlier testimony. First of all, can you confirm that? You can take a minute to read through it if you need to. A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Okay. And if we work from backwards to forwards, which is always confusing, the first email is actually between Lisa Franklin and Jack Kraszewski? MR. NEEL: Kraszewski. A. Page 4 of 5? Q. Page 4 of 5. Five is the request that Lisa is making, right? A. Correct. Q. And then there is an email that is |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. I don't think there is a zero at the end, or it's blocked out here. But, yes, this is it. Q. The request date is July 16th of 2020, correct? A. Correct. Q. What data is being requested in this NIH project request? A. It's a different data set. It's not TCP. It's called Add Health. Q. And it's capital A, D-D, capital H? A. It's adolescent something development. Q. In your research use statement on page 2 of the document, you write, "To meet the Add Health secure storage and handling requirements, we plan to follow the steps outlined in the following link." Then there is a reference to a link. "Specifically we plan to store the data on a desktop model hard drive. This will be kept in a locked cabinet at Bryan J Pesta, the investigator's, home | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 15, an Email String with Attachments, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Dr. Pesta, I'm handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 15. This is I believe the communication with Terri Kocevar that you referred to in your earlier testimony. First of all, can you confirm that? You can take a minute to read through it if you need to. A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Okay. And if we work from backwards to forwards, which is always confusing, the first email is actually between Lisa Franklin and Jack Kraszewski? MR. NEEL: Kraszewski. A. Page 4 of 5? Q. Page 4 of 5. Five is the request that Lisa is making, right? A. Correct. Q. And then there is an email that is forwarding it to Jack, and then Jack responds |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. I don't think there is a zero at the end, or it's blocked out here. But, yes, this is it. Q. The request date is July 16th of 2020, correct? A. Correct. Q. What data is being requested in this NIH project request? A. It's a different data set. It's not TCP. It's called Add Health. Q. And it's capital A, D-D, capital H? A. It's adolescent something development. Q. In your research use statement on page 2 of the document, you write, "To meet the Add Health secure storage and handling requirements, we plan to follow the steps outlined in the following link." Then there is a reference to a link. "Specifically we plan to store the data on a desktop model hard drive. This will be kept in a locked cabinet | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 15, an Email String with Attachments, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Dr. Pesta, I'm handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 15. This is I believe the communication with Terri Kocevar that you referred to in your earlier testimony. First of all, can you confirm that? You can take a minute to read through it if you need to. A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Okay. And if we work from backwards to forwards, which is always confusing, the first email is actually between Lisa Franklin and Jack Kraszewski? MR. NEEL: Kraszewski. A. Page 4 of 5? Q. Page 4 of 5. Five is the request that Lisa is making, right? A. Correct. Q. And then there is an email that is |

Page 138 Page 140 Q. I understand. But you did download 1 because we don't know the answers to these questions, right? 2 TCP data into the home computer? 3 A. Yes. 3 A. Oh, sure, yes. And then on April 17th, Mary 4 4 Q. Is there any record of you telling 5 Kocevar -- or actually I think she goes by 5 CSU between your communication of May of 2018 Terri? 6 and July of 2020 that you had sensitive data, 7 restricted access data, on the home computer? A. Terri. 8 Sends the questions to you, right? O. 8 A. I couldn't find it, but I thought I 9 A. Correct. had approval. That's my memory. I did search Q. So the first request for that 10 10 for it. 11 information -- well, there is only four days 11 Q. Okay. And, in fact, the two 12 difference between those two, and then on it 12 instances we just discussed was the ABCD data 13 looks like April 18th you respond, right? 13 and the Add Health data, not TCP, correct? 14 14 A. 2018, where is that? Yes. Wait a A. Correct. We didn't check the ABCD, 15 minute. Are you on page 1? I'm sorry. 15 or did we do that already? I assume there's a Q. Yes. Page 1 at the very bottom, 16 16 similar line on the ABCD data. 17 that's your response. 17 Q. We didn't check it. I'm going with 18 A. Gotcha. 18 you that it's in there, okay? But that does 19 Q. Beginning of your response email. relate to a different data set, correct? 20 Item No. 4 says, "I will be the only one who 20 A. DbGaP, different data set. 21 has access to these data. They will be stored 21 Q. Okay. What was the computer 22 on my CSU computer which is password and 22 specifically that you purchased? 23 firewall protected," right? 23 A. A desktop. We built it, so it was 24 A. Yes. 24 off Newegg I think it's called. 25 O. And then Terri then saves that to 25 Q. Say it again? Page 139 Page 141 1 the file on May 2nd, 2018, correct? 1 A. Newegg, E-G-G. It's on line. 2 A. Correct. 2 O. New A-G-G? 3 Q. So this related specifically at the 3 A. Egg, like crack an egg. 4 time, and the timing is right if we look back Q. And correct me if I'm wrong, but I to when you were making requests, to the sex 5 understand the reason why you wanted to put differences requests for the TCP data, correct? 6 this on the desktop is because the computing 7 A. Yes. It's within a couple days of power that was available to you at CSU wasn't the application that I sent. sufficient to do what you needed to do? Q. Okay. Do you have any record that A. Yeah. I was supplied with a CSU 10 you told anybody at CSU that you were going to 10 laptop, and it just didn't have the processing 11 keep the data, the TCP data -power. 12 A. Well --12 Q. Why didn't you ask anyone at CSU to 13 Q. Let me finish the question. get you equipment that would? 13 14 A. I mean, I don't know. It was A. Uh-huh. 15 Q. That you were going to keep the TCP 15 just -- plus it was more convenient to do at 16 data on your home computer between when you 16 home. I remember getting permission. I just communicate with MaryTherese Kocevar on 17 don't have proof of it. Q. Pardon me? 18 May 2nd, 2018, until you reported in July of 18 19 2020? 19 A. I'm pretty sure I got permission to 20 A. I didn't download data for this 20 do this, but I don't -- I can't show you a 21 project, so there was nothing to store, genetic 21 document. 22 data. 22 Q. Who did you get it from? 23 Q. But there is -- but you did 23 A. I'd have to go back. I don't know. 24 download TCP data, right? 24 Q. So what was the computing power of 25 A. Not for this project. 25 the Newegg?

Page 144 Page 142 1 A. It was an Intel IA Core I want to 1 mean, I guess I could have done it at CSU, but 2 say 9. It might have been a 7. 2 not on my laptop. 3 Q. Was the problem with the laptop 3 Q. Yes, and then it could have been that it wouldn't do the tasks or that it would physically transferred; couldn't it? 5 take forever? 5 A. I didn't know this was going to A. Well, even on the new computer, the 6 blow up three years later. I just tried to powerful one, it literally took ten days to follow the rules. download the data running non-stop. 8 Q. Because you knew the rules were 9 Q. How did you physically -- how did important, right? 10 you download the data? 10 A. Yeah, and this is my first rodeo A. Once NIH approves you for any for this. 11 11 12 project, you go into it, and it's -- extracting 12 Was it the first rodeo for John Q. 13 it was a pain in the neck. There is several 13 Fuerst? 14 steps you take, and then it downloads. 14 A. He doesn't have an affiliation, so Q. So was it downloaded directly onto 15 I assume so. I don't know. With CSU, yes. 15 16 that desktop, the Newegg? Q. Do you know whether John Fuerst 16 17 ever got NIH data before the Lasker paper? 17 Correct. A. Q. When did you actually begin working A. I don't know. 18 18 19 19 with the data? Q. Okay. So do you still have the 20 A. Can I look at these notes of the 20 Newegg? 21 dates? 21 A. We reformatted the hard drive when 22 Q. Sure. 22 everything was closed out. It's in my 23 A. So we didn't download the data for 23 basement. I don't even know if it's 24 the sex difference one. It looks like we got 24 operational. 25 25 approved for 19090 which we're calling mental You described that computer as Page 145 1 health or admixture on 7-15, so I would say 1 being owned by HBD -maybe it takes a month or no more than six A. PDF, Human Phenome, P-H-E-N-O-M-E 2 weeks for the NIH to approve it, so I would 3 Diversity Foundation, HPDF. guess the end of August. That's a guess. 4 Q. Which we're going to talk about? Q. End of August 2018? 5 5 A. Uh-huh. 6 A. Correct. 6 Q. So HPDF owns that computer. When 7 So my understanding is the desktop 7 you built it, did you only build the computing was not connected to the internet, right? 8 portion? Did you have to get the display, A. It had to be to download the data. 9 keyboards, et cetera? 10 Thereafter we took it off. 10 A. No. Q. Okay. So it had the capacity to be 11 11 Q. Peripherals? 12 on the internet obviously? 12 A. No. I just have a main desktop A. Well, yeah. That's the only way 13 13 computer. My personal one, the Newegg one was 14 you can get the data. 14 sitting behind the desk, so I just, you know, 15 Q. How comfortable are you with the 15 plugged in the keyboards whenever I wanted to 16 download itself, that that was secure? 16 use it. 17 A. Well, indirectly they approved it 17 Q. Got it. You just said a second ago 18 for ABCD and Add Health, both CSU and NIH. 18 we reformatted the hard drive of the Newegg. 19 They didn't have a problem with it, albeit 19 Who is we? 20 those are different data sets, but it's the 20 A. Actually, it was me. But we, I 21 same thing. 21 mean, we worked on this as a project, but the

37 (Pages 142 - 145)

22 physical act of reformatting (indicating).

24 as a project, you're talking about John?

A. Correct.

Q. And when you say we worked on this

23

25

Q. So do you have any other basis for

What else could I have done? I

23 concluding that that was an acceptable way to

22

25

24 download the data?

Page 146 Page 148 1 O. John Fuerst? done, so he didn't need the data anymore, but 2 A. Correct. 2 he had to keep it anyway for reporting 3 requirements. He just wanted to pursue 3 Q. But you personally reformatted the publishing after data analysis. 4 hard drive? 5 A. Format C colon, the physical act of 5 Q. He disagreed with NIH's position that the data should be deleted at all? doing that, yes. 6 6 7 7 Q. When did you do that? A. At that time. 8 Q. Okay. And you'll recall that CSU 8 A. I don't remember the exact date, but it would have been right when Dr. Ward suggested sort of as an interim step, well, 10 transfer the data to CSU's computer, and then 10 requested us to do it or the administration. Q. Okay. And at that time what data 11 if you all are successful in the NIH appeal, 12 then you won't have lost it all. Do you 12 sets were on the Newegg hard drive? 13 A. I don't -- I don't remember if ABCD 13 remember that suggestion? 14 A. Yes. 14 was or not because the focus has always been on Q. Why did you not accept that 15 TCP here, so I would say just TCP, maybe ABCD, 15 16 suggestion? 16 and I don't think we ever got to Add Health. 17 Everything was shut down before they approved. 17 A. I'm not sure I remember. I mean, 18 I'd have to think about it. It was a lot of Q. So at the time after Dr. Ward 19 requested that the data be deleted, you think data. I suppose I could have maybe took the 20 there was TCP data, but you're unsure about the 20 hard drive out and drove it to CSU. I mean, it 21 took us ten days to download it, so it was 21 ABCD data? 22 A. Well, we were reformatting the hard 22 massive amounts of data. I don't remember why 23 or what my reasoning was at that point. I 23 drive to wipe out TCP, and I don't remember. Q. I don't know how you reformat a 24 don't think I ever said no. I just didn't 24 25 hard drive without getting rid of everything. upload it or didn't send it to CSU. Page 147 Page 149 Can you do that? 1 1 2 A. Yes. But your question was, if I 2 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 16, an Email String, was marked for understood it correctly, was the ABCD -- was 3 the ABCD data on that hard drive. 4 purposes of identification.) 5 5 O. Yes, that is my question. 6 A. I don't remember. 6 Q. Dr. Pesta, you've been handed 7 Q. Okay. Do you recall that John Exhibit 16, if you want to take a look at it 8 Fuerst objected to the deletion of the data? just to refresh your recollection, about the 9 option to transfer the data and segregate it. A. I do. 10 Q. Do you recall that on May 27th of 10 A. I do remember this, yes. 11 2021 the NIH told both you and CSU that they Q. Okay. And your email to Dr. Ward 11 12 wanted confirmation of the deletion of all of says you have terabytes of data? 13 the data within 30 days? 13 A. On the hard drive, yes. 14 14 A. I remember that date. That's the What was the storage capacity of 15 email I got, the 615-day-late email. 15 it? 16 Q. Yes. But it said delete all the 16 A. I honestly don't remember. I mean, 17 data and let us know by June 27th, right? 17 it's at home in my basement. I can go look at 18 A. I don't remember June 27th, but it 18 it. 19 did. 19 Q. Who actually bought it, you or 20 Q. All right. John Fuerst raised an 20 John? 21 objection saying I'm not done with this and I 21 A. It was ordered through the mail, so am in the process of publishing and you're 22 it just was delivered to my house. wrong, NIH, so this data should not be deleted? 23 23 Q. Who ordered it? 24 A. I think the better characterization 24 A. I don't remember. Probably me. 25 of his argument was that data analyses was 25 That hard drive on the Newegg

38 (Pages 146 - 149)

Page 150

- 1 that's in your basement would still have a
- 2 record of the date of reformatting; wouldn't
- 3 it?
- 4 A. I'm not a tech person to that
- 5 level. I don't know.
- Q. Let's go back to Exhibit 5 if you 7 would.
- 8 It will probably be the last one I A. 9 get to.
- 10 Q. Are you with me?
- 11 Yes. A.
- 12 Q. Okay. Thank you. Flip over to
- 13 page 40 of the report, please.
- A. Okay. 14
- 15 O. In this section the committee
- 16 members are inquiring about John Fuerst and
- 17 whether he'd be willing to come in and talk to
- 18 the committee. Do you remember them asking
- 19 that?

1

- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And you said at this time that
- 22 you'd be willing to try to talk to him to get
- 23 him to come in, and I think one of the things
- 24 you said at that time was he was in Spain or
- 25 out of the country on a vacation?
- Page 151

- A. Correct.
- Q. So it might take a little time to
- get to him, but you agreed that you would try
- to discuss it, yes?
- Yes. 5 Α.
- 6 Okay. And then you said at the top
- at page 41, "Yeah, so I don't want to make it
- seem like John is the problem and everything is
- 9 John's fault because I've made mistakes, but
- 10 he's sort of gone a little bit rogue, and I
- 11 suspect there is no way he would talk to any
- 12 CSU people, but I could ask."
- 13 Why did he not want to talk to CSU
- 14 people?

17

- 15 A. You'd have to ask John that. I
- 16 don't know.
 - Q. But you thought he wasn't going to?
- A. Yeah. Well, his personality is 18
- such that I suspected that he wouldn't, but it 19
- was speculation.
- 21 Q. Okay. Flip over to page 42.
- 22 Okay.
- 23 Q. Conor McLennan asks you, "So,
- 24 Bryan, just following up on that last question
- 25 which is how have you and your research team

Page 152 1 handled any confidentiality or anonymity

- 2 concerns surrounding the data set. So my
- 3 understanding is that John still has the data
- 4 set, and it's not clear with whom he is sharing
- 5 it or what websites the data might be stored
- 6 on. So he was part of the original team and I
- understand is no longer affiliated with CSU,
- 8 but he gained access to the data set under your
- supervision at CSU. Is that right?"
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And you said, "Yeah, I agree with
- 12 you, Conor. I'm responsible for that, but I
- 13 don't know that he still has the data." You go
- 14 on to say, "I do know that he wants to publish
- 15 more studies that do not have my name on them,
- 16 but you can do that without the data if the
- 17 analyses are done. The only risk is if a
- 18 reviewer wants additional analysis, you
- 19 wouldn't have the data to do that."
- 20 A. Yeah. That's the rogue comment
- 21 reference.
- 22 Q. Because he wanted to keep it in
- 23 order to be able to respond to reviewers, too,
- 24 unless somebody needed to do a different
- 25 analysis?

Page 153

- 2 Q. You're aware, are you not, that he
- 3 has never confirmed that he doesn't have the
- data?

1

13

14

17

- 5 A. I talked to him after the fact, I
- think, and he doesn't have it, but I didn't
- know that for sure, so I didn't want to say
- 8 that I'm absolutely betting my job that he
- 9 doesn't have it.

A. Correct.

- 10 Q. When did you discuss that with him?
- A. Maybe two years ago. I don't know. 11
- 12 It was a while ago, though.
 - Q. What exactly did you ask him?
 - A. I can't quote the verbatim, but do
 - you have the data, I don't know, something like
- that, the restricted access data.
 - Q. And what did he say?
- 18 A. I don't remember, but it was a no basically.
- 19
- 20 Q. Can you nail down a year when you
- 21 had this conversation? Where was it? On the
- 22 phone? In person?
- 23 A. We'd occasionally, maybe every four 24 months, go out to lunch just to catch up. I'm
 - pretty sure it would have been one of those

Page 154 Page 156 1 occasions. 1 Q. How do you know that he did not? 2 O. And he said no or words to that 2 A. I saw no evidence of it, and he 3 effect? 3 told me no when I asked him whenever that day 4 Correct. Α. 4 was. 5 Q. Does he have derivatives of the 5 Q. So you asked him two questions? 6 data? 6 A. I don't remember. There was a 7 A. I don't even know what that is. 7 conversation where I asked, not verbatim, did 8 This came up in the committee. Can you define you ever have a copy of the data, and he said what you mean by derivatives? 9 no. I didn't qualify it all data, phenotypic 10 Q. No. 10 data, genotypic data. Q. All right. So do you recall that 11 A. Can you ask the question again 11 12 then? 12 in the committee interview you were asked this 13 MR. KELLY: Object to the question, 13 question about whether John still had the data, 14 ambiguous. 14 and you said not that I know of? Do you recall 15 Q. Does -- what are R Notebooks? 15 that? 16 A. So they are statistical packages, 16 A. Not that I know of. I think that's 17 software programs, that will do your 17 verbatim, yeah. 18 statistical analyses, and R is the one we used 18 Q. And then subsequently you were 19 for TCP. asked how he would have had access to the data, 19 20 Q. Do R Notebooks contain derivative and your answer was something, and we can go, 21 data from the underlying data set? we'll look for it if you want to, but something 21 22 A. No. They're more -- it's almost 22 on the order of I supervised him, and I don't 23 like computer programming. They are code on think he took the data unless he stole it. Do 24 how you should run the analyses, what tests you 24 vou remember that? want to conduct, and stuff like that. 25 A. Yes. Page 155 Page 157 1 Q. So they aren't the tests Q. And then later on -- and now look 1 themselves. They are just how do you do the 2 at page 98, please. This is the second 3 tests? 3 interview. 4 4 A. Yeah. It's the software that runs A. Okay. 5 Q. They recall that, those earlier 5 the tests. Q. Okay. So do you believe that the 6 questions from the first interview, and they only data that had to be deleted was the 7 are really asking the question why was the 8 original data received from NIH? 8 answer not that I'm aware of instead of, no, he 9 A. The TCP data you mean? 9 didn't have the data, and you said, "Well, if 10 Q. Correct. 10 he has it, I don't know of it. If he's A. Everything related to it, even the bluffing that he has it, but I think he's 11 12 phenotypic stuff, my understanding was you had 12 bluffing." And then Mallett asks, "Why would 13 to delete everything. I think the NIH decision 13 John be bluffing, any idea?" And you said, 14 is where you can keep something for a 14 "Well, I think his life's mission is to get 15 conference presentation, but we didn't -- that 15 this research published." 16 didn't apply. 16 What did you mean by that? 17 Q. So when you said that John deleted 17 A. Not Lasker, et al., specifically, 18 but he wants to answer the question why are 18 the data, or that's the question that you asked 19 him, did it include everything? there IQ gaps across races. 19 20 A. It's all or nothing. I mean, that 20 O. Pardon me? 21 presumes that John had his own copy which I 21 A. His mission I guess is to research 22 don't think he did. 22 and answer the question why are there race 23 Q. I'm sorry? 23 differences on IQ tests. 24 A. I think your question presumes that 24 Q. And that is so important to him 25 he had his own copy, but he did not. 25 that he might have stolen the data?

Page 158 Page 160 1 A. So this was a stressful situation. 1 So I'm just verifying I was fired 2 I didn't -- I couldn't say 100 percent sure 2 March 4th of '21? 3 MR. KELLY: '22. that he didn't have it, so I -- that's what I 4 '22. 4 said. Q. 5 5 A. I'm sorry. I don't know. I Q. Okay. And this conversation that 6 happened sometime in the last two years, and we 6 suspect after, but I'm just -don't know when, but it might have happened at 7 Q. Okay. Did you reengage with John 8 Fuerst after you were terminated? a lunch with him, and so you asked him if he 9 A. Yes, to collaborate on unrelated deleted the data, and he said yes? 10 A. I asked -- no. I don't think I 10 research. He might have reached out to me. I don't know which way it went. 11 asked that. I asked him if he had the data or 12 Q. How did you meet John? 12 ever had the data unauthorized, yeah. Q. Okay. All right. Did you ask him 13 A. Initially you mean? 13 14 14 how come he wouldn't confirm that information Q. Yes. 15 as requested by CSU? 15 A. Physically meet him or meet him on 16 A. No. 16 the internet? 17 17 Q. Either. Q. Why not? So we publish in the same area. 18 A. I think John had the right to 18 Around I want to say 2016 we published like a 19 appeal, and I thought the NIH would reply. 19 20 They didn't give him one. He appealed to two point-counterpoint piece where John and Emil other NIH committees. They didn't reply. So published a paper arguing that and I tried to 22 until that happened -- can you repeat the 22 rebut it. 23 23 question? Q. What was the paper? 24 Could I see my vita? 24 Q. Sure. Did you ask John at A. 25 25 this -- when you asked him have you ever had Q. Sure. Page 159 Page 161 the data or whatever the phrasing of the A. Oh, Does Race Differences 1 1 question was, did you ask him, well, why don't 2 Cause -- Does IQ Cause Race Differences in 3 you just tell that to CSU and to NIH? 3 Well-Being. That would be number 15 published A. No. 4 in 2016. 4 5 Why not? 5 Q. So you would have been -- did you A. I think he did tell it to NIH. meet him in person during that time or just on 6 Well, I don't know. I mean, I just didn't ask. 7 line? I mean, he refused to be interviewed so. 8 A. No. I don't even know if I had a Q. During the interview with the lot of direct contact with him, but we were 10 committee, you indicated to the committee that 10 invited to publish his piece attacking my 11 you had distanced yourself both professionally research and then my rebuttal. 12 and personally from John Fuerst. Do you recall 12 Q. In what publication was that? 13 that? A. I think Mankind Quarterly, yes. 13 14 14 A. At that time that was true, but Q. I'm sorry? 15 I've since reengaged. 15 A. The journal is called Mankind Q. After the termination? 16 16 Quarterly. 17 A. Yes. I can't be fired again. 17 Q. And that was run by Richard Lynn, 18 Q. Two years ago you wouldn't have 18 right? 19 been fired. 19 A. Phil Rushton and then Richard Lynn, 20 March 4th, 2021, right? A. 20 correct. 21 Yes. 21 Q. You're aware that Fuerst was a Q. 22 So can you repeat the question? 22 student at CSU for some period of time, right? 23 Did you have this lunch or wherever 23 A. Yeah. I thought he graduated, but 24 it was that you talked to John about the data, 24 I know he had like 130 credits, so I just 25 was that before or after you were fired? 25 assumed he was graduated. I didn't scroll to

41 (Pages 158 - 161)

Page 162 1 the bottom to see degree conferral. So it's my 1 A. I think you could argue that he is. 2 understanding he had enough credits to graduate 2 I mean, I think he passed the Daubert test with his vita. 3 but never did. 4 Q. While he was an undergraduate at Q. Meaning the Daubert test for expert 4 5 CSU, did you have him in any of your courses? 5 testimony? A. I didn't even know him back then. 6 A. Yes. Q. Based on what? 7 Q. What do you understand about John 7 8 A. I mean, you have to get these 8 Fuerst's educational attainment? 9 things approved. If you're not a good A. I don't think he has a degree 10 researcher, you're going to get rejected. He's 10 since -- I incorrectly told the provost I 11 believe that he graduated CSU, but that was a got a pretty good vita, CV. Q. Yes. Where did you acquire his CV? 12 mistake in reading his transcript. 12 Q. Does he have a degree from 13 13 A. Google Scholar has them all. I 14 anywhere? 14 mean, you can just search anyone's name. Q. Google Scholar is primarily 15 A. I don't -- he might. I don't know. 15 16 It would only be an undergrad. 16 prepared by the subject, meaning the scholar? A. No. I mean, I have papers on 17 Q. A baccalaureate? 17 18 memory and cognition which is not differential 18 A. Yes. psychology, but if you go to my page, it will 19 Q. What are other institutions of 20 higher education with which he has been 20 show all my publications. It's not broken down 21 by a discipline. associated to your knowledge? 22 Q. What led you to conclude that 22 A. John? 23 either Emil or John had the requisite expertise 23 Q. Yes? A. I don't know. 24 to be a coauthor on the Lasker paper? 24 25 A. It got approved. It got accepted. 25 O. NC State? Page 163 Page 165 1 A. Somewhere in North Carolina sounds 1 I'm sorry, I misunderstood your question. My 2 familiar, but I don't know if that was the 2 interactions with them. specific school, nor do I know if he 3 3 Q. How often have you published papers with coauthors who are not degreed? registered. 4 Q. I'm sorry? 5 A. Can I take a quick scan? 5 A. I don't know if he registered. 6 6 Q. Sure. Q. I see. What is his field of 7 7 Well, not counting Emil or Fuerst? 8 expertise? 8 A. Both him and Emil are self-taught, 9 A. And Lasker is a Ph.D. student. I 10 it's my expertise, too, in differential 10 don't know what degrees he has. 11 psychology and statistics. We're going to talk about him in a 11 Q. 12 Q. What is a biometrician? 12 second. 13 A. I think he wanted to get a Ph.D. in 13 A. Probably none, but just let me 14 that. Maybe that was North Carolina. It's 14 double-check. No. 16, I don't think Omasta, 15 just high level computing to analyze data to my 15 that's O-M-A-S-T-A, had a degree, and that 16 understanding. 16 would be it. 17 Q. Do you know whether he has done any 17 Q. And that's your personal 18 experience. How about more broadly, other 18 coursework in that? A. I don't know. 19 scholars besides yourself, how usual is it? 19 O. And what is differential 20 A. I never thought about it. I think 20 21 psychology? 21 what matters is you produce a quality 22 A. So it's the study of why people 22 manuscript that survives peer review. It 23 differ; some are tall, some are short, some are 23 doesn't matter if you're someone in the street.

24 If the science is good, that proves your

25 qualifications, and by good I mean survives

25

24 smart, some are not.

Q. He isn't a geneticist; agreed?

1 peer review.

- 2 Q. What do you know about Jordan
- 3 Lasker, I keep calling him John, Jordan Lasker?
 - A. Jordan I had never heard of. The
- 5 problem is it's a really complicated
- 6 statistical technique, and neither John nor I
- 7 knew how to do it, so we brought him on aboard.
- 8 Q. Who did know of him if neither you 9 nor John knew of him?
- 10 A. We -- what we didn't know is how to
- 11 do the statistics. I didn't know Jordan, but I
- 12 guess John did.

4

- 13 Q. Was it John who invited Jordan to
- 14 be a coauthor?
- 15 A. I don't know. It wasn't me.
- 16 Q. Who invited Emil to be a coauthor?
- 17 A. I think he was involved from the
- 18 beginning, but he's -- he didn't have access to
- 19 the genetic data, so I don't think he appears
- 20 on these applications.
- Q. Yes, I'm aware of that, but that
- 22 wasn't my question. Who invited Emil to be
- 23 part of the project?

1

- A. It probably was just John and I,
- 25 one of us or maybe both of us.

Page 166 1 educational degrees.

- 2 Q. Why would you not care whether
- 3 the --

11

13

- 4 A. I care, but you recognize their
- 5 names. I mean, they are people who publish in

Page 168

Page 169

- 6 the field. That makes them experts to do the 7 peer review.
- Q. And you mentioned before that itwould have been the action editors who would
- 10 have been making the review assignments?
 - A. Yeah. I don't think I ever did.
- 12 Q. Pardon me?
 - A. I'm not sure I ever did do that.
- 14 But, yeah, the action editors, but I'm going on 15 my memory.
- Q. I think your testimony was you would have had to approve it, you would have rubber stamped it, but it would have been the action editors who decided.
- A. I would have approved the final decision as recommended by the action editors.
- Q. But you wouldn't have independently gone and redone the work of the action editors to determine who was best. You would have
- 25 relied upon them for those decisions. Is that

Page 167

- Q. Did you know Emil before?
- 2 A. I had never met him, but through
- 3 interactions on the internet, yes.
- 4 Q. Where on the internet?
- 5 A. Well, it would be our publications 6 and that point-counterpoint, number 15.
- 7 Q. Oh, he was on that as well?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Not just John?
- 10 A. I'll -- yes, I think it was Fuerst
- 11 and Kirkegaard one paper, and my rebuttal the
- 12 second paper.
- Q. Do you know whether the people who peer reviewed your papers at Psych were
- 14 peer reviewed your papers at Psych were
- 15 degreed?
- A. Yeah. It's hard -- I mean, you can publish without a degree, and it just depends
- 18 on the quality of the science, but I don't
- 19 think an editor would let you peer review
- 20 without that, but that's speculation.
- Q. Did you ever permit that when you
- 22 were the editor at Psych?
- A. Not -- I don't know. I mean, it's
- 24 not that I had the CVs of the reviewers; you
- 25 know what I mean? I didn't verify their

1 what you're saying?

- 2 A. My philosophy was don't trump the
- 3 action editors unless you have a good reason,4 and there was no good reason for any paper that
- 5 was published in Psych that I was the editor in
- 6 chief at.
- 7 Q. Okay. Do you know the names of
- 8 anybody at MD -- 9 A. MDPI?
- 10 Q. Yes, who have control over Psych.
- 11 A. I know there is a new editor. I
- 12 don't even know who he is. So I don't know, 13 no.
- 14 Q. What do you understand is the 15 function of IRB?
- 16 A. To make sure that your research 17 participants will be safe.
 - Q. Human subjects?
- 19 A. Human subjects, yes. I think even
- 20 for animals, too. They will be safe. They
- 21 have privacy. They won't have any violations
- 22 like privacy, et cetera.
- Q. Okay. And I believe it's your
- 24 contention that none of the studies that you
 - 5 were interested in --

Page 170 Page 172 1 Α. TCP. 1 You may answer, Bryan. 2 Q. -- relating to TCP required that it A. I have two answers that I think 3 go through the IRB approval process; is that 3 both address your question. The first is just 4 right? 4 logical. I didn't know this was going to blow 5 That's what it says right on the A. 5 up on me in 2019, it was like a year and a half 6 NIH website. after we accessed the data, and it was an 7 Q. Did you consider that, even if the oversight to not report to NIH that I was NIH did not require going through the IRB 8 uploading to the external server. process, CSU's policy would have required that? So I would have had to be psychic, MR. KELLY: Object to the form of 10 I think, that back in 2018 that someone was 10 the question, assumes facts not in evidence. 11 going to say two years later I needed an IRB 12 Could you repeat that? I'm sorry. 12 approval when they don't even have -- that's 13 Q. Do you know whether independent of 13 it, yeah. 14 NIH's requirements for IRB approval, do you 14 Q. Do you dispute the following 15 know whether CSU's IRB approval process 15 statement, CSU policy requires that anytime you 16 required you to go to IRB to either get are doing research involving human subjects 16 17 approval or an exemption? that you must either get from CSU's IRB an 17 18 A. See, it's NIH's data. Suppose CSU 18 exemption or an approval? 19 says approve it, but NIH doesn't want to 19 MR. KELLY: Object to the form of 20 approve it? I'm not getting it. So the the question, ambiguous, and I believe it also 20 21 ultimate authority, the only authority, the assumes facts not in evidence. You may answer, 21 22 only decider is NIH. 22 Bryan. 23 Q. So CSU's research policies don't 23 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry to do this 24 matter if it's NIH data? 24 to you, but can you repeat it? 25 TCP data. It says it doesn't need 25 (Record read.) Page 171 Page 173 1 it. I didn't get it because it said it didn't 1 MR. KELLY: Same objection. need it. 2 A. These are archival data. I never 3 Q. So if NIH's policy requires a 3 met the participants of the study that was specific threshold of protection for the collected in --Q. Wait. You're answering a different subjects, and we'll just call it threshold A, 6 but CSU says, okay, but we want threshold B so question than I just asked. we're going to provide more protection, why 7 A. No. I do not agree for TCP data 8 would that mean that you wouldn't have to specifically. 8 comply with CSU's --9 9 Q. You believe that because why? 10 A. The data --10 A. I think there is like four good 11 MR. KELLY: Object to the form of 11 arguments. 12 the question. 12 O. Give them all to me. 13 Q. Hold on. 13 A. The first, they are archival data. 14 A. I'm sorry. 14 I came in contact with no human. So the 15 Q. Let me finish and let him have his 15 concern is it's really sensitive data, and 16 objection, and then you can answer. 16 theoretically if somebody got it, they could 17 MR. KELLY: Thank you, Ms. Giffen. say that line number three of the spreadsheet 17 18 Q. So let's start over again. If 18 is Jane Doe, so that needs to be protected. 19 NIH's policy to protect the subjects is at That's all through the NIH. There is nothing 20 level A, but CSU wants to employ a higher level 20 CSU could have added that was needed given what 21 of protection for the research that comes out 21 NIH -- you know, the application process for 22 of its institution, why would you not comply 22 NIH. 23 with CSU's requirements? 23 Q. I don't think you listed four 24 MR. KELLY: Object to the form of 24 things, and you said there are four arguments. 25 the question, assumes facts not in evidence. 25 How many did I list?

Page 174

1 Q. I feel unsure because you could

2 have put it all together.

MS. KAMINSKI: I think there was 3 4 two; no human contact and nothing CSU could 5 add.

6 A. Archival data. CSU is irrelevant because it's the NIH's data. It doesn't matter

- what CSU says. If NIH doesn't want to give it to me, they are not. If NIH does want to give
- 10 it to me, they will. Now, of course it has to
- 11 go through CSU on these initial applications,
- 12 but they approved I think everything I did.
- 13 Q. So let's just -- let's take a for 14 instance then with what you just said.
- 15 A. Sure.
- 16 Q. If NIH said, yes, you can have the data, and we don't think you need to run it by your institution's IRB approval.
- 19 A. It's not a thing. That's what it 20 says on the website.
- 21 Q. CSU says, yeah, we don't agree. At 22 this institution that is not enough. You have
- 23 to either get an IRB approval or an exemption,
- and you don't get to decide between those two,
- 25 only IRB does.

- 1 A. Yeah, but the problem is they made that determination two years after I got -- I
- applied for the data. How could you go back in time and apply for IRB?
- 5 Q. That begs the question of shouldn't you have found out in the first place?
- 7 A. No. I mean, I complied with everything that NIH wanted me to do, and it
- 9 clearly says, and I don't know how many times I
- 10 have to say it, and it's even in the -- the
- 11 committee even included it in the report.
- 12 There is a table that says is IRB needed, no,
- 13 so why would I go through CSU's IRB?
- 14 I did for a later data set, ABCD, 15 because it said it's needed.
- 16 Q. Meaning NIH said it's needed?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Did you get an exemption, by the
- 19 way?
- 20 A. I did for either it was Add Health
- 21 or ABCD. I don't remember.
- 22 Q. So actually going through CSU IRB
- 23 doesn't mean you have to go through the
- rigamarole of the approval process because you 25 can get an exemption, right? That's an option?

1

- A. I did get it for a later data set.
- Q. That's right. So the option here
- 3 with all of the TCP data was to go to the IRB
- 4 and then you could point out that NIH doesn't
- 5 require IRB approval and ask for an exemption?
- 6 A. Why would I go to CSU's IRB when
- 7 clearly, and I think everybody admits it, NIH
- says it's not needed?
- Q. Isn't that, Dr. Pesta, just saying
- 10 CSU doesn't matter?
- 11 MR. KELLY: Object to the form of 12 the question.
- 13 A. I don't think so because they have
- 14 to approve my initial application. They could
- 15 have pointed it out then. They didn't. Go 16 ahead.
- 17 Q. Do you agree with this statement or
- 18 disagree with this statement, the policy of CSU
- is that only IRB gets to decide that research
- involving human subjects requires IRB approval
- 21 or is exempt?
- 22 MR. KELLY: Object to the form of
- 23 the question.
- 24 A. Not for TCP. It's irrelevant.
- 25 It's like they are out of jurisdiction for TCP

Page 177

Page 176

- data, archival data collected like 12 years ago. I had no contact with the human subjects.
- I did have their a DNA. That's it.
- Q. Wouldn't a careful researcher who
- was using human subject data have just gone to
- IRB and said I think we're exempt, but I'm
- doing -- I'm crossing my Ts and dotting my Is?
- 8 MR. KELLY: Object to the form of
- 9 the question, assumes facts not in evidence and 10 is ambiguous as well. You can answer, Bryan.
 - A. No. I mean, NIH says you don't need it. Why would I go through it?
- Q. It doesn't matter if CSU's policy 13
- 14 says otherwise? 15 A. I'm not saying that. I think NIH
- 16 realizes that it's archival data. You're not interacting in real life with these people.
- 18 That is their only interest is in preserving
- the anonymity of the participants. So what
- 20 could I have said to CSU in 2018? I don't
- 21 know.

- 22 Q. Isn't part of what you do is call into question whether the data would remain
- 24 anonymous? According to CSU. I strongly

5

Page 178 disagree with that.

- Q. Why do you disagree with that? 2
- A. Because it's all BS. I didn't do 3
- these things they are charging me with -- they
- 5 charged me with.

1

- 6 Q. You didn't upload the data to a 7 Dutch website?
- 8 A. Yeah, the one exception is that,
- and I've admitted that throughout this whole 10 investigation.
- Q. And, as you described earlier when 11 12 you described what that website did, added
- 13 information that wasn't originally in the TCP
- 14 data with respect to the participants?
- 15 MR. KELLY: Object to the form of 16 the question, assumes facts not in evidence,
- 17 ambiguous.
- 18 You can answer the question.
- 19 Yeah, it was an oversight. That
- 20 could be like number three if we're keeping
- 21 track still. It just did not occur to me that
- 22 I should have gotten prior approval as it's
- 23 called from NIH to upload to an external
- 24 server.
- 25 Q. Let's talk about that. That is you

- 1 A. I think it's the NIH's data. If
 - they say IRB is not needed, it's not needed.

Page 180

- 3 Q. We're actually talking about the
- upload of the data to the website right now.
- A. Well, I mean, it was an oversight. 6 If I was wise enough or whatever the word is in
- 2018 to realize that that should be reported,
- then maybe I'd agree with that, but I wouldn't
- have reported it to CSU. I would have reported 10 it to the NIH.
- 11 Can I follow-up real quick?
- 12 Q. Sure.
- 13 A. Even Kent Taylor retracted his
- 14 initial complaint that I needed the IRB because
- he actually then looked at it and saw that I 15
- 16 didn't, so he retracted it. It's got to be in
- 17 Exhibit 5.

19

- 18 Q. Where does Kent Taylor work?
 - UCLA.
- 20 Q. Would he know anything about the
- CSU IRB approval process or when it's required 21
- 22 to submit to CSU IRB?
- 23 MR. KELLY: Object to the form of 24 the question.
- 25 So he starts out with a negative

Page 179

- 1 pretty consistently conceded that that should
- 2 have been disclosed to NIH, that you were going
- 3 to upload that data and what you were going to
- 4 do with it, right?
- 5 A. Yes. It was an oversight.
- Q. So why wasn't that research 6 7 misconduct?
- 8 A. It was a mistake, I mean, unless
- 9 you think there was some devious purpose behind
- 10 it. But I don't see what advantage I would
- 11 have got by hiding it from NIH that I was
- 12 estimating skin color. It just did not occur
- 13 to me that we had to do that until after it was
- 14 pointed out two years later at which time I
- 15 couldn't go back and reget -- to 2018 and get
- 16 IRB approval.

17

- The only thing that matters then
- 18 was I was sincerely sorry for that and I am
- 19 still, but the question is did it cause any
- 20 harm, and it did not.
- 21 Q. So is that the standard we should
- 22 use is whether the misconduct caused harm?
- 23 A. I think --
- 24 MR. KELLY: Object to the form of
- 25 the question, assumes misconduct.

- Page 181
- 1 attack focused on not getting IRB.
- 2 Q. My question is, do you know whether 3 Kent Taylor knows anything about CSU's IRB
- approval process? Not NIH's, CSU's.
- 5 A. They are reasonably standardized
- across universities, so I don't know how to 6
- 7 answer that.
- 8 Q. So you don't know whether he knows
- or he doesn't know; is that the answer? 9
- 10 MR. KELLY: Object to the form of
- the question, mischaracterizes the testimony. 11 12
 - A. I don't know for sure, yeah.
- Q. Okay. What trainings were you 13
- involved in at CSU to engage in research? 14
- 15 A. In general research?
- 16 Q. Yes.
- A. I mean, I was educated there for 17
- 18 three degrees.
- 19 Q. Did you have any specific education about research policy or research ethics? 20
- 21 A. Yeah, I was even on the IRB
- 22 committee at the university level 15 years ago.
- 23 Q. Okay. Any specific training
- 24 courses? 25

Yeah, so you have to take a

| | Page 182 | _ | Page 184 |
|----------|---|-----|---|
| | e e | 1 | A. It's 34. I wonder if the policy is |
| 2 | master's, and Ph.D., and it would be a chapter | 2 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 3 | in that course. | 3 | Q. Okay. Well, we're going to have to |
| 4 | Q. Do you recall what the name of the | 4 | wait to do that because it's not easily |
| 5 | text was that was used in the courses that you | 5 | available. |
| 6 | took? | 6 | A. Okay. |
| 7 | A. For the graduate classes it was | 7 | Q. It's the Ohio Administrative Code |
| 8 9 | probably more journal articles. I have no idea what the book was in 1988 or whenever I took | 8 9 | sections that include breaking research policy? A. Well, I don't know if the ORC is |
| 10 | it. | | |
| 11 | Q. I understand that you have an | 10 | incorporated into CSU's policy, but it's on there. If you go to mycsuohio.edu and type in |
| 12 | objection that you were not permitted to | 12 | academic research misconduct, the first thing |
| 13 | cross-examine the complainants during the | 13 | that comes up is a long policy on how it all |
| 14 | committee investigation process; is that right? | 14 | works. |
| 15 | A. The external like Bird and Taylor, | 15 | Q. I want to be clear, I didn't say |
| 16 | correct? | 16 | the Ohio Revised Code, the Ohio Administrative |
| 17 | Q. Yes. Is that right? | 17 | Code. |
| 18 | A. Correct. | 18 | A. Okay. I didn't catch that. |
| 19 | Q. What makes you think you have a | 19 | MR. KELLY: Object to the form. I |
| 20 | right to cross-examine? | 20 | don't think the witness knows what you're |
| 21 | A. It's there in the policy. I mean, | 21 | referring to. |
| 22 | we can pull it up. I don't have the specific | 22 | MS. GIFFEN: Okay. |
| 23 | number memorized. But it says I don't think | 23 | MS. KAMINSKI: I think so. |
| 24 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 24 | Q. All right. After the report comes |
| l | something like, paraphrasing, the defendant has | 25 | |
| | Page 183 | | Page 185 |
| 1 | the right to write out questions to be | 1 | A. The committee's report? |
| 2 | submitted to these witnesses and to get their | 2 | Q. Yes. |
| 3 | replies. | 3 | A. Yes. |
| 4 | Q. Did you do that? | 4 | Q. On |
| 5 | A. I didn't know they were being | 5 | A. Do you I mind? I want to take my |
| 6 | interviewed until I got the transcripts of | 6 | tie off. |
| 7 | their interview. | 7 | |
| 8 | Q. Right. Did you do that afterwords? | 8 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 17, a |
| 9 | A. I sent a rebuttal to CSU. | 9 | Document Entitled Interview |
| 10 | Q. You know that the committee | 10 | (10/11/21) Supplement, Bryan Pesta, |
| 11 | received that rebuttal, right? | 11 | was marked for purposes of |
| 12 | A. It's in the final report. | 12 | identification.) |
| 13 | Q. So you were permitted to provide | 13 | |
| 14 | your questions, concerns, statements of | 14 | Q. Handing you what's been marked as |
| 15 | disagreement with anything the complainants | 15 | Exhibit 17, Dr. Pesta, can you confirm to me |
| 16 | said during their interviews, right? | 16 | this is one of the documents that you provided |
| 17 | MR. KELLY: Object to the form. | 17 | to the committee, and it's specifically a |
| 18 | A. That's not the policy, though. | 18 | supplement that you provided after your |
| 19 | MR. KELLY: Objection. | 19 | October 11th interview, right? |
| 20 | Q. What is the policy? | 20 | A. Was that the first or second |
| 21 | A. The policy was that I was allowed | 21 | interview? |
| | to write out questions before the interview to | 22 | Q. That was the second interview. |
| 22 | • | | - |
| 22 23 | have it submitted to like Bird and Taylor and | 23 | A. Correct. |
| 22 | • | | - |

| 1 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 18, an Email From Bryan J. Pesta Dated 15/15/22, was marked for purposes of identification.) 3 1/15/22, was marked for purposes of identification.) 5 6 Q. I should say before we go to this 7 next one, you were provided a draft copy of the 8 final report in December of 2021, correct? 9 A. I don't remember the exact date, 10 but it sounds like December is correct. 11 Q. And you on December 2nd provided a 12 rebuttal to that report, correct? 13 A. I'm sure I did. 14 Q. Okay. And then the committee on 15 January 13th issues its final report which is 16 Exhibit 5? 18 Q. Yes. 19 A. Okay. 19 Q. I mean, you can check. You don't 21 have to take my word for it. 20 Q. I mean, you can check. You don't 21 have to take my word for it. 22 A. You're talking about the ad hoc 25 committee? 24 Q. No, no, the final investigative 25 committee report. 11 A. This big document? 22 Q. Correct. 3 A. And what date did you say that was? 4 Q. I said January 13th, 2022. 5 A. That sounds correct. I thought you were talking about the ad hoc committee. 7 Q. So after the committee issued its 8 report, you received | | | | |
|--|----|---|-----|---|
| an Email From Bryan J. Pesta Dated 1/15/22, was marked for purposes of identification.) 5 | 1 | Page 186 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 18 | 1 | Page 188 |
| 3 Q. And when you say colleagues, who identification.) 5 Q. I should say before we go to this next one, you were provided a draft copy of the final report in December of 2021, correct? 9 A. I don't remember the exact date, 10 but it sounds like December is correct. 11 Q. And you on December 2nd provided a rebuttal to that report, correct? 12 rebuttal to that report, correct? 13 A. I'm sure I did. 14 Q. Okay. And then the committee on 15 January 13th issues its final report which is 16 Exhibit 5? 16 Exhibit 5? 17 A. Is it Exhibit 5? 18 Q. Yes. 19 A. Okay. 10 Like may word for it. 10 January 13th issues its final report which is 16 Exhibit 5? 18 Q. Yes. 19 A. Okay. 10 January 13th ave to take my word for it. 10 January 13th issues of the committee or 12 January 13th ave to take my word for it. 12 January 13th ave to take my word for it. 12 January 13th ave to take my word for it. 13 January 13th over talking about the ad hoc 23 committee report. 19 January 13th over talking about the ad hoc 24 Q. No, no, the final investigative 25 A. That sounds correct. I thought you were talking about the ad hoc committee. 19 January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 19 January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 10 January 13th. I'm glad 12 January 13th. I'm glad 13 January 13th. I'm glad 14 January 13th, 2022. 13 January 13th. I'm glad 15 January 13th. I'm glad 16 January 13th. I'm glad 17 January 13th. I'm glad 18 January 13th. I'm glad 19 January 13th. I' | | | | |
| dentification.) 5 | 1 | | | |
| 5 A. Well, I don't know. It's a it doesn't show. We're on 18, right? 7 next one, you were provided a draft copy of the final report in December of 2021, correct? 9 A. I don't remember the exact date, lob but it sounds like December is correct. 11 Q. And you on December 2nd provided a 12 rebuttal to that report, correct? 13 A. I'm sure I did. 14 Q. Okay. And then the committee on 15 January 13th issues its final report which is 16 Exhibit 5? 17 A. Is it Exhibit 5? 18 Q. Yes. 19 A. Okay. 10 Like and that it am more collegial with. I'm pretty sure I copied the department chair who 14 at that time was Timothy DeGroot. He might 16 know. 15 January 13th issues its final report which is 16 Exhibit 5? 16 Exhibit 5? 17 A. Is it Exhibit 5? 18 Q. Yes. 19 A. Okay. 10 Decause I don't know. 11 A. Probably a lot of people in management that I am more collegial with. I'm pretty sure I copied the department chair who 14 at that time was Timothy DeGroot. He might 16 know. 16 Exhibit 5? 17 Q. Anybody else that you know? 18 A. I'm sure I sent it to people outside of CSU, too. No, I don't know. I 20 don't know. 20 Q. I mean, you can check. You don't 20 don't know. 21 Q. No, no, the final investigative 24 Q. No, no, the final investigative 25 committee report. Page 187 2 Q. Correct. 3 A. And what date did you say that was? 4 Q. I said January 13th, 2022. 5 A. That sounds correct. I thought you 6 were talking about the ad hoc committee. 7 Q. So after the committee issued its report, you received | | | | |
| 6 Q. I should say before we go to this 7 next one, you were provided a draft copy of the 8 final report in December of 2021, correct? 9 A. I don't remember the exact date, 10 but it is sounds like December is correct. 11 Q. And you on December 2nd provided a 12 rebutfal to that report, correct? 13 A. I'm sure I did. 14 Q. Okay. And then the committee on 15 January 13th issues its final report which is 16 Exhibit 5? 17 A. Is it Exhibit 5? 18 Q. Yes. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. I mean, you can check. You don't 21 have to take my word for it. 22 A. You're talking about the ad hoc 23 committee? 24 Q. No, no, the final investigative 25 committee report. Page 187 1 A. This big document? 2 Q. Correct. 3 A. And what date did you say that was? 4 Q. I said January 13th, 2022. 5 A. That sounds correct. I thought you 6 were talking about the ad hoc committee issued its 8 report, you received 9 MS. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the 10 provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 12 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a 14 Letter from the Office of the 15 Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked 16 for purposes of identification.) 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the 19 doesn't show. We're on 18, right? 2 Q. Yes. 8 A. It doesn't show. You see the 9 Q. I know. That's why I'm asking you, 10 because I don't know. 11 A. Probably a lot of people in 12 management that I am more collegial with. I'm 13 pretty sure I copied the department chair Unon't at that time was Timothy DeGroot. He might 14 A. I'm sure I sent it to people 15 whow. 16 because I don't know. 17 A. I'm sure I sent it to people in 18 at that time was Timothy DeGroot. He might 19 ware a sed partment chair. I don't know. 10 A. I'm sure I sent it to people outside of CSU, too. No, I don't know. 21 don't know. 22 A. You're talking about the ad hoc 23 committee? 24 Q. No, no, the final investigative 25 committee report. Page 187 1 A. I mean, I don't have a record. 2 This is all I've got. 3 Q. That's all right. That's the 4 answer. All right. Tha | 1 | | | |
| 7 next one, you were provided a draft copy of the 8 final report in December of 2021, correct? 9 9 A. I don't remember the exact date, 10 but it sounds like December is correct. 11 Q. And you on December 2nd provided a 12 rebuttal to that report, correct? 12 rebuttal to that report, correct? 13 A. I'm sure I did. 14 Q. Okay. And then the committee on 15 January 13th itssues its final report which is 16 Exhibit 5? 17 A. Is it Exhibit 5? 18 Q. Yes. 18 Q. Yes. 18 A. Okay. 19 Okay. 18 Q. Yes. 18 A. Okay. 19 Okay. 18 A. Okay. 19 Okay. 18 A. Okay. 19 O | 1 | O. I should say before we go to this | | |
| 8 final report in December of 2021, correct? 9 A. I don't remember the exact date, 10 but it sounds like December is correct. 11 Q. And you on December 2nd provided a 12 rebuttal to that report, correct? 13 A. I'm sure I did. 14 Q. Okay. And then the committee on 15 January 13th issues its final report which is 16 Exhibit 5? 17 A. Is it Exhibit 5? 18 Q. Yes. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. I mean, you can check. You don't 21 have to take my word for it. 22 A. You're talking about the ad hoc 23 committee? 24 Q. No, no, the final investigative 25 committee report. 26 Q. Tasid January 13th, 2022. 3 A. And what date did you say that was? 4 Q. I said January 13th, 2022. 5 A. That sounds correct. I thought you were talking about the ad hoc committee. 7 Q. So after the committee issued its report, you received 9 MS. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 12 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a late that for purposes of identification.) 15 Page 187 16 Correct. 17 A. This big document? 18 Q. You're looking at what we have. 19 A. I man, I don't have a record. 20 Correct. 21 A. I mean, I don't have a record. 22 This is all I've got. 23 Correct. 3 A. And what date did you say that was? 4 Q. I said January 13th, 2022. 5 A. That sounds correct. I thought you were talking about the ad hoc committee. 7 Q. So after the committee issued its report, you received 9 MS. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 12 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a late of provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked for purposes of identification.) 14 at that time was Timothy DeGroot. He might at that time was Timothy DeGroot. He mi | _ | | | - |
| 9 Q. I know. That's why I'm asking you, 10 but it sounds like December is correct. 11 Q. And you on December 2nd provided a 12 rebuttal to that report, correct? 13 A. I'm sure I did. 14 Q. Okay. And then the committee on 15 January 13th issues its final report which is 16 Exhibit 5? 17 A. Is it Exhibit 5? 18 Q. Yes. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. I mean, you can check. You don't 21 have to take my word for it. 22 A. You're talking about the ad hoc 23 committee? 24 Q. No, no, the final investigative 25 committee report. 1 A. This big document? 2 Q. Correct. 3 A. And what date did you say that was? 4 Q. I said January 13th, 2022. 5 A. That sounds correct. I thought you 6 were talking about the ad hoc committee. 7 Q. So after the committee issued its 8 report, you received 9 MS. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the 10 provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 12 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a 14 Letter from the Office of the 15 Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked 16 for purposes of identification.) 17 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the 18 Candon't know. 19 because I don't know. 10 because I don't know. 11 A. Probably a lot of people in 12 management that I am more collegial with. I'm 13 pretty sure I copied the department chair who 14 at that time was Timothy DeGroot. He might 15 still be there as department chair. I don't 16 know. 17 Q. Anybody else that you know? 18 A. I'm sure I sent it to people 19 outside of CSU, too. No, I don't know. I 20 don't know. 21 Q. Okay. All right. Do you have 22 something that would show to whom you sent it? 23 A. You guys should because this was 24 sent through CSU email. 25 Q. You're looking at what we have. 26 Q. You're looking at what we have. 27 That's all right. That's the 28 answer. All right. So you are aware that 29 G. That's all right. That's the 30 Q. That's all right. That's the 31 A. Correct. 4 A. Correct. 5 A. Ok because I don't know. 2 Okay. All right. Do you have 2 Okay. All right. Do you have 2 Okay. All right. That's the 3 A. Yo | | | | _ |
| 10 but it sounds like December is correct. 11 Q. And you on December 2nd provided a 12 rebuttal to that report, correct? 13 A. I'm sure I did. 14 Q. Okay. And then the committee on 15 January 13th issues its final report which is 16 Exhibit 5? 17 A. Is it Exhibit 5? 18 Q. Yes. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. I mean, you can check. You don't 21 have to take my word for it. 21 have to take my word for it. 22 A. You're talking about the ad hoc 23 committee? 24 Q. No, no, the final investigative 25 committee report. 25 A. This big document? 26 Q. Correct. 27 A. And what date did you say that was? 28 Q. Correct. 29 A. That sounds correct. I thought you 6 were talking about the ad hoc committee. 30 A. This big document? 41 A. This big document? 42 Q. So after the committee issued its 8 report, you received— 43 A. That sounds correct. I thought you 6 were talking about the ad hoc committee. 44 Q. So after the committee issued its 8 report, you received— 55 A. That sounds correct. I thought you 6 were talking about the ad hoc committee. 56 G. Freed, and the provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 57 Q. So after the Committee issued its 8 report, you received— 58 GIFFEN: I need to pull out the 10 provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 10 Charles and you are aware that 1 am and hoc committee? 11 A. This were stalking about the ad hoc committee. 12 A. Or or cecived— 13 A. And what date did you say that was? 14 Q. So after the committee issued its 8 report, you received— 15 A. Correct. 16 A. Or or cecived— 17 A. This were stalking agreement? 18 A. I mean, I don't have a record. 19 A. I mean, I don't have a record. 20 Correct. 21 A. Or or cecived— 22 A. Or or cecived— 23 A. And what date did you say that was? 24 Q. So after the committee issued its 8 report, you received— 25 A. Or or cecived— 26 A. Or or cecived— 27 A. Or or cecived— 28 A. Or or cecived— 29 A. Correct. 20 A. Or or cecived— 20 A. Or or cecived— 21 A. Or or cecived— 22 A. Or or cecived— 23 A. Or or cecived— 24 A. | | - | 9 | |
| 12 rebuttal to that report, correct? 13 A. I'm sure I did. 14 Q. Okay. And then the committee on 15 January 13th issues its final report which is 16 Exhibit 5? 16 Exhibit 5? 17 A. Is it Exhibit 5? 18 Q. Yes. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. I mean, you can check. You don't 21 have to take my word for it. 21 have to take my word for it. 22 A. You're talking about the ad hoc 23 committee report. 1 A. This big document? 2 Q. Correct. 3 A. And what date did you say that was? 4 Q. I said January 13th, 2022. 3 A. That sounds correct. I thought you were talking about the ad hoc committee. 4 Q. So after the committee issued its report, you received | | | 10 | |
| 12 rebuttal to that report, correct? 13 A. I'm sure I did. 14 Q. Okay. And then the committee on 15 January 13th issues its final report which is 16 Exhibit 5? 17 A. Is it Exhibit 5? 18 Q. Yes. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. I mean, you can check. You don't 21 have to take my word for it. 22 A. You're talking about the ad hoc 23 committee? 24 Q. No, no, the final investigative 25 committee report. Page 187 1 A. This big document? 2 Q. Correct. 3 A. And what date did you say that was? 4 Q. I said January 13th, 2022. 5 A. That sounds correct. I thought you 6 were talking about the ad hoc committee. 7 Q. So after the committee issued its 8 report, you received— 9 M.S. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the 10 provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 12 — 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a 14 Letter from the Office of the 15 Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked 16 for purposes of identification.) 17 — 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the 10 management that I am more collegial with. I'm 13 pretty sure I copied the department chair who 14 at that time was Timothy Decorot. He might 15 still be there as department chair. I don't 14 that time was Timothy DeGroot. He might 15 still be there as department chair. I don't 16 know. 17 Q. Anybody else that you know? A. I'm sure I sent it to people 16 outside of CSU, too. No, I don't know. I 20 Okay. All right. Do you have 21 something that would show to whom you sent it? 22 A. You guys should because this was 24 Sent through CSU email. 25 Q. That's all right. That's the 4 answer. All right. So you are aware that 26 following the provost's letter of January 13th 27 to the collective bargaining agreement? 28 A. Correct. 29 A. And do you remember who the people 20 A. To you remember who the people 21 A. Time an I don't have a record. 22 This is all I've got. 33 Q. That's all right. That's the 4 answer. All right. So you are aware that 5 following the provost's letter of January 13th 6 that an ad hoc committee was convened pursuant 7 to the collective | | Q. And you on December 2nd provided a | 11 | A. Probably a lot of people in |
| A. I'm sure I did. Q. Okay. And then the committee on 15 January 13th issues its final report which is 16 Exhibit 5? 17 A. Is it Exhibit 5? 18 Q. Yes. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. I mean, you can check. You don't 21 have to take my word for it. 21 A. You're talking about the ad hoc 23 committee? 22 A. You're talking about the ad hoc 25 committee report. 23 Committee report. 24 Q. No, no, the final investigative 25 committee report. 25 A. This big document? 26 Q. Correct. 3 A. And what date did you say that was? 4 Q. I said January 13th, 2022. 5 A. That sounds correct. I thought you 6 were talking about the ad hoc committee. 7 Q. So after the committee issued its 8 report, you received 9 MS. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the 10 provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 12 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a Letter from the Office of the 15 Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked 16 for purposes of identification.) 17 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the 19 at that time was Timothy DeGroot. He might at title twistill be there as department chair. I don't have a department chair. I don't have a Page 187 | 12 | | 12 | |
| 15 January 13th issues its final report which is 16 Exhibit 5? | 13 | | 13 | |
| 16 Exhibit 5? 17 A. Is it Exhibit 5? 18 Q. Yes. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. I mean, you can check. You don't 21 have to take my word for it. 22 A. You're talking about the ad hoc 23 committee? 24 Q. No, no, the final investigative 25 committee report. Page 187 1 A. This big document? 2 Q. Correct. 3 A. And what date did you say that was? 4 Q. I said January 13th, 2022. 5 A. That sounds correct. I thought you 6 were talking about the ad hoc committee. 7 Q. So after the committee. 8 report, you received 9 MS. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the 10 provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 12 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a Letter from the Office of the 15 Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked 16 for purposes of identification.) 18 k. I'm sure I sent it to people 19 outside of CSU, too. No, I don't know. I 20 don't know. 21 Q. Okay. All right. Do you have 22 something that would show to whom you sent it? 22 A. You guys should because this was 24 sent through CSU email. 25 Q. You're looking at what we have. Page 187 1 A. I mean, I don't have a record. 2 This is all I've got. 3 Q. That's all right. That's the 4 answer. All right. So you are aware that 5 following the provost's letter of January 13th 6 that an ad hoc committee was convened pursuant 7 to the collective bargaining agreement? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And do you remember who the people 10 were who were on the committee? 11 A. No, because they had their backs 12 turned to me. They wouldn't even talk to me or 13 address any of my questions. I don't remember. 14 Q. They had their backs turned to you? 15 A. They were sitting at a table. I 16 was on Zoom. Everybody else was present at 17 some room in CSU. I felt like I was almost 18 completely ignored. They did ask me some | 14 | Q. Okay. And then the committee on | 14 | at that time was Timothy DeGroot. He might |
| 17 A. Is it Exhibit 5? 18 Q. Yes. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. I mean, you can check. You don't 21 have to take my word for it. 22 A. You're talking about the ad hoc 23 committee? 24 Q. No, no, the final investigative 25 committee report. Page 187 A. This big document? Q. Correct. A. And what date did you say that was? 4 Q. I said January 13th, 2022. 5 A. That sounds correct. I thought you 6 were talking about the ad hoc committee. 7 Q. So after the committee issued its report, you received 9 MS. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the 10 provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 17 Q. Anybody else that you know? 18 A. I'm sure I sent it to people outside of CSU, too. No, I don't know. I 20 don't know. 21 Q. Okay. All right. Do you have something that would show to whom you sent it? 22 A. You guys should because this was sent through CSU email. 23 A. I mean, I don't have a record. 24 This is all I've got. 3 Q. That's all right. That's the 4 answer. All right. So you are aware that 5 following the provost's letter of January 13th of that an ad hoc committee ware convened pursuant 7 to the collective bargaining agreement? 28 A. Correct. 29 MS. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the 10 provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 19 outside of CSU, too. No, I don't know. 20 A. You guys should because this was sent through CSU email. 22 A. You guys should because this was sent through CSU email. 23 Q. That's all right. That's the 4 answer. All right. So you are aware that 5 following the provost's letter of January 13th 6 that an ad hoc committee were who were on the collective bargaining agreement? 8 A. Correct. 9 MS. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the 10 provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 11 A. No, because they had their backs 12 turned to me. They wouldn't even talk to me or address any of my questions. I don't remember. 12 Q. They had their backs turned to you? 13 A. No, because they had their backs turned to me. They were sitting at a table. I was | 15 | | 15 | still be there as department chair. I don't |
| 18 Q. Yes. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. I mean, you can check. You don't 21 have to take my word for it. 22 A. You're talking about the ad hoc 23 committee? 24 Q. No, no, the final investigative 25 committee report. 26 Q. Correct. 3 A. And what date did you say that was? 4 Q. I said January 13th, 2022. 5 A. That sounds correct. I thought you 6 were talking about the ad hoc committee. 7 Q. So after the committee issued its 8 report, you received 9 MS. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the 10 provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 12 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a 14 Letter from the Office of the 15 Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked 16 for purposes of identification.) 17 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the 18 A. I'm sure I sent it to people 19 outside of CSU, too. No, I don't know. 20 don't know. 21 Q. Okay. All right. Do you have 22 something that would show to whom you sent it? 23 A. You guys should because this was 24 sent through CSU email. 25 Q. You're looking at what we have. 1 A. I mean, I don't have a record. 2 This is all I've got. 3 Q. That's all right. That's the 4 answer. All right. So you are aware that 5 following the provost's letter of January 13th 6 that an ad hoc committee was convened pursuant 7 to the collective bargaining agreement? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And do you remember who the people 10 were who were on the committee? 11 A. No, because they had their backs 12 turned to me. They wouldn't even talk to me or 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a 14 Letter from the Office of the 15 Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked 16 for purposes of identification.) 17 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the | 16 | Exhibit 5? | 16 | know. |
| 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. I mean, you can check. You don't 21 have to take my word for it. 22 A. You're talking about the ad hoc 23 committee? 24 Q. No, no, the final investigative 25 committee report. 26 Page 187 1 A. This big document? 2 Q. Correct. 3 A. And what date did you say that was? 4 Q. I said January 13th, 2022. 5 A. That sounds correct. I thought you 6 were talking about the ad hoc committee. 7 Q. So after the committee issued its 8 report, you received 9 MS. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the 10 provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 12 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a 14 Letter from the Office of the 15 Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked 16 for purposes of identification.) 17 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the 19 outside of CSU, too. No, I don't know. 20 don't know. 21 Q. Okay. All right. Do you have 22 something that would show to whom you sent it? 23 A. You guys should because this was 24 sent through CSU email. 25 Q. You're looking at what we have. 1 A. I mean, I don't have a record. 2 This is all I've got. 3 Q. That's all right. So you are aware that 4 answer. All right. So you are aware that 5 following the provost's letter of January 13th 6 that an ad hoc committee was convened pursuant 7 to the collective bargaining agreement? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And do you remember who the people 10 were who were on the committee? 11 A. No, because they had their backs 12 turned to me. They wouldn't even talk to me or 13 address any of my questions. I don't remember. 14 Q. They had their backs turned to you? 15 A. They were sitting at a table. I 16 was on Zoom. Everybody else was present at 17 some room in CSU. I felt like I was almost 18 completely ignored. They did ask me some | 17 | A. Is it Exhibit 5? | 17 | Q. Anybody else that you know? |
| 20 Q. I mean, you can check. You don't 21 have to take my word for it. 22 A. You're talking about the ad hoc 23 committee? 24 Q. No, no, the final investigative 25 committee report. 26 Page 187 27 Q. Correct. 28 A. And what date did you say that was? 29 Q. Correct. 29 A. That sounds correct. I thought you 29 were talking about the ad hoc committee. 20 Q. So after the committee. 21 Q. So after the committee issued its 22 report, you received 23 A. Correct. 3 A. And what date did you say that was? 4 Q. I said January 13th, 2022. 5 A. That sounds correct. I thought you 6 were talking about the ad hoc committee. 7 Q. So after the committee issued its 8 report, you received 9 MS. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the 10 provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 12 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a 14 Letter from the Office of the 15 Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked 16 for purposes of identification.) 17 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the 20 don't know. 21 Q. Okay. All right. Do you have 22 something that would show to whom you sent it? 23 A. You guys should because this was 24 sent through CSU email. 25 Q. You're looking at what we have. Page 187 1 A. I mean, I don't have a record. 2 This is all I've got. 3 Q. That's all right. That's the 4 answer. All right. So you are aware that 5 following the provost's letter of January 13th that an ad hoc committee was convened pursuant 7 to the collective bargaining agreement? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And do you remember who the people were who were on the committee? 11 A. No, because they had their backs 12 turned to me. They wouldn't even talk to me or 13 address any of my questions. I don't remember. 14 Q. They had their backs turned to you? 15 A. They were sitting at a table. I 16 was on Zoom. Everybody else was present at 17 some room in CSU. I felt like I was almost 18 completely ignored. They did ask me some | 18 | Q. Yes. | 18 | A. I'm sure I sent it to people |
| 21 have to take my word for it. 22 A. You're talking about the ad hoc 23 committee? 24 Q. No, no, the final investigative 25 committee report. 28 Page 187 1 A. This big document? 2 Q. Correct. 3 A. And what date did you say that was? 4 Q. I said January 13th, 2022. 5 A. That sounds correct. I thought you 6 were talking about the ad hoc committee. 7 Q. So after the committee issued its 8 report, you received 9 MS. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the 10 provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 12 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a 14 Letter from the Office of the 15 Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked 16 for purposes of identification.) 17 18 Q. Okay. All right. Do you have 22 something that would show to whom you sent it? 23 A. You guys should because this was 24 sent through CSU email. 25 Q. You're looking at what we have. 1 A. I mean, I don't have a record. 2 This is all I've got. 3 Q. That's all right. That's the 4 answer. All right. Do you have 22 something that would show to whom you sent it? 23 A. You guys should because this was 24 sent through CSU email. 25 Q. You're looking at what we have. 2 This is all I've got. 3 Q. That's all right. That's the 4 answer. All right. Do you have 25 something that would show to whom you sent it? 26 A. You guys should because this was 27 Soll of the was all right. That's the 4 answer. All right. That | 19 | A. Okay. | 19 | outside of CSU, too. No, I don't know. I |
| 22 A. You're talking about the ad hoc 23 committee? 24 Q. No, no, the final investigative 25 committee report. 28 Page 187 1 A. This big document? 29 Q. Correct. 3 A. And what date did you say that was? 4 Q. I said January 13th, 2022. 5 A. That sounds correct. I thought you 6 were talking about the ad hoc committee. 7 Q. So after the committee issued its 8 report, you received 9 MS. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the 10 provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 12 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a 14 Letter from the Office of the 15 Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked 16 for purposes of identification.) 17 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the 20 Something that would show to whom you sent it? 21 A. You guys should because this was 22 sent through CSU email. 22 A. You guys should because this was 24 Sent through CSU email. 25 Q. You're looking at what we have. 1 A. I mean, I don't have a record. 2 This is all I've got. 3 Q. That's all right. That's the 4 answer. All right. So you are aware that 5 following the provost's letter of January 13th to the collective bargaining agreement? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And do you remember who the people 10 were who were on the committee? 11 A. I mean, I don't have a record. 2 This is all I've got. 3 Q. That's all right. That's the 4 answer. All right. So you are aware that 5 following the provost's letter of January 13th 6 that an ad hoc committee was convened pursuant 1 to the collective bargaining agreement? 1 A. No, because they had their backs 1 turned to me. They wouldn't even talk to me or 1 address any of my questions. I don't remember. 1 A. No, because they had their backs 1 turned to me. They wouldn't even talk to me or 1 address any of my questions. I don't remember. 1 A. No, because they had their backs turned to you? 1 A. They were sitting at a table. I 1 was on Zoom. Everybody else was present at 1 some room in CSU. I felt like I was almost 1 completely ignored. They did ask me some | 1 | • | 20 | don't know. |
| 23 committee? 24 Q. No, no, the final investigative 25 committee report. Page 187 A. This big document? Q. Correct. A. And what date did you say that was? A. That sounds correct. I thought you 6 were talking about the ad hoc committee. 7 Q. So after the committee issued its 8 report, you received 9 MS. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the 10 provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 12 A. You guys should because this was 24 sent through CSU email. 25 Q. You're looking at what we have. Page 187 1 A. I mean, I don't have a record. 2 This is all I've got. 3 Q. That's all right. So you are aware that 4 committee was convened pursuant 5 following the provost's letter of January 13th 6 that an ad hoc committee was convened pursuant 7 to the collective bargaining agreement? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And do you remember who the people 10 were who were on the committee? 11 A. No, because thy had their backs 12 turned to me. They wouldn't even talk to me or 13 address any of my questions. I don't remember. 14 Q. They had their backs turned to you? 15 A. They were sitting at a table. I 16 was on Zoom. Everybody else was present at 17 some room in CSU. I felt like I was almost 18 completely ignored. They did ask me some | | · · | l | |
| 24 Q. No, no, the final investigative 25 committee report. Page 187 1 A. This big document? 2 Q. Correct. 3 A. And what date did you say that was? 4 Q. I said January 13th, 2022. 5 A. That sounds correct. I thought you 6 were talking about the ad hoc committee. 7 Q. So after the committee issued its 8 report, you received 9 MS. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the 10 provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 12 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a 14 Letter from the Office of the 15 Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked 16 for purposes of identification.) 17 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the Page 187 A. I mean, I don't have a record. 2 This is all I've got. 3 Q. That's all right. So you are aware that 5 following the provost's letter of January 13th 6 that an ad hoc committee was convened pursuant 7 to the collective bargaining agreement? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And do you remember who the people 10 were who were on the committee? 11 A. I mean, I don't have a record. 2 This is all I've got. 3 Q. That's all right. So you are aware that 6 that an ad hoc committee was convened pursuant 7 to the collective bargaining agreement? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And do you remember who the people 10 were who were on the committee? 11 A. I mean, I don't have a record. 2 This is all I've got. 3 Q. That's all right. That's the 4 answer. All right. So you are aware that 5 following the provost's letter of January 13th 6 that an ad hoc committee was convened pursuant 7 to the collective bargaining agreement? 1 A. I mean, I don't have a record. 2 This is all I've got. 3 Q. That's all right. So you are aware that 6 that an ad hoc committee was convened pursuant 7 to the collective bargaining agreement? 1 A. No, because they had their backs 1 Uurned to me. They wouldn't even talk to me or 1 address any of my questions. I don't remember. 1 A. They were sitting at a table. I 1 A. They were sitting at a table. I 1 Some room in CSU. I felt like I was almost committee. | 1 | <u> </u> | l | - |
| Page 187 1 A. This big document? 2 Q. Correct. 3 A. And what date did you say that was? 4 Q. I said January 13th, 2022. 5 A. That sounds correct. I thought you 6 were talking about the ad hoc committee. 7 Q. So after the committee issued its 8 report, you received 9 MS. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the 10 provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 12 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a 14 Letter from the Office of the 15 Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked 16 for purposes of identification.) 17 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the Page 187 1 A. I mean, I don't have a record. 2 This is all I've got. 3 Q. That's all right. So you are aware that 5 following the provost's letter of January 13th 6 that an ad hoc committee was convened pursuant 7 to the collective bargaining agreement? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And do you remember who the people 10 were who were on the committee? 11 A. I mean, I don't have a record. 2 This is all I've got. 3 Q. That's all right. So you are aware that 5 following the provost's letter of January 13th 6 that an ad hoc committee was convened pursuant 7 to the collective bargaining agreement? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And do you remember who the people 10 were who were on the committee? 11 A. I mean, I don't have a record. 2 This is all I've got. 3 Q. That's all right. So you are aware that 5 following the provost's letter of January 13th 6 that an ad hoc committee was convened pursuant 7 to the collective bargaining agreement? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And do you remember who the people 10 were who were on the committee? 11 A. No, because they had their backs 12 turned to me. They wouldn't even talk to me or 13 address any of my questions. I don't remember. 14 Q. They had their backs turned to you? 15 A. They were sitting at a table. I 16 was on Zoom. Everybody else was present at 17 some room in CSU. I felt like I was almost 18 completely ignored. They did ask me some | 1 | | 23 | |
| Page 187 1 A. This big document? 2 Q. Correct. 3 A. And what date did you say that was? 4 Q. I said January 13th, 2022. 5 A. That sounds correct. I thought you 6 were talking about the ad hoc committee. 7 Q. So after the committee issued its 8 report, you received 9 MS. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the 10 provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 12 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a 14 Letter from the Office of the 15 Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked 16 for purposes of identification.) 17 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the Page 187 1 A. I mean, I don't have a record. 2 This is all I've got. 3 Q. That's all right. That's the 4 answer. All right. So you are aware that 5 following the provost's letter of January 13th 6 that an ad hoc committee was convened pursuant 7 to the collective bargaining agreement? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And do you remember who the people 10 were who were on the committee? 11 A. I mean, I don't have a record. 2 This is all I've got. 3 Q. That's all right. That's the 4 answer. All right. So you are aware that 6 that an ad hoc committee was convened pursuant 7 to the collective bargaining agreement? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And do you remember who the people 10 were who were on the committee? 11 A. No, because they had their backs 12 turned to me. They wouldn't even talk to me or 13 address any of my questions. I don't remember. 14 Q. They had their backs turned to you? 15 A. They were sitting at a table. I 16 was on Zoom. Everybody else was present at 17 some room in CSU. I felt like I was almost 18 completely ignored. They did ask me some | 1 | | | - |
| 1 A. This big document? 2 Q. Correct. 3 A. And what date did you say that was? 4 Q. I said January 13th, 2022. 5 A. That sounds correct. I thought you 6 were talking about the ad hoc committee. 7 Q. So after the committee issued its 8 report, you received 9 MS. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the 10 provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 12 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a 14 Letter from the Office of the 15 Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked 16 for purposes of identification.) 17 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the 1 A. I mean, I don't have a record. 2 This is all I've got. 3 Q. That's all right. That's the 4 answer. All right. So you are aware that 5 following the provost's letter of January 13th 6 that an ad hoc committee was convened pursuant 7 to the collective bargaining agreement? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And do you remember who the people 10 were who were on the committee? 11 A. I mean, I don't have a record. 2 This is all I've got. 3 Q. That's all right. That's the 4 answer. All right. So you are aware that 5 following the provost's letter of January 13th 6 that an ad hoc committee was convened pursuant 7 to the collective bargaining agreement? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And do you remember who the people 10 were who were on the committee? 11 A. I mean, I don't have a record. 2 This is all I've got. 3 Q. That's all right. That's the 4 answer. All right. So you are aware that 5 following the provost's letter of January 13th 6 that an ad hoc committee was convened pursuant 7 to the collective bargaining agreement? 1 A. No, because they had their backs 12 turned to me. They wouldn't even talk to me or 13 address any of my questions. I don't remember. 14 Q. They had their backs turned to you? 15 A. They were sitting at a table. I 16 was on Zoom. Everybody else was present at 17 some room in CSU. I felt like I was almost 18 completely ignored. They did ask me some | 25 | committee report. | 25 | Q. You're looking at what we have. |
| 2 This is all I've got. 3 A. And what date did you say that was? 4 Q. I said January 13th, 2022. 5 A. That sounds correct. I thought you 6 were talking about the ad hoc committee. 7 Q. So after the committee issued its 8 report, you received 9 MS. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the 10 provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 12 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a 14 Letter from the Office of the 15 Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked 16 for purposes of identification.) 17 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the 2 This is all I've got. 3 Q. That's all right. That's the 4 answer. All right. So you are aware that 5 following the provost's letter of January 13th that a nad hoc committee was convened pursuant to the collective bargaining agreement? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And do you remember who the people were who were on the committee? 10 were who were on the committee? 11 A. No, because they had their backs 12 turned to me. They wouldn't even talk to me or address any of my questions. I don't remember. 14 Q. They had their backs turned to you? 15 A. They were sitting at a table. I 16 was on Zoom. Everybody else was present at roome room in CSU. I felt like I was almost completely ignored. They did ask me some | 1 | | | Page 189 |
| A. And what date did you say that was? Q. I said January 13th, 2022. A. That sounds correct. I thought you were talking about the ad hoc committee. Q. So after the committee issued its report, you received MS. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad you're here (indicating). Chereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a Chereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a Letter from the Office of the Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. That's all right. That's the answer. All right. So you are aware that following the provost's letter of January 13th to the collective bargaining agreement? A. Correct. Q. And do you remember who the people were who were on the committee? Letter from the Office of the A. No, because they had their backs turned to me. They wouldn't even talk to me or address any of my questions. I don't remember. A. They were sitting at a table. I was on Zoom. Everybody else was present at report, you received 9 | 1 | _ | _ ا | |
| 4 Q. I said January 13th, 2022. 5 A. That sounds correct. I thought you 6 were talking about the ad hoc committee. 7 Q. So after the committee issued its 8 report, you received 9 MS. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the 10 provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 12 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a 14 Letter from the Office of the 15 Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked 16 for purposes of identification.) 17 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the 4 answer. All right. So you are aware that 5 following the provost's letter of January 13th 6 that an ad hoc committee was convened pursuant 7 to the collective bargaining agreement? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And do you remember who the people 10 were who were on the committee? 11 A. No, because they had their backs 12 turned to me. They wouldn't even talk to me or 13 address any of my questions. I don't remember. 14 Q. They had their backs turned to you? 15 A. They were sitting at a table. I 16 was on Zoom. Everybody else was present at 17 some room in CSU. I felt like I was almost 18 completely ignored. They did ask me some | 1 | | | - |
| 5 A. That sounds correct. I thought you 6 were talking about the ad hoc committee. 7 Q. So after the committee issued its 8 report, you received 9 MS. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the 10 provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 12 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a 14 Letter from the Office of the 15 Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked 16 for purposes of identification.) 17 18 Q. They had their backs turned to you? 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the 19 following the provost's letter of January 13th 6 that an ad hoc committee was convened pursuant 7 to the collective bargaining agreement? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And do you remember who the people 10 were who were on the committee? 11 A. No, because they had their backs 12 turned to me. They wouldn't even talk to me or 13 address any of my questions. I don't remember. 14 Q. They had their backs turned to you? 15 A. They were sitting at a table. I 16 was on Zoom. Everybody else was present at 17 some room in CSU. I felt like I was almost 18 completely ignored. They did ask me some | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ١. | - |
| 6 were talking about the ad hoc committee. 7 Q. So after the committee issued its 8 report, you received 9 MS. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the 10 provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 12 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a 14 Letter from the Office of the 15 Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked 16 for purposes of identification.) 17 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the 16 that an ad hoc committee was convened pursuant 7 to the collective bargaining agreement? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And do you remember who the people 10 were who were on the committee? 11 A. No, because they had their backs 12 turned to me. They wouldn't even talk to me or 13 address any of my questions. I don't remember. 14 Q. They had their backs turned to you? 15 A. They were sitting at a table. I 16 was on Zoom. Everybody else was present at 17 some room in CSU. I felt like I was almost 18 completely ignored. They did ask me some | 1 | | | |
| 7 Q. So after the committee issued its 8 report, you received 9 MS. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the 10 provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 12 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a 14 Letter from the Office of the 15 Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked 16 for purposes of identification.) 17 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the 17 to the collective bargaining agreement? 18 A. Correct. 9 Q. And do you remember who the people 10 were who were on the committee? 11 A. No, because they had their backs 12 turned to me. They wouldn't even talk to me or 13 address any of my questions. I don't remember. 14 Q. They had their backs turned to you? 15 A. They were sitting at a table. I 16 was on Zoom. Everybody else was present at 17 some room in CSU. I felt like I was almost 18 completely ignored. They did ask me some | 1 | — · | | |
| 8 report, you received 9 MS. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the 10 provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 12 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a 14 Letter from the Office of the 15 Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked 16 for purposes of identification.) 17 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And do you remember who the people 10 were who were on the committee? 11 A. No, because they had their backs 12 turned to me. They wouldn't even talk to me or 13 address any of my questions. I don't remember. 14 Q. They had their backs turned to you? 15 A. They were sitting at a table. I 16 was on Zoom. Everybody else was present at 17 some room in CSU. I felt like I was almost 18 completely ignored. They did ask me some | 1 | - | | _ |
| MS. GIFFEN: I need to pull out the provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 10 were who were on the committee? 11 you're here (indicating). 11 A. No, because they had their backs 12 turned to me. They wouldn't even talk to me or 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a 14 Letter from the Office of the 15 Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked 16 for purposes of identification.) 17 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the 18 completely ignored. They did ask me some | | ~ | | |
| 10 provost letter of January 13th. I'm glad 11 you're here (indicating). 12 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a 14 Letter from the Office of the 15 Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked 16 for purposes of identification.) 17 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the 10 were who were on the committee? 11 A. No, because they had their backs 12 turned to me. They wouldn't even talk to me or 13 address any of my questions. I don't remember. 14 Q. They had their backs turned to you? 15 A. They were sitting at a table. I 16 was on Zoom. Everybody else was present at 17 some room in CSU. I felt like I was almost 18 completely ignored. They did ask me some | 1 | 1 | | |
| 11 you're here (indicating). 12 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a 14 Letter from the Office of the 15 Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked 16 for purposes of identification.) 17 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the 18 A. No, because they had their backs 12 turned to me. They wouldn't even talk to me or 13 address any of my questions. I don't remember. 14 Q. They had their backs turned to you? 15 A. They were sitting at a table. I 16 was on Zoom. Everybody else was present at 17 some room in CSU. I felt like I was almost 18 completely ignored. They did ask me some | | | l | · |
| 12 turned to me. They wouldn't even talk to me or 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a 14 Letter from the Office of the 15 Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked 16 for purposes of identification.) 17 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the 18 turned to me. They wouldn't even talk to me or 19 address any of my questions. I don't remember. 10 Q. They had their backs turned to you? 11 A. They were sitting at a table. I 12 turned to me. They wouldn't even talk to me or 13 address any of my questions. I don't remember. 14 Q. They had their backs turned to you? 15 A. They were sitting at a table. I 16 was on Zoom. Everybody else was present at 17 some room in CSU. I felt like I was almost 18 completely ignored. They did ask me some | | - · | l | |
| 13 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a 14 Letter from the Office of the 15 Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked 16 for purposes of identification.) 17 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the 18 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19, a 19 address any of my questions. I don't remember. 19 Q. They had their backs turned to you? 10 A. They were sitting at a table. I 11 was on Zoom. Everybody else was present at 12 some room in CSU. I felt like I was almost 13 address any of my questions. I don't remember. 14 Q. They had their backs turned to you? 15 A. They were sitting at a table. I 16 completely ignored. They did ask me some | 1 | | | - |
| Letter from the Office of the Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked for purposes of identification.) Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked for purposes of identification.) Comparison of the Description of the Letter from the Office of the Q. They had their backs turned to you? A. They were sitting at a table. I was on Zoom. Everybody else was present at respectively. They had their backs turned to you? Some of the Description of the Letter from the Office of the Q. They had their backs turned to you? Some of the Description of the Letter from the Office of the Q. They had their backs turned to you? Some of the Description of the Letter from the Office of the Q. They had their backs turned to you? Some of the Description of the Letter from the Office of the Q. They had their backs turned to you? Some of the Q. They had their backs turned to you? Some of the Q. They had their backs turned to you? Some of the Q. They had their backs turned to you? Some of the Q. They had their backs turned to you? Some of the Q. They had their backs turned to you? Some of the Q. They had their backs turned to you? Some of the Q. They had their backs turned to you? Some of the Q. They had their backs turned to you? Some of the Q. They had their backs turned to you? Some of the Q. They had their backs turned to you? Some of the Q. They had their backs turned to you? Some of the Q. They had their backs turned to you? Some of the Q. They had their backs turned to you? Some of the Q. They had their backs turned to you? | 1 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 19. a | l | - |
| Provost Dated 1/13/22, was marked for purposes of identification.) 15 A. They were sitting at a table. I 16 was on Zoom. Everybody else was present at 17 some room in CSU. I felt like I was almost 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the 18 completely ignored. They did ask me some | 1 | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 16 for purposes of identification.) 17 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the 16 was on Zoom. Everybody else was present at 17 some room in CSU. I felt like I was almost 18 completely ignored. They did ask me some | 1 | | | |
| 17 some room in CSU. I felt like I was almost 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the 18 completely ignored. They did ask me some | 1 | | | |
| 18 Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the 18 completely ignored. They did ask me some | | | 17 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | 1 | Q. Dr. Pesta, is that a copy of the | 18 | |
| | 19 | letter from Provost then Provost Bloomberg | 19 | questions, but, yes, I don't remember who they |
| 20 of January 13th indicating her acceptance of 20 were right now. | 20 | ~ | 20 | |
| 21 the final report and her intention to terminate 21 Q. They were informed that the ad hoc | 21 | the final report and her intention to terminate | 21 | Q. They were informed that the ad hoc |
| 22 you? 22 committee was going to be convened, and you | 22 | you? | 22 | committee was going to be convened, and you |
| 23 A. Correct. 23 were given an opportunity to present a rebuttal | 1 | | 23 | were given an opportunity to present a rebuttal |
| 24 Q. And then if you will refer now to 24 to the provost's letter, right? | 24 | • | l | |
| 25 Exhibit 18, you then sent a letter to your 25 A. Yes. | 25 | Exhibit 18, you then sent a letter to your | 25 | A. Yes. |

| | P. 100 | | D 100 |
|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | Page 190 | 1 | Page 192 Everybody else was in a room at CSU. |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 20, | $\frac{1}{2}$ | Q. That was at your request? |
| 3 | an Email From Bryan Pesta Dated | 3 | A. Yes. |
| 4 | 1/25/22 with Attachment, was marked | 4 | Q. Why did you not want to appear in |
| 5 | for purposes of identification.) | 5 | person? |
| 6 | | 6 | A. Can I consult with my lawyer? It's |
| 7 | Q. Handing you what's been marked as | 7 | kind of private. I'll just say it. I made a |
| 8 | Exhibit 20, Dr. Pesta, is that your rebuttal | 8 | reasonable accommodation request through the |
| 9 | that went to the ad hoc committee? | 9 | Americans with Disabilities Act. |
| 10 | A. It's definitely my rebuttal. Let | 10 | Q. Can you describe more fully why you |
| 11 | me read the first thing. Yeah, this is | 11 | needed an ADA accommodation? |
| 12 | Q. If you need time to read it, you | 12 | A. A lot of anxiety, nervousness. I |
| 13 | can take it. | 13 | mean, go figure. That's why I requested it. |
| 14 | A. I got it. This is it. | 14 | There is a letter I sent to Dr. Bracken that |
| 15 | Q. Okay. | 15 | explains why I did it, and I don't remember the |
| 16 | | 16 | details. |
| 17 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 21, | 17 | MR. KELLY: Can we stop right |
| 18 | an Email From Bryan Pesta Dated | 18 | there? He wanted to talk to me, and there is |
| 19 | 1/28/22 with Attachment, was marked | 19 | no question pending. |
| 20 | for purposes of identification.) | 20 | MS. GIFFEN: Right at the moment |
| 21 | | 21 | there is no question pending. |
| 22 | Q. If we look back at Exhibit 20 just | 22 | A. Just for privacy, medical reasons, |
| 23 | for a second, so this is addressed to Michael | 23 | I didn't know if I was obligated to answer it, |
| 24 | Artbauer, Elizabeth Lehfeldt, Eric Allard, | 24 | but that's okay. I did answer it. |
| 25 | Debbie Jackson, Stephanie Kent, Andrew Resnick, | 25 | Q. I don't intend to put that letter |
| | Page 191 | | Page 193 |
| 1 | and R.E. Ingersoll. Did you raise any | 1 1 | Contract Contract |
| | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 1 | into evidence. |
| 2 | objection with respect to the makeup of the | 2 | A. Okay. Fine. |
| 3 | objection with respect to the makeup of the committee? | 2 3 | A. Okay. Fine.Q. But I want to make it clear that |
| 3 4 | objection with respect to the makeup of the committee? A. I don't even remember. I'm sure I | 2 3 4 | A. Okay. Fine.Q. But I want to make it clear thatthis is something you requested. This wasn't |
| 3 4 5 | objection with respect to the makeup of the committee? A. I don't even remember. I'm sure I was told, but I don't have a memory of it. No, | 2 3 4 5 | A. Okay. Fine. Q. But I want to make it clear that this is something you requested. This wasn't something the committee imposed upon you that |
| 3 4 5 6 | objection with respect to the makeup of the committee? A. I don't even remember. I'm sure I was told, but I don't have a memory of it. No, for this ad hoc committee, no. | 2 3 4 5 6 | A. Okay. Fine. Q. But I want to make it clear that this is something you requested. This wasn't something the committee imposed upon you that you couldn't be there live in person. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 | objection with respect to the makeup of the committee? A. I don't even remember. I'm sure I was told, but I don't have a memory of it. No, for this ad hoc committee, no. Q. Okay. And you know that half of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | A. Okay. Fine. Q. But I want to make it clear that this is something you requested. This wasn't something the committee imposed upon you that you couldn't be there live in person. A. I understand. No, you're right. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 | objection with respect to the makeup of the committee? A. I don't even remember. I'm sure I was told, but I don't have a memory of it. No, for this ad hoc committee, no. Q. Okay. And you know that half of them were appointed by the administration and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A. Okay. Fine. Q. But I want to make it clear that this is something you requested. This wasn't something the committee imposed upon you that you couldn't be there live in person. A. I understand. No, you're right. Q. On the day in question, you and |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | objection with respect to the makeup of the committee? A. I don't even remember. I'm sure I was told, but I don't have a memory of it. No, for this ad hoc committee, no. Q. Okay. And you know that half of them were appointed by the administration and half by the AAUP, right? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. Okay. Fine. Q. But I want to make it clear that this is something you requested. This wasn't something the committee imposed upon you that you couldn't be there live in person. A. I understand. No, you're right. Q. On the day in question, you and I think it was February 28th; was it? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | objection with respect to the makeup of the committee? A. I don't even remember. I'm sure I was told, but I don't have a memory of it. No, for this ad hoc committee, no. Q. Okay. And you know that half of them were appointed by the administration and half by the AAUP, right? A. I have a vague recollection of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. Okay. Fine. Q. But I want to make it clear that this is something you requested. This wasn't something the committee imposed upon you that you couldn't be there live in person. A. I understand. No, you're right. Q. On the day in question, you and I think it was February 28th; was it? MS. KAMINSKI: January 28th. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | objection with respect to the makeup of the committee? A. I don't even remember. I'm sure I was told, but I don't have a memory of it. No, for this ad hoc committee, no. Q. Okay. And you know that half of them were appointed by the administration and half by the AAUP, right? A. I have a vague recollection of that. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A. Okay. Fine. Q. But I want to make it clear that this is something you requested. This wasn't something the committee imposed upon you that you couldn't be there live in person. A. I understand. No, you're right. Q. On the day in question, you and I think it was February 28th; was it? MS. KAMINSKI: January 28th. A. Which one? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | objection with respect to the makeup of the committee? A. I don't even remember. I'm sure I was told, but I don't have a memory of it. No, for this ad hoc committee, no. Q. Okay. And you know that half of them were appointed by the administration and half by the AAUP, right? A. I have a vague recollection of that. Q. Okay. I think the provost sent you | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | A. Okay. Fine. Q. But I want to make it clear that this is something you requested. This wasn't something the committee imposed upon you that you couldn't be there live in person. A. I understand. No, you're right. Q. On the day in question, you and I think it was February 28th; was it? MS. KAMINSKI: January 28th. A. Which one? Q. I'm looking for when the hearing |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | objection with respect to the makeup of the committee? A. I don't even remember. I'm sure I was told, but I don't have a memory of it. No, for this ad hoc committee, no. Q. Okay. And you know that half of them were appointed by the administration and half by the AAUP, right? A. I have a vague recollection of that. Q. Okay. I think the provost sent you or Cheryl, the vice provost, sent you a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A. Okay. Fine. Q. But I want to make it clear that this is something you requested. This wasn't something the committee imposed upon you that you couldn't be there live in person. A. I understand. No, you're right. Q. On the day in question, you and I think it was February 28th; was it? MS. KAMINSKI: January 28th. A. Which one? Q. I'm looking for when the hearing was. No, February is way too late. It's |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | objection with respect to the makeup of the committee? A. I don't even remember. I'm sure I was told, but I don't have a memory of it. No, for this ad hoc committee, no. Q. Okay. And you know that half of them were appointed by the administration and half by the AAUP, right? A. I have a vague recollection of that. Q. Okay. I think the provost sent you or Cheryl, the vice provost, sent you a description of the makeup of the committee and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A. Okay. Fine. Q. But I want to make it clear that this is something you requested. This wasn't something the committee imposed upon you that you couldn't be there live in person. A. I understand. No, you're right. Q. On the day in question, you and I think it was February 28th; was it? MS. KAMINSKI: January 28th. A. Which one? Q. I'm looking for when the hearing was. No, February is way too late. It's January 28th. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | objection with respect to the makeup of the committee? A. I don't even remember. I'm sure I was told, but I don't have a memory of it. No, for this ad hoc committee, no. Q. Okay. And you know that half of them were appointed by the administration and half by the AAUP, right? A. I have a vague recollection of that. Q. Okay. I think the provost sent you or Cheryl, the vice provost, sent you a description of the makeup of the committee and how things would work, right? Do you recall | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. Okay. Fine. Q. But I want to make it clear that this is something you requested. This wasn't something the committee imposed upon you that you couldn't be there live in person. A. I understand. No, you're right. Q. On the day in question, you and I think it was February 28th; was it? MS. KAMINSKI: January 28th. A. Which one? Q. I'm looking for when the hearing was. No, February is way too late. It's January 28th. A. Okay. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | objection with respect to the makeup of the committee? A. I don't even remember. I'm sure I was told, but I don't have a memory of it. No, for this ad hoc committee, no. Q. Okay. And you know that half of them were appointed by the administration and half by the AAUP, right? A. I have a vague recollection of that. Q. Okay. I think the provost sent you or Cheryl, the vice provost, sent you a description of the makeup of the committee and how things would work, right? Do you recall that? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. Okay. Fine. Q. But I want to make it clear that this is something you requested. This wasn't something the committee imposed upon you that you couldn't be there live in person. A. I understand. No, you're right. Q. On the day in question, you and I think it was February 28th; was it? MS. KAMINSKI: January 28th. A. Which one? Q. I'm looking for when the hearing was. No, February is way too late. It's January 28th. A. Okay. Q. At that time you also provided to |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | objection with respect to the makeup of the committee? A. I don't even remember. I'm sure I was told, but I don't have a memory of it. No, for this ad hoc committee, no. Q. Okay. And you know that half of them were appointed by the administration and half by the AAUP, right? A. I have a vague recollection of that. Q. Okay. I think the provost sent you or Cheryl, the vice provost, sent you a description of the makeup of the committee and how things would work, right? Do you recall that? A. Vaguely. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. Okay. Fine. Q. But I want to make it clear that this is something you requested. This wasn't something the committee imposed upon you that you couldn't be there live in person. A. I understand. No, you're right. Q. On the day in question, you and I think it was February 28th; was it? MS. KAMINSKI: January 28th. A. Which one? Q. I'm looking for when the hearing was. No, February is way too late. It's January 28th. A. Okay. Q. At that time you also provided to the committee a PowerPoint presentation that |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | objection with respect to the makeup of the committee? A. I don't even remember. I'm sure I was told, but I don't have a memory of it. No, for this ad hoc committee, no. Q. Okay. And you know that half of them were appointed by the administration and half by the AAUP, right? A. I have a vague recollection of that. Q. Okay. I think the provost sent you or Cheryl, the vice provost, sent you a description of the makeup of the committee and how things would work, right? Do you recall that? A. Vaguely. Q. Who was Birch Browning? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. Okay. Fine. Q. But I want to make it clear that this is something you requested. This wasn't something the committee imposed upon you that you couldn't be there live in person. A. I understand. No, you're right. Q. On the day in question, you and I think it was February 28th; was it? MS. KAMINSKI: January 28th. A. Which one? Q. I'm looking for when the hearing was. No, February is way too late. It's January 28th. A. Okay. Q. At that time you also provided to the committee a PowerPoint presentation that supported your position, correct? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | objection with respect to the makeup of the committee? A. I don't even remember. I'm sure I was told, but I don't have a memory of it. No, for this ad hoc committee, no. Q. Okay. And you know that half of them were appointed by the administration and half by the AAUP, right? A. I have a vague recollection of that. Q. Okay. I think the provost sent you or Cheryl, the vice provost, sent you a description of the makeup of the committee and how things would work, right? Do you recall that? A. Vaguely. Q. Who was Birch Browning? A. He's the AAUP rep, my union rep, I | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A. Okay. Fine. Q. But I want to make it clear that this is something you requested. This wasn't something the committee imposed upon you that you couldn't be there live in person. A. I understand. No, you're right. Q. On the day in question, you and I think it was February 28th; was it? MS. KAMINSKI: January 28th. A. Which one? Q. I'm looking for when the hearing was. No, February is way too late. It's January 28th. A. Okay. Q. At that time you also provided to the committee a PowerPoint presentation that supported your position, correct? A. Yes. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | objection with respect to the makeup of the committee? A. I don't even remember. I'm sure I was told, but I don't have a memory of it. No, for this ad hoc committee, no. Q. Okay. And you know that half of them were appointed by the administration and half by the AAUP, right? A. I have a vague recollection of that. Q. Okay. I think the provost sent you or Cheryl, the vice provost, sent you a description of the makeup of the committee and how things would work, right? Do you recall that? A. Vaguely. Q. Who was Birch Browning? A. He's the AAUP rep, my union rep, I guess. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. Okay. Fine. Q. But I want to make it clear that this is something you requested. This wasn't something the committee imposed upon you that you couldn't be there live in person. A. I understand. No, you're right. Q. On the day in question, you and I think it was February 28th; was it? MS. KAMINSKI: January 28th. A. Which one? Q. I'm looking for when the hearing was. No, February is way too late. It's January 28th. A. Okay. Q. At that time you also provided to the committee a PowerPoint presentation that supported your position, correct? A. Yes. Q. I understand this is the PowerPoint |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | objection with respect to the makeup of the committee? A. I don't even remember. I'm sure I was told, but I don't have a memory of it. No, for this ad hoc committee, no. Q. Okay. And you know that half of them were appointed by the administration and half by the AAUP, right? A. I have a vague recollection of that. Q. Okay. I think the provost sent you or Cheryl, the vice provost, sent you a description of the makeup of the committee and how things would work, right? Do you recall that? A. Vaguely. Q. Who was Birch Browning? A. He's the AAUP rep, my union rep, I guess. Q. Okay. And in addition to providing | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. Okay. Fine. Q. But I want to make it clear that this is something you requested. This wasn't something the committee imposed upon you that you couldn't be there live in person. A. I understand. No, you're right. Q. On the day in question, you and I think it was February 28th; was it? MS. KAMINSKI: January 28th. A. Which one? Q. I'm looking for when the hearing was. No, February is way too late. It's January 28th. A. Okay. Q. At that time you also provided to the committee a PowerPoint presentation that supported your position, correct? A. Yes. Q. I understand this is the PowerPoint that you presented to the committee, correct? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | objection with respect to the makeup of the committee? A. I don't even remember. I'm sure I was told, but I don't have a memory of it. No, for this ad hoc committee, no. Q. Okay. And you know that half of them were appointed by the administration and half by the AAUP, right? A. I have a vague recollection of that. Q. Okay. I think the provost sent you or Cheryl, the vice provost, sent you a description of the makeup of the committee and how things would work, right? Do you recall that? A. Vaguely. Q. Who was Birch Browning? A. He's the AAUP rep, my union rep, I guess. Q. Okay. And in addition to providing the rebuttal that we just looked at in | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. Okay. Fine. Q. But I want to make it clear that this is something you requested. This wasn't something the committee imposed upon you that you couldn't be there live in person. A. I understand. No, you're right. Q. On the day in question, you and I think it was February 28th; was it? MS. KAMINSKI: January 28th. A. Which one? Q. I'm looking for when the hearing was. No, February is way too late. It's January 28th. A. Okay. Q. At that time you also provided to the committee a PowerPoint presentation that supported your position, correct? A. Yes. Q. I understand this is the PowerPoint that you presented to the committee, correct? A. Right at the beginning of the |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | objection with respect to the makeup of the committee? A. I don't even remember. I'm sure I was told, but I don't have a memory of it. No, for this ad hoc committee, no. Q. Okay. And you know that half of them were appointed by the administration and half by the AAUP, right? A. I have a vague recollection of that. Q. Okay. I think the provost sent you or Cheryl, the vice provost, sent you a description of the makeup of the committee and how things would work, right? Do you recall that? A. Vaguely. Q. Who was Birch Browning? A. He's the AAUP rep, my union rep, I guess. Q. Okay. And in addition to providing the rebuttal that we just looked at in Exhibit 20, you also appeared before the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. Okay. Fine. Q. But I want to make it clear that this is something you requested. This wasn't something the committee imposed upon you that you couldn't be there live in person. A. I understand. No, you're right. Q. On the day in question, you and I think it was February 28th; was it? MS. KAMINSKI: January 28th. A. Which one? Q. I'm looking for when the hearing was. No, February is way too late. It's January 28th. A. Okay. Q. At that time you also provided to the committee a PowerPoint presentation that supported your position, correct? A. Yes. Q. I understand this is the PowerPoint that you presented to the committee, correct? A. Right at the beginning of the meeting, yes. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | objection with respect to the makeup of the committee? A. I don't even remember. I'm sure I was told, but I don't have a memory of it. No, for this ad hoc committee, no. Q. Okay. And you know that half of them were appointed by the administration and half by the AAUP, right? A. I have a vague recollection of that. Q. Okay. I think the provost sent you or Cheryl, the vice provost, sent you a description of the makeup of the committee and how things would work, right? Do you recall that? A. Vaguely. Q. Who was Birch Browning? A. He's the AAUP rep, my union rep, I guess. Q. Okay. And in addition to providing the rebuttal that we just looked at in | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. Okay. Fine. Q. But I want to make it clear that this is something you requested. This wasn't something the committee imposed upon you that you couldn't be there live in person. A. I understand. No, you're right. Q. On the day in question, you and I think it was February 28th; was it? MS. KAMINSKI: January 28th. A. Which one? Q. I'm looking for when the hearing was. No, February is way too late. It's January 28th. A. Okay. Q. At that time you also provided to the committee a PowerPoint presentation that supported your position, correct? A. Yes. Q. I understand this is the PowerPoint that you presented to the committee, correct? A. Right at the beginning of the |

49 (Pages 190 - 193)

| | Page 194 | | Page 196 |
|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | present evidence or argument supporting your | 1 | Document Entitled Final |
| 2 | position? | 2 | Consideration Dated 2/14/22, was |
| 3 | A. If you count questions not being | 3 | marked for purposes of |
| 4 | answered, it's not true. | 4 | identification.) |
| 5 | Q. What do you mean? | 5 | |
| 6 | A. I asked specific direct questions | 6 | Q. Handing you what's been marked as |
| 7 | even to the provost, and she just stared at me | 7 | Exhibit 22, you presented this to the |
| 8 | with her hands behind her back and didn't say | 8 | committee. Was this after the hearing? |
| 9 | anything. | 9 | A. I'm trying to refamiliarize myself. |
| 10 | Q. What were those questions? | 10 | Q. Feel free to look. |
| 11 | A. It's in the rebuttal which I think | 11 | A. Do you remember the date you threw |
| 12 | is 20? Yeah, so can I? | 12 | out for when the committee met? |
| 13 | Q. Sure. | 13 | Q. It was January no, you're right, |
| 14 | A. In her discipline letter, she | 14 | it's the 28th, so this was before. |
| 15 | almost starts out making four claims having to | 15 | MS. KAMINSKI: No. |
| 16 | do with Terri Kocevar, blah, blah, blah, and | 16 | A. No, this would be |
| 17 | she is just wrong in all four of her claims, so | 17 | Q. I'm terribly sorry. You are right. |
| 18 | I addressed that. I asked her about that, and | 18 | A. So March 4th I would be fired, so |
| 19 | she just ignored me. | 19 | it's got to be after. |
| 20 | Q. So what did you ask her | 20 | Q. February 14th is after the ad hoc |
| 21 | specifically? | 21 | hearing? |
| 22 | A. I don't remember the specific | 22 | A. Correct. |
| 23 | question. In the rebuttal, though, it says get | 23 | Q. But before the ad hoc committee |
| 24 | your facts straight or something like that. | 24 | issues their letter which we're going to look |
| 25 | Q. Did the ad hoc committee members | 25 | at in a minute. |
| | | | |
| | Daga 105 | | Page 107 |
| 1 | Page 195 ask any questions? | 1 | A. Correct. |
| 1 2 | ask any questions? | 1 2 | A. Correct. |
| 2 | ask any questions? A. I remember them asking one. A lady | 2 | A. Correct.Q. You mentioned here burden of proof? |
| 2 3 | ask any questions? A. I remember them asking one. A lady asked about IRB. That's all I remember. | | A. Correct.Q. You mentioned here burden of proof?A. Uh-huh. |
| 2 3 4 | ask any questions? A. I remember them asking one. A lady asked about IRB. That's all I remember. Q. What did she ask? | 2 3 4 | A. Correct.Q. You mentioned here burden of proof?A. Uh-huh.Q. "That CSU must prove clearly and |
| 2 3 4 5 | ask any questions? A. I remember them asking one. A lady asked about IRB. That's all I remember. Q. What did she ask? A. Just if I had any experience with | 2 3 4 5 | A. Correct. Q. You mentioned here burden of proof? A. Uh-huh. Q. "That CSU must prove clearly and convincingly that I intentionally, knowingly, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | ask any questions? A. I remember them asking one. A lady asked about IRB. That's all I remember. Q. What did she ask? A. Just if I had any experience with it. I don't remember what else. | 2 3 4 5 6 | A. Correct. Q. You mentioned here burden of proof? A. Uh-huh. Q. "That CSU must prove clearly and convincingly that I intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly conducted academic research |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | ask any questions? A. I remember them asking one. A lady asked about IRB. That's all I remember. Q. What did she ask? A. Just if I had any experience with it. I don't remember what else. Q. What did you answer? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | A. Correct. Q. You mentioned here burden of proof? A. Uh-huh. Q. "That CSU must prove clearly and convincingly that I intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly conducted academic research misconduct." |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | ask any questions? A. I remember them asking one. A lady asked about IRB. That's all I remember. Q. What did she ask? A. Just if I had any experience with it. I don't remember what else. Q. What did you answer? A. Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A. Correct. Q. You mentioned here burden of proof? A. Uh-huh. Q. "That CSU must prove clearly and convincingly that I intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly conducted academic research misconduct." A. Correct. That's from the policy. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | ask any questions? A. I remember them asking one. A lady asked about IRB. That's all I remember. Q. What did she ask? A. Just if I had any experience with it. I don't remember what else. Q. What did you answer? A. Yes. Q. Did you describe your experience? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. Correct. Q. You mentioned here burden of proof? A. Uh-huh. Q. "That CSU must prove clearly and convincingly that I intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly conducted academic research misconduct." A. Correct. That's from the policy. Q. What policy? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | ask any questions? A. I remember them asking one. A lady asked about IRB. That's all I remember. Q. What did she ask? A. Just if I had any experience with it. I don't remember what else. Q. What did you answer? A. Yes. Q. Did you describe your experience? A. I know I told them that I was on | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A. Correct. Q. You mentioned here burden of proof? A. Uh-huh. Q. "That CSU must prove clearly and convincingly that I intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly conducted academic research misconduct." A. Correct. That's from the policy. Q. What policy? A. The one you said you would deal |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | ask any questions? A. I remember them asking one. A lady asked about IRB. That's all I remember. Q. What did she ask? A. Just if I had any experience with it. I don't remember what else. Q. What did you answer? A. Yes. Q. Did you describe your experience? A. I know I told them that I was on the university committee at some point. That's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A. Correct. Q. You mentioned here burden of proof? A. Uh-huh. Q. "That CSU must prove clearly and convincingly that I intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly conducted academic research misconduct." A. Correct. That's from the policy. Q. What policy? A. The one you said you would deal with later, CSU's. If you type academic |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | ask any questions? A. I remember them asking one. A lady asked about IRB. That's all I remember. Q. What did she ask? A. Just if I had any experience with it. I don't remember what else. Q. What did you answer? A. Yes. Q. Did you describe your experience? A. I know I told them that I was on the university committee at some point. That's all I remember. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | A. Correct. Q. You mentioned here burden of proof? A. Uh-huh. Q. "That CSU must prove clearly and convincingly that I intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly conducted academic research misconduct." A. Correct. That's from the policy. Q. What policy? A. The one you said you would deal with later, CSU's. If you type academic research misconduct on the CSU website, it's in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | ask any questions? A. I remember them asking one. A lady asked about IRB. That's all I remember. Q. What did she ask? A. Just if I had any experience with it. I don't remember what else. Q. What did you answer? A. Yes. Q. Did you describe your experience? A. I know I told them that I was on the university committee at some point. That's all I remember. Q. You have submitted to IRB before? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A. Correct. Q. You mentioned here burden of proof? A. Uh-huh. Q. "That CSU must prove clearly and convincingly that I intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly conducted academic research misconduct." A. Correct. That's from the policy. Q. What policy? A. The one you said you would deal with later, CSU's. If you type academic research misconduct on the CSU website, it's in there. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | ask any questions? A. I remember them asking one. A lady asked about IRB. That's all I remember. Q. What did she ask? A. Just if I had any experience with it. I don't remember what else. Q. What did you answer? A. Yes. Q. Did you describe your experience? A. I know I told them that I was on the university committee at some point. That's all I remember. Q. You have submitted to IRB before? A. Every project that needed it | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A. Correct. Q. You mentioned here burden of proof? A. Uh-huh. Q. "That CSU must prove clearly and convincingly that I intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly conducted academic research misconduct." A. Correct. That's from the policy. Q. What policy? A. The one you said you would deal with later, CSU's. If you type academic research misconduct on the CSU website, it's in there. Q. The letter from the ad hoc |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | ask any questions? A. I remember them asking one. A lady asked about IRB. That's all I remember. Q. What did she ask? A. Just if I had any experience with it. I don't remember what else. Q. What did you answer? A. Yes. Q. Did you describe your experience? A. I know I told them that I was on the university committee at some point. That's all I remember. Q. You have submitted to IRB before? A. Every project that needed it throughout my career has IRB approval. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. Correct. Q. You mentioned here burden of proof? A. Uh-huh. Q. "That CSU must prove clearly and convincingly that I intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly conducted academic research misconduct." A. Correct. That's from the policy. Q. What policy? A. The one you said you would deal with later, CSU's. If you type academic research misconduct on the CSU website, it's in there. Q. The letter from the ad hoc committee is not in my stuff. Do you have that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | ask any questions? A. I remember them asking one. A lady asked about IRB. That's all I remember. Q. What did she ask? A. Just if I had any experience with it. I don't remember what else. Q. What did you answer? A. Yes. Q. Did you describe your experience? A. I know I told them that I was on the university committee at some point. That's all I remember. Q. You have submitted to IRB before? A. Every project that needed it throughout my career has IRB approval. Q. Okay. Have you ever done a paper | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. Correct. Q. You mentioned here burden of proof? A. Uh-huh. Q. "That CSU must prove clearly and convincingly that I intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly conducted academic research misconduct." A. Correct. That's from the policy. Q. What policy? A. The one you said you would deal with later, CSU's. If you type academic research misconduct on the CSU website, it's in there. Q. The letter from the ad hoc committee is not in my stuff. Do you have that quickly? Do you know where that is? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | ask any questions? A. I remember them asking one. A lady asked about IRB. That's all I remember. Q. What did she ask? A. Just if I had any experience with it. I don't remember what else. Q. What did you answer? A. Yes. Q. Did you describe your experience? A. I know I told them that I was on the university committee at some point. That's all I remember. Q. You have submitted to IRB before? A. Every project that needed it throughout my career has IRB approval. Q. Okay. Have you ever done a paper involving human subjects where you didn't seek | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. Correct. Q. You mentioned here burden of proof? A. Uh-huh. Q. "That CSU must prove clearly and convincingly that I intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly conducted academic research misconduct." A. Correct. That's from the policy. Q. What policy? A. The one you said you would deal with later, CSU's. If you type academic research misconduct on the CSU website, it's in there. Q. The letter from the ad hoc committee is not in my stuff. Do you have that quickly? Do you know where that is? A. It might be in Exhibit 5. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | ask any questions? A. I remember them asking one. A lady asked about IRB. That's all I remember. Q. What did she ask? A. Just if I had any experience with it. I don't remember what else. Q. What did you answer? A. Yes. Q. Did you describe your experience? A. I know I told them that I was on the university committee at some point. That's all I remember. Q. You have submitted to IRB before? A. Every project that needed it throughout my career has IRB approval. Q. Okay. Have you ever done a paper involving human subjects where you didn't seek IRB approval? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. Correct. Q. You mentioned here burden of proof? A. Uh-huh. Q. "That CSU must prove clearly and convincingly that I intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly conducted academic research misconduct." A. Correct. That's from the policy. Q. What policy? A. The one you said you would deal with later, CSU's. If you type academic research misconduct on the CSU website, it's in there. Q. The letter from the ad hoc committee is not in my stuff. Do you have that quickly? Do you know where that is? A. It might be in Exhibit 5. Q. No. It can't be Exhibit 5 because |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | ask any questions? A. I remember them asking one. A lady asked about IRB. That's all I remember. Q. What did she ask? A. Just if I had any experience with it. I don't remember what else. Q. What did you answer? A. Yes. Q. Did you describe your experience? A. I know I told them that I was on the university committee at some point. That's all I remember. Q. You have submitted to IRB before? A. Every project that needed it throughout my career has IRB approval. Q. Okay. Have you ever done a paper involving human subjects where you didn't seek IRB approval? A. So I use a lot of archival data. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A. Correct. Q. You mentioned here burden of proof? A. Uh-huh. Q. "That CSU must prove clearly and convincingly that I intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly conducted academic research misconduct." A. Correct. That's from the policy. Q. What policy? A. The one you said you would deal with later, CSU's. If you type academic research misconduct on the CSU website, it's in there. Q. The letter from the ad hoc committee is not in my stuff. Do you have that quickly? Do you know where that is? A. It might be in Exhibit 5. Q. No. It can't be Exhibit 5 because this is after the fact. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | ask any questions? A. I remember them asking one. A lady asked about IRB. That's all I remember. Q. What did she ask? A. Just if I had any experience with it. I don't remember what else. Q. What did you answer? A. Yes. Q. Did you describe your experience? A. I know I told them that I was on the university committee at some point. That's all I remember. Q. You have submitted to IRB before? A. Every project that needed it throughout my career has IRB approval. Q. Okay. Have you ever done a paper involving human subjects where you didn't seek IRB approval? A. So I use a lot of archival data. Like if I get it from the census people | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. Correct. Q. You mentioned here burden of proof? A. Uh-huh. Q. "That CSU must prove clearly and convincingly that I intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly conducted academic research misconduct." A. Correct. That's from the policy. Q. What policy? A. The one you said you would deal with later, CSU's. If you type academic research misconduct on the CSU website, it's in there. Q. The letter from the ad hoc committee is not in my stuff. Do you have that quickly? Do you know where that is? A. It might be in Exhibit 5. Q. No. It can't be Exhibit 5 because this is after the fact. MS. GIFFEN: You do have it |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | ask any questions? A. I remember them asking one. A lady asked about IRB. That's all I remember. Q. What did she ask? A. Just if I had any experience with it. I don't remember what else. Q. What did you answer? A. Yes. Q. Did you describe your experience? A. I know I told them that I was on the university committee at some point. That's all I remember. Q. You have submitted to IRB before? A. Every project that needed it throughout my career has IRB approval. Q. Okay. Have you ever done a paper involving human subjects where you didn't seek IRB approval? A. So I use a lot of archival data. Like if I get it from the census people data no, the answer is no. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. Correct. Q. You mentioned here burden of proof? A. Uh-huh. Q. "That CSU must prove clearly and convincingly that I intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly conducted academic research misconduct." A. Correct. That's from the policy. Q. What policy? A. The one you said you would deal with later, CSU's. If you type academic research misconduct on the CSU website, it's in there. Q. The letter from the ad hoc committee is not in my stuff. Do you have that quickly? Do you know where that is? A. It might be in Exhibit 5. Q. No. It can't be Exhibit 5 because this is after the fact. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | ask any questions? A. I remember them asking one. A lady asked about IRB. That's all I remember. Q. What did she ask? A. Just if I had any experience with it. I don't remember what else. Q. What did you answer? A. Yes. Q. Did you describe your experience? A. I know I told them that I was on the university committee at some point. That's all I remember. Q. You have submitted to IRB before? A. Every project that needed it throughout my career has IRB approval. Q. Okay. Have you ever done a paper involving human subjects where you didn't seek IRB approval? A. So I use a lot of archival data. Like if I get it from the census people data no, the answer is no. Q. Okay. And I think, but you will | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. Correct. Q. You mentioned here burden of proof? A. Uh-huh. Q. "That CSU must prove clearly and convincingly that I intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly conducted academic research misconduct." A. Correct. That's from the policy. Q. What policy? A. The one you said you would deal with later, CSU's. If you type academic research misconduct on the CSU website, it's in there. Q. The letter from the ad hoc committee is not in my stuff. Do you have that quickly? Do you know where that is? A. It might be in Exhibit 5. Q. No. It can't be Exhibit 5 because this is after the fact. MS. GIFFEN: You do have it (indicating). You are so good. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | ask any questions? A. I remember them asking one. A lady asked about IRB. That's all I remember. Q. What did she ask? A. Just if I had any experience with it. I don't remember what else. Q. What did you answer? A. Yes. Q. Did you describe your experience? A. I know I told them that I was on the university committee at some point. That's all I remember. Q. You have submitted to IRB before? A. Every project that needed it throughout my career has IRB approval. Q. Okay. Have you ever done a paper involving human subjects where you didn't seek IRB approval? A. So I use a lot of archival data. Like if I get it from the census people data no, the answer is no. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. Correct. Q. You mentioned here burden of proof? A. Uh-huh. Q. "That CSU must prove clearly and convincingly that I intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly conducted academic research misconduct." A. Correct. That's from the policy. Q. What policy? A. The one you said you would deal with later, CSU's. If you type academic research misconduct on the CSU website, it's in there. Q. The letter from the ad hoc committee is not in my stuff. Do you have that quickly? Do you know where that is? A. It might be in Exhibit 5. Q. No. It can't be Exhibit 5 because this is after the fact. MS. GIFFEN: You do have it (indicating). You are so good. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | ask any questions? A. I remember them asking one. A lady asked about IRB. That's all I remember. Q. What did she ask? A. Just if I had any experience with it. I don't remember what else. Q. What did you answer? A. Yes. Q. Did you describe your experience? A. I know I told them that I was on the university committee at some point. That's all I remember. Q. You have submitted to IRB before? A. Every project that needed it throughout my career has IRB approval. Q. Okay. Have you ever done a paper involving human subjects where you didn't seek IRB approval? A. So I use a lot of archival data. Like if I get it from the census people data no, the answer is no. Q. Okay. And I think, but you will | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. Correct. Q. You mentioned here burden of proof? A. Uh-huh. Q. "That CSU must prove clearly and convincingly that I intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly conducted academic research misconduct." A. Correct. That's from the policy. Q. What policy? A. The one you said you would deal with later, CSU's. If you type academic research misconduct on the CSU website, it's in there. Q. The letter from the ad hoc committee is not in my stuff. Do you have that quickly? Do you know where that is? A. It might be in Exhibit 5. Q. No. It can't be Exhibit 5 because this is after the fact. MS. GIFFEN: You do have it (indicating). You are so good. |

| | Page 198 | | Page 200 |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | identification.) | 1 | Q. You said correct? |
| 2 | | 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | Q. Handing you what's been marked as | 3 | |
| 4 | Exhibit 23, was this the letter that you | 4 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 25, |
| 5 | received from the ad hoc committee upholding | 5 | an Unfair Labor Practice Charge |
| 6 | Provost Bloomberg's decision to terminate you? | 6 | Document, was marked for purposes of |
| 7 | MR. KELLY: Object to the form of | 7 | identification.) |
| 8 | the question, assumes facts not in evidence, is | 8 | |
| 9 | ambiguous, and I don't believe the terminology | 9 | Q. All right. Dr. Pesta, you've now |
| 10 | upholding is appropriate, but go ahead and | 10 | been handed Exhibit 25. Is that the Unfair |
| 11 | answer. | 11 | Labor Practice Charge you filed with the State |
| 12 | A. This is the letter from the ad hoc | 12 | Employee Relations Board against the |
| 13 | committee. | 13 | AAUP-Cleveland State? |
| 14 | Q. Yes. You see that in the ad hoc | 14 | A. Correct. |
| 15 | committee's conclusions, they specifically | 15 | Q. Pardon me? |
| 16 | determine that the conclusions drawn by the | 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | investigative committee and the provost were | 17 | Q. Okay. And that that was filed on |
| 18 | arrived at irrespective of the content of | 18 | April 26th, 2022? |
| 19 | Dr. Pesta's research? | 19 | A. Correct. |
| 20 | MR. KELLY: Where are you at? | 20 | Q. And then beginning on page 1 of the |
| 21 | A. Yes, I'm sorry. | 21 | attachment to the charge which consists of |
| 22 | MS. GIFFEN: The third paragraph of | 22 | A. Statement of facts. |
| 23 | the second page. | 23 | Q. I think it goes all the way through |
| 24 | A. What was your question there? | 24 | to page 11, and then there is a letter from |
| 25 | Q. You'll agree with me that the | 25 | your then attorney, Jay Carson, to SERB, right? |
| | Page 199 | 1 | Page 201 |
| 1 | committee concluded that the conclusions drawn | 1 | A. Can you tell me what page you're |
| | by the investigative committee and by Provost | 2 3 | starting on? Is it page 1? |
| 3 | Bloomberg were arrived at irrespective of the | ı 3 | |
| | content of your massage b? | l | Q. There is the two pages of the |
| 4 | content of your research? | 4 | charge, right? |
| 4 5 | A. That's what they claim. | 5 | charge, right? A. Correct. |
| 4 5 6 | A. That's what they claim.Q. Will you agree with me that the ad | 4 5 6 | charge, right? A. Correct. Q. The next thing is marked at the |
| 4 5 6 7 | A. That's what they claim.Q. Will you agree with me that the ad hoc committee confirmed each of the bases for | 4 5 6 7 | charge, right? A. Correct. Q. The next thing is marked at the bottom page 1. |
| 4 5 6 7 8 | A. That's what they claim. Q. Will you agree with me that the ad hoc committee confirmed each of the bases for Provost Bloomberg's determination of the | 4 5 6 7 8 | charge, right? A. Correct. Q. The next thing is marked at the bottom page 1. A. Correct. |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. That's what they claim. Q. Will you agree with me that the ad hoc committee confirmed each of the bases for Provost Bloomberg's determination of the sanction to be levied against you? | 4 5 6 7 8 9 | charge, right? A. Correct. Q. The next thing is marked at the bottom page 1. A. Correct. Q. And then it goes all the way to |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A. That's what they claim. Q. Will you agree with me that the ad hoc committee confirmed each of the bases for Provost Bloomberg's determination of the sanction to be levied against you? MR. KELLY: Object to the form of | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | charge, right? A. Correct. Q. The next thing is marked at the bottom page 1. A. Correct. Q. And then it goes all the way to page 10 excuse me 11 and concludes with a |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A. That's what they claim. Q. Will you agree with me that the ad hoc committee confirmed each of the bases for Provost Bloomberg's determination of the sanction to be levied against you? MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question. | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | charge, right? A. Correct. Q. The next thing is marked at the bottom page 1. A. Correct. Q. And then it goes all the way to page 10 excuse me 11 and concludes with a letter to SERB from your attorney? |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | A. That's what they claim. Q. Will you agree with me that the ad hoc committee confirmed each of the bases for Provost Bloomberg's determination of the sanction to be levied against you? MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question. A. I guess it's in here, so that's | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | charge, right? A. Correct. Q. The next thing is marked at the bottom page 1. A. Correct. Q. And then it goes all the way to page 10 excuse me 11 and concludes with a letter to SERB from your attorney? A. You are correct. |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A. That's what they claim. Q. Will you agree with me that the ad hoc committee confirmed each of the bases for Provost Bloomberg's determination of the sanction to be levied against you? MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question. | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | charge, right? A. Correct. Q. The next thing is marked at the bottom page 1. A. Correct. Q. And then it goes all the way to page 10 excuse me 11 and concludes with a letter to SERB from your attorney? A. You are correct. Q. So pages 1 through 11 are headed |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A. That's what they claim. Q. Will you agree with me that the ad hoc committee confirmed each of the bases for Provost Bloomberg's determination of the sanction to be levied against you? MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question. A. I guess it's in here, so that's what they wrote. | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | charge, right? A. Correct. Q. The next thing is marked at the bottom page 1. A. Correct. Q. And then it goes all the way to page 10 excuse me 11 and concludes with a letter to SERB from your attorney? A. You are correct. Q. So pages 1 through 11 are headed No. 6, statement of facts. Now, does that |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. That's what they claim. Q. Will you agree with me that the ad hoc committee confirmed each of the bases for Provost Bloomberg's determination of the sanction to be levied against you? MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question. A. I guess it's in here, so that's what they wrote. | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | charge, right? A. Correct. Q. The next thing is marked at the bottom page 1. A. Correct. Q. And then it goes all the way to page 10 excuse me 11 and concludes with a letter to SERB from your attorney? A. You are correct. Q. So pages 1 through 11 are headed No. 6, statement of facts. Now, does that refer to the charge form itself if you look at |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. That's what they claim. Q. Will you agree with me that the ad hoc committee confirmed each of the bases for Provost Bloomberg's determination of the sanction to be levied against you? MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question. A. I guess it's in here, so that's what they wrote. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 24, a Letter from the Office of the | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | charge, right? A. Correct. Q. The next thing is marked at the bottom page 1. A. Correct. Q. And then it goes all the way to page 10 excuse me 11 and concludes with a letter to SERB from your attorney? A. You are correct. Q. So pages 1 through 11 are headed No. 6, statement of facts. Now, does that refer to the charge form itself if you look at page 2? |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. That's what they claim. Q. Will you agree with me that the ad hoc committee confirmed each of the bases for Provost Bloomberg's determination of the sanction to be levied against you? MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question. A. I guess it's in here, so that's what they wrote. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 24, a Letter from the Office of the Provost Dated 2/28/22, was marked | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | charge, right? A. Correct. Q. The next thing is marked at the bottom page 1. A. Correct. Q. And then it goes all the way to page 10 excuse me 11 and concludes with a letter to SERB from your attorney? A. You are correct. Q. So pages 1 through 11 are headed No. 6, statement of facts. Now, does that refer to the charge form itself if you look at page 2? A. Yeah. When you need more space, |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. That's what they claim. Q. Will you agree with me that the ad hoc committee confirmed each of the bases for Provost Bloomberg's determination of the sanction to be levied against you? MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question. A. I guess it's in here, so that's what they wrote. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 24, a Letter from the Office of the | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | charge, right? A. Correct. Q. The next thing is marked at the bottom page 1. A. Correct. Q. And then it goes all the way to page 10 excuse me 11 and concludes with a letter to SERB from your attorney? A. You are correct. Q. So pages 1 through 11 are headed No. 6, statement of facts. Now, does that refer to the charge form itself if you look at page 2? A. Yeah. When you need more space, you've got to |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. That's what they claim. Q. Will you agree with me that the ad hoc committee confirmed each of the bases for Provost Bloomberg's determination of the sanction to be levied against you? MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question. A. I guess it's in here, so that's what they wrote. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 24, a Letter from the Office of the Provost Dated 2/28/22, was marked for purposes of identification.) | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | charge, right? A. Correct. Q. The next thing is marked at the bottom page 1. A. Correct. Q. And then it goes all the way to page 10 excuse me 11 and concludes with a letter to SERB from your attorney? A. You are correct. Q. So pages 1 through 11 are headed No. 6, statement of facts. Now, does that refer to the charge form itself if you look at page 2? A. Yeah. When you need more space, you've got to Q. Sure. There is nothing the matter |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. That's what they claim. Q. Will you agree with me that the ad hoc committee confirmed each of the bases for Provost Bloomberg's determination of the sanction to be levied against you? MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question. A. I guess it's in here, so that's what they wrote. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 24, a Letter from the Office of the Provost Dated 2/28/22, was marked | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | charge, right? A. Correct. Q. The next thing is marked at the bottom page 1. A. Correct. Q. And then it goes all the way to page 10 excuse me 11 and concludes with a letter to SERB from your attorney? A. You are correct. Q. So pages 1 through 11 are headed No. 6, statement of facts. Now, does that refer to the charge form itself if you look at page 2? A. Yeah. When you need more space, you've got to |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. That's what they claim. Q. Will you agree with me that the ad hoc committee confirmed each of the bases for Provost Bloomberg's determination of the sanction to be levied against you? MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question. A. I guess it's in here, so that's what they wrote. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 24, a Letter from the Office of the Provost Dated 2/28/22, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Dr. Pesta, handing you what's been | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | charge, right? A. Correct. Q. The next thing is marked at the bottom page 1. A. Correct. Q. And then it goes all the way to page 10 excuse me 11 and concludes with a letter to SERB from your attorney? A. You are correct. Q. So pages 1 through 11 are headed No. 6, statement of facts. Now, does that refer to the charge form itself if you look at page 2? A. Yeah. When you need more space, you've got to Q. Sure. There is nothing the matter with it. I'm just confirming that that was |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. That's what they claim. Q. Will you agree with me that the ad hoc committee confirmed each of the bases for Provost Bloomberg's determination of the sanction to be levied against you? MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question. A. I guess it's in here, so that's what they wrote. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 24, a Letter from the Office of the Provost Dated 2/28/22, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Dr. Pesta, handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 24. Can you confirm that | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | charge, right? A. Correct. Q. The next thing is marked at the bottom page 1. A. Correct. Q. And then it goes all the way to page 10 excuse me 11 and concludes with a letter to SERB from your attorney? A. You are correct. Q. So pages 1 through 11 are headed No. 6, statement of facts. Now, does that refer to the charge form itself if you look at page 2? A. Yeah. When you need more space, you've got to Q. Sure. There is nothing the matter with it. I'm just confirming that that was your statement of the charge as listed in pages |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. That's what they claim. Q. Will you agree with me that the ad hoc committee confirmed each of the bases for Provost Bloomberg's determination of the sanction to be levied against you? MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question. A. I guess it's in here, so that's what they wrote. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 24, a Letter from the Office of the Provost Dated 2/28/22, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Dr. Pesta, handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 24. Can you confirm that this is the letter you received from Provost | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | charge, right? A. Correct. Q. The next thing is marked at the bottom page 1. A. Correct. Q. And then it goes all the way to page 10 excuse me 11 and concludes with a letter to SERB from your attorney? A. You are correct. Q. So pages 1 through 11 are headed No. 6, statement of facts. Now, does that refer to the charge form itself if you look at page 2? A. Yeah. When you need more space, you've got to Q. Sure. There is nothing the matter with it. I'm just confirming that that was your statement of the charge as listed in pages 1 through 11 referred to that statement of |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. That's what they claim. Q. Will you agree with me that the ad hoc committee confirmed each of the bases for Provost Bloomberg's determination of the sanction to be levied against you? MR. KELLY: Object to the form of the question. A. I guess it's in here, so that's what they wrote. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 24, a Letter from the Office of the Provost Dated 2/28/22, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Dr. Pesta, handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 24. Can you confirm that this is the letter you received from Provost Bloomberg that terminates your employment with | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | charge, right? A. Correct. Q. The next thing is marked at the bottom page 1. A. Correct. Q. And then it goes all the way to page 10 excuse me 11 and concludes with a letter to SERB from your attorney? A. You are correct. Q. So pages 1 through 11 are headed No. 6, statement of facts. Now, does that refer to the charge form itself if you look at page 2? A. Yeah. When you need more space, you've got to Q. Sure. There is nothing the matter with it. I'm just confirming that that was your statement of the charge as listed in pages 1 through 11 referred to that statement of facts section of the charge. |

51 (Pages 198 - 201)

| 1 | Page 202 | 1 | Page 204 |
|---|---|--|---|
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | that statement to SERB as statements it | 1 | A. Yes. This is it. |
| $\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$ | contains are true and correct to the best of my | 2 3 | Q. Yes, you've seen this before? |
| $\begin{vmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{vmatrix}$ | knowledge and belief. Do you see that in the declaration section? | 4 | A. Yes. |
| 5 | A. Could you tell me the page? | 5 | Q. And were you able to see the affidavits that were submitted by the union? |
| 6 | Q. That's page 2. | 6 | A. Back when I read it I'm sure, yeah. |
| 7 | A. Okay. | 7 | Q. Okay. What page are those on? |
| 8 | Q. No, no, no. Page 2 of the charge | 8 | A. I haven't given them to you. I've |
| 9 | form right under Section 6. | 9 | only given you the position statement. Well, |
| 10 | A. Yes. I see it. | 10 | we can put these in. |
| 11 | Q. Okay. All right. And you can | 11 | |
| 12 | confirm that the statements contained in the | 12 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 27, |
| 13 | statement of facts, meaning 1 through 11, are | 13 | the Affidavit of Linda Quinn, was |
| 14 | your position with respect to the SERB charge | 14 | marked for purposes of |
| 15 | against the AAUP? | 15 | identification.) |
| 16 | A. Yeah. It's been a while since I | 16 | |
| 17 | looked at this, so can I just briefly scan it? | 17 | |
| 18 | Q. Of course. | 18 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 28, |
| 19 | MS. GIFFEN: Let's go off the | 19 | the Affidavit of Birch Browning, was |
| 20 | record because it's quite lengthy. | 20 | marked for purposes of |
| 21 | (Brief recess.) | 21 | identification.) |
| 22 | Q. Dr. Pesta, you've had an | 22 | |
| 23 | opportunity now to review Exhibit 25. | 23 | Q. You've been handed now Exhibits 27 |
| 24 | A. Yes. | 24 | and 28. Exhibit 27 appears to be the affidavit |
| 25 | Q. I believe my question was, as you | 25 | of Linda Quinn, and Exhibit 28 appears to be |
| 1 | Page 203 | | Page 205 |
| 1 | | | .1 CC 1 1 CD 1 D 1 TI |
| 1 2 | sit here today, do you have anything that you | 1 | the affidavit of Birch Browning. Have you |
| | want to add to or retract from the description | 2 | received those before? |
| 3 | want to add to or retract from the description of the statement of facts that you have in the | 2 3 | received those before? A. I don't remember ever |
| 3 4 | want to add to or retract from the description of the statement of facts that you have in the Unfair Labor Practice Charge in Exhibit 25? | 2 3 4 | received those before? A. I don't remember ever reading was this the first one, Linda Quinn, |
| 3 4 5 | want to add to or retract from the description of the statement of facts that you have in the Unfair Labor Practice Charge in Exhibit 25? A. I didn't peruse it, but no. | 2 3 4 5 | received those before? A. I don't remember ever reading was this the first one, Linda Quinn, Exhibit 27? |
| 3 4 | want to add to or retract from the description of the statement of facts that you have in the Unfair Labor Practice Charge in Exhibit 25? A. I didn't peruse it, but no. Q. Did you ever receive a copy of the | 2 3 4 5 6 | received those before? A. I don't remember ever reading was this the first one, Linda Quinn, Exhibit 27? MR. KELLY: The question is have |
| 3 4 5 6 7 | want to add to or retract from the description of the statement of facts that you have in the Unfair Labor Practice Charge in Exhibit 25? A. I didn't peruse it, but no. Q. Did you ever receive a copy of the union's response to your Unfair Labor Practice | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | received those before? A. I don't remember ever reading was this the first one, Linda Quinn, Exhibit 27? MR. KELLY: The question is have you ever received it before. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 | want to add to or retract from the description of the statement of facts that you have in the Unfair Labor Practice Charge in Exhibit 25? A. I didn't peruse it, but no. Q. Did you ever receive a copy of the union's response to your Unfair Labor Practice Charge? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | received those before? A. I don't remember ever reading was this the first one, Linda Quinn, Exhibit 27? MR. KELLY: The question is have you ever received it before. A. No. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | want to add to or retract from the description of the statement of facts that you have in the Unfair Labor Practice Charge in Exhibit 25? A. I didn't peruse it, but no. Q. Did you ever receive a copy of the union's response to your Unfair Labor Practice Charge? A. Yeah. I believe we produced that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | received those before? A. I don't remember ever reading was this the first one, Linda Quinn, Exhibit 27? MR. KELLY: The question is have you ever received it before. A. No. Q. In particular would you please read |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 | want to add to or retract from the description of the statement of facts that you have in the Unfair Labor Practice Charge in Exhibit 25? A. I didn't peruse it, but no. Q. Did you ever receive a copy of the union's response to your Unfair Labor Practice Charge? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | received those before? A. I don't remember ever reading was this the first one, Linda Quinn, Exhibit 27? MR. KELLY: The question is have you ever received it before. A. No. Q. In particular would you please read the affidavit of Birch Browning which is |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | want to add to or retract from the description of the statement of facts that you have in the Unfair Labor Practice Charge in Exhibit 25? A. I didn't peruse it, but no. Q. Did you ever receive a copy of the union's response to your Unfair Labor Practice Charge? A. Yeah. I believe we produced that in one of the folders to you guys. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | received those before? A. I don't remember ever reading was this the first one, Linda Quinn, Exhibit 27? MR. KELLY: The question is have you ever received it before. A. No. Q. In particular would you please read |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | want to add to or retract from the description of the statement of facts that you have in the Unfair Labor Practice Charge in Exhibit 25? A. I didn't peruse it, but no. Q. Did you ever receive a copy of the union's response to your Unfair Labor Practice Charge? A. Yeah. I believe we produced that in one of the folders to you guys. Q. You didn't, but that's okay. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | received those before? A. I don't remember ever reading was this the first one, Linda Quinn, Exhibit 27? MR. KELLY: The question is have you ever received it before. A. No. Q. In particular would you please read the affidavit of Birch Browning which is Exhibit 28 and tell us whether you disagree |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | want to add to or retract from the description of the statement of facts that you have in the Unfair Labor Practice Charge in Exhibit 25? A. I didn't peruse it, but no. Q. Did you ever receive a copy of the union's response to your Unfair Labor Practice Charge? A. Yeah. I believe we produced that in one of the folders to you guys. Q. You didn't, but that's okay. A. Okay. There is a bunch of emails | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | received those before? A. I don't remember ever reading was this the first one, Linda Quinn, Exhibit 27? MR. KELLY: The question is have you ever received it before. A. No. Q. In particular would you please read the affidavit of Birch Browning which is Exhibit 28 and tell us whether you disagree with the statements made by Mr. Browning in the |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | want to add to or retract from the description of the statement of facts that you have in the Unfair Labor Practice Charge in Exhibit 25? A. I didn't peruse it, but no. Q. Did you ever receive a copy of the union's response to your Unfair Labor Practice Charge? A. Yeah. I believe we produced that in one of the folders to you guys. Q. You didn't, but that's okay. A. Okay. There is a bunch of emails | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | received those before? A. I don't remember ever reading was this the first one, Linda Quinn, Exhibit 27? MR. KELLY: The question is have you ever received it before. A. No. Q. In particular would you please read the affidavit of Birch Browning which is Exhibit 28 and tell us whether you disagree with the statements made by Mr. Browning in the exhibit? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | want to add to or retract from the description of the statement of facts that you have in the Unfair Labor Practice Charge in Exhibit 25? A. I didn't peruse it, but no. Q. Did you ever receive a copy of the union's response to your Unfair Labor Practice Charge? A. Yeah. I believe we produced that in one of the folders to you guys. Q. You didn't, but that's okay. A. Okay. There is a bunch of emails in there, though. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 26, a Letter to Judy Knapp dated 5/25/22, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | received those before? A. I don't remember ever reading was this the first one, Linda Quinn, Exhibit 27? MR. KELLY: The question is have you ever received it before. A. No. Q. In particular would you please read the affidavit of Birch Browning which is Exhibit 28 and tell us whether you disagree with the statements made by Mr. Browning in the exhibit? A. The whole document? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | want to add to or retract from the description of the statement of facts that you have in the Unfair Labor Practice Charge in Exhibit 25? A. I didn't peruse it, but no. Q. Did you ever receive a copy of the union's response to your Unfair Labor Practice Charge? A. Yeah. I believe we produced that in one of the folders to you guys. Q. You didn't, but that's okay. A. Okay. There is a bunch of emails in there, though. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 26, a Letter to Judy Knapp dated 5/25/22, was marked for purposes of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | received those before? A. I don't remember ever reading was this the first one, Linda Quinn, Exhibit 27? MR. KELLY: The question is have you ever received it before. A. No. Q. In particular would you please read the affidavit of Birch Browning which is Exhibit 28 and tell us whether you disagree with the statements made by Mr. Browning in the exhibit? A. The whole document? MR. KELLY: Hold on. He just said he hasn't seen this before, I believe. MS. GIFFEN: I think he did, too. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | want to add to or retract from the description of the statement of facts that you have in the Unfair Labor Practice Charge in Exhibit 25? A. I didn't peruse it, but no. Q. Did you ever receive a copy of the union's response to your Unfair Labor Practice Charge? A. Yeah. I believe we produced that in one of the folders to you guys. Q. You didn't, but that's okay. A. Okay. There is a bunch of emails in there, though. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 26, a Letter to Judy Knapp dated 5/25/22, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | received those before? A. I don't remember ever reading was this the first one, Linda Quinn, Exhibit 27? MR. KELLY: The question is have you ever received it before. A. No. Q. In particular would you please read the affidavit of Birch Browning which is Exhibit 28 and tell us whether you disagree with the statements made by Mr. Browning in the exhibit? A. The whole document? MR. KELLY: Hold on. He just said he hasn't seen this before, I believe. MS. GIFFEN: I think he did, too. MR. KELLY: And you want him to |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | want to add to or retract from the description of the statement of facts that you have in the Unfair Labor Practice Charge in Exhibit 25? A. I didn't peruse it, but no. Q. Did you ever receive a copy of the union's response to your Unfair Labor Practice Charge? A. Yeah. I believe we produced that in one of the folders to you guys. Q. You didn't, but that's okay. A. Okay. There is a bunch of emails in there, though. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 26, a Letter to Judy Knapp dated 5/25/22, was marked for purposes of identification.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | received those before? A. I don't remember ever reading was this the first one, Linda Quinn, Exhibit 27? MR. KELLY: The question is have you ever received it before. A. No. Q. In particular would you please read the affidavit of Birch Browning which is Exhibit 28 and tell us whether you disagree with the statements made by Mr. Browning in the exhibit? A. The whole document? MR. KELLY: Hold on. He just said he hasn't seen this before, I believe. MS. GIFFEN: I think he did, too. MR. KELLY: And you want him to read now all 21 paragraphs and say whether he |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | want to add to or retract from the description of the statement of facts that you have in the Unfair Labor Practice Charge in Exhibit 25? A. I didn't peruse it, but no. Q. Did you ever receive a copy of the union's response to your Unfair Labor Practice Charge? A. Yeah. I believe we produced that in one of the folders to you guys. Q. You didn't, but that's okay. A. Okay. There is a bunch of emails in there, though. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 26, a Letter to Judy Knapp dated 5/25/22, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Is that a copy of the position | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | received those before? A. I don't remember ever reading was this the first one, Linda Quinn, Exhibit 27? MR. KELLY: The question is have you ever received it before. A. No. Q. In particular would you please read the affidavit of Birch Browning which is Exhibit 28 and tell us whether you disagree with the statements made by Mr. Browning in the exhibit? A. The whole document? MR. KELLY: Hold on. He just said he hasn't seen this before, I believe. MS. GIFFEN: I think he did, too. MR. KELLY: And you want him to read now all 21 paragraphs and say whether he agrees or disagrees with it? I have to object. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | want to add to or retract from the description of the statement of facts that you have in the Unfair Labor Practice Charge in Exhibit 25? A. I didn't peruse it, but no. Q. Did you ever receive a copy of the union's response to your Unfair Labor Practice Charge? A. Yeah. I believe we produced that in one of the folders to you guys. Q. You didn't, but that's okay. A. Okay. There is a bunch of emails in there, though. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 26, a Letter to Judy Knapp dated 5/25/22, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Is that a copy of the position statement that the union filed in response to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | received those before? A. I don't remember ever reading was this the first one, Linda Quinn, Exhibit 27? MR. KELLY: The question is have you ever received it before. A. No. Q. In particular would you please read the affidavit of Birch Browning which is Exhibit 28 and tell us whether you disagree with the statements made by Mr. Browning in the exhibit? A. The whole document? MR. KELLY: Hold on. He just said he hasn't seen this before, I believe. MS. GIFFEN: I think he did, too. MR. KELLY: And you want him to read now all 21 paragraphs and say whether he agrees or disagrees with it? I have to object. MS. KAMINSKI: Just go paragraph by |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | want to add to or retract from the description of the statement of facts that you have in the Unfair Labor Practice Charge in Exhibit 25? A. I didn't peruse it, but no. Q. Did you ever receive a copy of the union's response to your Unfair Labor Practice Charge? A. Yeah. I believe we produced that in one of the folders to you guys. Q. You didn't, but that's okay. A. Okay. There is a bunch of emails in there, though. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 26, a Letter to Judy Knapp dated 5/25/22, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Is that a copy of the position statement that the union filed in response to the Unfair Labor Practice Charge? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | received those before? A. I don't remember ever reading was this the first one, Linda Quinn, Exhibit 27? MR. KELLY: The question is have you ever received it before. A. No. Q. In particular would you please read the affidavit of Birch Browning which is Exhibit 28 and tell us whether you disagree with the statements made by Mr. Browning in the exhibit? A. The whole document? MR. KELLY: Hold on. He just said he hasn't seen this before, I believe. MS. GIFFEN: I think he did, too. MR. KELLY: And you want him to read now all 21 paragraphs and say whether he agrees or disagrees with it? I have to object. MS. KAMINSKI: Just go paragraph by paragraph. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | want to add to or retract from the description of the statement of facts that you have in the Unfair Labor Practice Charge in Exhibit 25? A. I didn't peruse it, but no. Q. Did you ever receive a copy of the union's response to your Unfair Labor Practice Charge? A. Yeah. I believe we produced that in one of the folders to you guys. Q. You didn't, but that's okay. A. Okay. There is a bunch of emails in there, though. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 26, a Letter to Judy Knapp dated 5/25/22, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Is that a copy of the position statement that the union filed in response to the Unfair Labor Practice Charge? A. This doesn't look familiar to me. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | received those before? A. I don't remember ever reading was this the first one, Linda Quinn, Exhibit 27? MR. KELLY: The question is have you ever received it before. A. No. Q. In particular would you please read the affidavit of Birch Browning which is Exhibit 28 and tell us whether you disagree with the statements made by Mr. Browning in the exhibit? A. The whole document? MR. KELLY: Hold on. He just said he hasn't seen this before, I believe. MS. GIFFEN: I think he did, too. MR. KELLY: And you want him to read now all 21 paragraphs and say whether he agrees or disagrees with it? I have to object. MS. KAMINSKI: Just go paragraph by paragraph. MS. GIFFEN: I don't want to waste |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | want to add to or retract from the description of the statement of facts that you have in the Unfair Labor Practice Charge in Exhibit 25? A. I didn't peruse it, but no. Q. Did you ever receive a copy of the union's response to your Unfair Labor Practice Charge? A. Yeah. I believe we produced that in one of the folders to you guys. Q. You didn't, but that's okay. A. Okay. There is a bunch of emails in there, though. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 26, a Letter to Judy Knapp dated 5/25/22, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Is that a copy of the position statement that the union filed in response to the Unfair Labor Practice Charge? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | received those before? A. I don't remember ever reading was this the first one, Linda Quinn, Exhibit 27? MR. KELLY: The question is have you ever received it before. A. No. Q. In particular would you please read the affidavit of Birch Browning which is Exhibit 28 and tell us whether you disagree with the statements made by Mr. Browning in the exhibit? A. The whole document? MR. KELLY: Hold on. He just said he hasn't seen this before, I believe. MS. GIFFEN: I think he did, too. MR. KELLY: And you want him to read now all 21 paragraphs and say whether he agrees or disagrees with it? I have to object. MS. KAMINSKI: Just go paragraph by paragraph. |

| , | Page 206 | 1 | Page 208 |
|--|--|--|--|
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | Q. I'm going to pick out a couple. | 1 | A. I have no idea. I've never seen |
| $\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$ | Look at paragraph 10. | 2 | this before. |
| 3 | A. Of 28 or 27? Twenty-eight, okay. | 3 4 | Q. How about 21?A. No. I'm not familiar with it. |
| 4 | Q. Twenty-eight. Mr. Browning states, "Dr. Pesta did not inform the CSU-AAUP about | | |
| 5 | the October 11th interview with the | | Q. Okay. Okay. |
| $\begin{vmatrix} 6 \\ 7 \end{vmatrix}$ | | 6 7 | (Thomsuman Demosition Exhibit 20, a |
| 8 | investigation committee, invite them to attend, | 8 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 29, a |
| 9 | or invite them to review the transcript;" is that correct? | 9 | Document Labeled Investigator's Memorandum, was marked for purposes |
| 10 | I'm sorry. I worded that | 10 | of identification.) |
| 11 | improperly. Do you agree or disagree with that | 11 | of identification.) |
| 12 | statement by Mr. Browning? | 12 | Q. If you could, take a moment to |
| 13 | A. I don't remember. I know he was | 13 | review 29, Exhibit 29, and tell me whether you |
| 14 | present at the second, not the first. | 14 | ever saw the investigator's memorandum in |
| 15 | Q. Now, I don't want you to feel | 15 | connection with your Unfair Labor Practice |
| 16 | tricked later on. This is referring to the | 16 | Charge. |
| 17 | investigation committee, not the ad hoc | 17 | A. This is from SERB, right? |
| 18 | committee. | 18 | Q. Correct. |
| 19 | MR. KELLY: Yes. | 19 | A. Yes, I have seen this before. |
| 20 | A. That's my understanding, correct. | 20 | Q. Okay. And then finally |
| 21 | Q. So this is are you saying that | 21 | (indicating). |
| 22 | Birch Browning was at the first interview of | 22 | |
| 23 | the investigation committee? | 23 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 30, a |
| 24 | A. I thought I said second, or maybe | 24 | Document Labeled Dismissal of Unfair |
| | it was the ad hoc. I don't remember. I think | 25 | Labor Practice Charge, was marked |
| | | | 9 |
| | Dog 207 | | Dogg 200 |
| 1 | Page 207 it was the second meeting but I'm not | 1 | Page 209 for purposes of identification) |
| 1 2 | it was the second meeting, but I'm not | 1 2 | for purposes of identification.) |
| 2 | it was the second meeting, but I'm not positive. | 2 | for purposes of identification.) |
| 2 3 | it was the second meeting, but I'm not positive. Q. I will tell you that I have no | 2 3 | for purposes of identification.) Q. Have you seen Exhibit 30 before? |
| 2 3 4 | it was the second meeting, but I'm not positive. Q. I will tell you that I have no record that Birch Browning was at either of the | 2 3 4 | for purposes of identification.) Q. Have you seen Exhibit 30 before? A. Yes. |
| 2 3 | it was the second meeting, but I'm not positive. Q. I will tell you that I have no | 2 3 | for purposes of identification.) Q. Have you seen Exhibit 30 before? A. Yes. Q. And what is Exhibit 30? |
| 2 3 4 5 | it was the second meeting, but I'm not positive. Q. I will tell you that I have no record that Birch Browning was at either of the interviews in front of the investigation committee. | 2 3 4 5 | for purposes of identification.) Q. Have you seen Exhibit 30 before? A. Yes. Q. And what is Exhibit 30? A. It is SERB's in the matter of me |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | it was the second meeting, but I'm not positive. Q. I will tell you that I have no record that Birch Browning was at either of the interviews in front of the investigation | 2 3 4 5 6 | for purposes of identification.) Q. Have you seen Exhibit 30 before? A. Yes. Q. And what is Exhibit 30? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | it was the second meeting, but I'm not positive. Q. I will tell you that I have no record that Birch Browning was at either of the interviews in front of the investigation committee. A. Well, it must have been the ad hoc. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | for purposes of identification.) Q. Have you seen Exhibit 30 before? A. Yes. Q. And what is Exhibit 30? A. It is SERB's in the matter of me versus the AAUP dismissal of the Unfair Labor |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | it was the second meeting, but I'm not positive. Q. I will tell you that I have no record that Birch Browning was at either of the interviews in front of the investigation committee. A. Well, it must have been the ad hoc. Q. He was at the ad hoc committee | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | for purposes of identification.) Q. Have you seen Exhibit 30 before? A. Yes. Q. And what is Exhibit 30? A. It is SERB's in the matter of me versus the AAUP dismissal of the Unfair Labor Practice Charge. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | it was the second meeting, but I'm not positive. Q. I will tell you that I have no record that Birch Browning was at either of the interviews in front of the investigation committee. A. Well, it must have been the ad hoc. Q. He was at the ad hoc committee hearing. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | for purposes of identification.) Q. Have you seen Exhibit 30 before? A. Yes. Q. And what is Exhibit 30? A. It is SERB's in the matter of me versus the AAUP dismissal of the Unfair Labor Practice Charge. Q. Okay. Did you take any further |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | it was the second meeting, but I'm not positive. Q. I will tell you that I have no record that Birch Browning was at either of the interviews in front of the investigation committee. A. Well, it must have been the ad hoc. Q. He was at the ad hoc committee hearing. A. Okay. Thank you. I agree. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | for purposes of identification.) Q. Have you seen Exhibit 30 before? A. Yes. Q. And what is Exhibit 30? A. It is SERB's in the matter of me versus the AAUP dismissal of the Unfair Labor Practice Charge. Q. Okay. Did you take any further action with respect to the State Employee |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | it was the second meeting, but I'm not positive. Q. I will tell you that I have no record that Birch Browning was at either of the interviews in front of the investigation committee. A. Well, it must have been the ad hoc. Q. He was at the ad hoc committee hearing. A. Okay. Thank you. I agree. Q. So but this paragraph, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | for purposes of identification.) Q. Have you seen Exhibit 30 before? A. Yes. Q. And what is Exhibit 30? A. It is SERB's in the matter of me versus the AAUP dismissal of the Unfair Labor Practice Charge. Q. Okay. Did you take any further action with respect to the State Employee Relations Board complaint? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | it was the second meeting, but I'm not positive. Q. I will tell you that I have no record that Birch Browning was at either of the interviews in front of the investigation committee. A. Well, it must have been the ad hoc. Q. He was at the ad hoc committee hearing. A. Okay. Thank you. I agree. Q. So but this paragraph, paragraph 10, refers to the investigation | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | for purposes of identification.) Q. Have you seen Exhibit 30 before? A. Yes. Q. And what is Exhibit 30? A. It is SERB's in the matter of me versus the AAUP dismissal of the Unfair Labor Practice Charge. Q. Okay. Did you take any further action with respect to the State Employee Relations Board complaint? A. No. I don't think so, no. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | it was the second meeting, but I'm not positive. Q. I will tell you that I have no record that Birch Browning was at either of the interviews in front of the investigation committee. A. Well, it must have been the ad hoc. Q. He was at the ad hoc committee hearing. A. Okay. Thank you. I agree. Q. So but this paragraph, paragraph 10, refers to the investigation committee, and what he's saying there is that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | for purposes of identification.) Q. Have you seen Exhibit 30 before? A. Yes. Q. And what is Exhibit 30? A. It is SERB's in the matter of me versus the AAUP dismissal of the Unfair Labor Practice Charge. Q. Okay. Did you take any further action with respect to the State Employee Relations Board complaint? A. No. I don't think so, no. Q. Have you followed any filed or proceeded in any other forum to object to your dismissal or the union's handling of the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | it was the second meeting, but I'm not positive. Q. I will tell you that I have no record that Birch Browning was at either of the interviews in front of the investigation committee. A. Well, it must have been the ad hoc. Q. He was at the ad hoc committee hearing. A. Okay. Thank you. I agree. Q. So but this paragraph, paragraph 10, refers to the investigation committee, and what he's saying there is that you did not inform the CSU-AAUP about that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | for purposes of identification.) Q. Have you seen Exhibit 30 before? A. Yes. Q. And what is Exhibit 30? A. It is SERB's in the matter of me versus the AAUP dismissal of the Unfair Labor Practice Charge. Q. Okay. Did you take any further action with respect to the State Employee Relations Board complaint? A. No. I don't think so, no. Q. Have you followed any filed or proceeded in any other forum to object to your |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | it was the second meeting, but I'm not positive. Q. I will tell you that I have no record that Birch Browning was at either of the interviews in front of the investigation committee. A. Well, it must have been the ad hoc. Q. He was at the ad hoc committee hearing. A. Okay. Thank you. I agree. Q. So but this paragraph, paragraph 10, refers to the investigation committee, and what he's saying there is that you did not inform the CSU-AAUP about that interview, invite them to attend, or invite them to review the transcript; is that true? A. I don't remember. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | for purposes of identification.) Q. Have you seen Exhibit 30 before? A. Yes. Q. And what is Exhibit 30? A. It is SERB's in the matter of me versus the AAUP dismissal of the Unfair Labor Practice Charge. Q. Okay. Did you take any further action with respect to the State Employee Relations Board complaint? A. No. I don't think so, no. Q. Have you followed any filed or proceeded in any other forum to object to your dismissal or the union's handling of the dismissal? A. No. It's just this lawsuit and the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | it was the second meeting, but I'm not positive. Q. I will tell you that I have no record that Birch Browning was at either of the interviews in front of the investigation committee. A. Well, it must have been the ad hoc. Q. He was at the ad hoc committee hearing. A. Okay. Thank you. I agree. Q. So but this paragraph, paragraph 10, refers to the investigation committee, and what he's saying there is that you did not inform the CSU-AAUP about that interview, invite them to attend, or invite them to review the transcript; is that true? A. I don't remember. Q. In paragraph 19, Mr. Browning | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | for purposes of identification.) Q. Have you seen Exhibit 30 before? A. Yes. Q. And what is Exhibit 30? A. It is SERB's in the matter of me versus the AAUP dismissal of the Unfair Labor Practice Charge. Q. Okay. Did you take any further action with respect to the State Employee Relations Board complaint? A. No. I don't think so, no. Q. Have you followed any filed or proceeded in any other forum to object to your dismissal or the union's handling of the dismissal? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | it was the second meeting, but I'm not positive. Q. I will tell you that I have no record that Birch Browning was at either of the interviews in front of the investigation committee. A. Well, it must have been the ad hoc. Q. He was at the ad hoc committee hearing. A. Okay. Thank you. I agree. Q. So but this paragraph, paragraph 10, refers to the investigation committee, and what he's saying there is that you did not inform the CSU-AAUP about that interview, invite them to attend, or invite them to review the transcript; is that true? A. I don't remember. Q. In paragraph 19, Mr. Browning refers to another occasion where there was a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | for purposes of identification.) Q. Have you seen Exhibit 30 before? A. Yes. Q. And what is Exhibit 30? A. It is SERB's in the matter of me versus the AAUP dismissal of the Unfair Labor Practice Charge. Q. Okay. Did you take any further action with respect to the State Employee Relations Board complaint? A. No. I don't think so, no. Q. Have you followed any filed or proceeded in any other forum to object to your dismissal or the union's handling of the dismissal? A. No. It's just this lawsuit and the SERB thing. Q. Okay. Have you been a party to any |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | it was the second meeting, but I'm not positive. Q. I will tell you that I have no record that Birch Browning was at either of the interviews in front of the investigation committee. A. Well, it must have been the ad hoc. Q. He was at the ad hoc committee hearing. A. Okay. Thank you. I agree. Q. So but this paragraph, paragraph 10, refers to the investigation committee, and what he's saying there is that you did not inform the CSU-AAUP about that interview, invite them to attend, or invite them to review the transcript; is that true? A. I don't remember. Q. In paragraph 19, Mr. Browning refers to another occasion where there was a recommendation of a dismissal of a faculty | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | for purposes of identification.) Q. Have you seen Exhibit 30 before? A. Yes. Q. And what is Exhibit 30? A. It is SERB's in the matter of me versus the AAUP dismissal of the Unfair Labor Practice Charge. Q. Okay. Did you take any further action with respect to the State Employee Relations Board complaint? A. No. I don't think so, no. Q. Have you followed any filed or proceeded in any other forum to object to your dismissal or the union's handling of the dismissal? A. No. It's just this lawsuit and the SERB thing. Q. Okay. Have you been a party to any other lawsuits? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | it was the second meeting, but I'm not positive. Q. I will tell you that I have no record that Birch Browning was at either of the interviews in front of the investigation committee. A. Well, it must have been the ad hoc. Q. He was at the ad hoc committee hearing. A. Okay. Thank you. I agree. Q. So but this paragraph, paragraph 10, refers to the investigation committee, and what he's saying there is that you did not inform the CSU-AAUP about that interview, invite them to attend, or invite them to review the transcript; is that true? A. I don't remember. Q. In paragraph 19, Mr. Browning refers to another occasion where there was a recommendation of a dismissal of a faculty member. Are you familiar with that instance | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | for purposes of identification.) Q. Have you seen Exhibit 30 before? A. Yes. Q. And what is Exhibit 30? A. It is SERB's in the matter of me versus the AAUP dismissal of the Unfair Labor Practice Charge. Q. Okay. Did you take any further action with respect to the State Employee Relations Board complaint? A. No. I don't think so, no. Q. Have you followed any filed or proceeded in any other forum to object to your dismissal or the union's handling of the dismissal? A. No. It's just this lawsuit and the SERB thing. Q. Okay. Have you been a party to any other lawsuits? A. You mean party listed, like as a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | it was the second meeting, but I'm not positive. Q. I will tell you that I have no record that Birch Browning was at either of the interviews in front of the investigation committee. A. Well, it must have been the ad hoc. Q. He was at the ad hoc committee hearing. A. Okay. Thank you. I agree. Q. So but this paragraph, paragraph 10, refers to the investigation committee, and what he's saying there is that you did not inform the CSU-AAUP about that interview, invite them to attend, or invite them to review the transcript; is that true? A. I don't remember. Q. In paragraph 19, Mr. Browning refers to another occasion where there was a recommendation of a dismissal of a faculty member. Are you familiar with that instance that he is referring to? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | for purposes of identification.) Q. Have you seen Exhibit 30 before? A. Yes. Q. And what is Exhibit 30? A. It is SERB's in the matter of me versus the AAUP dismissal of the Unfair Labor Practice Charge. Q. Okay. Did you take any further action with respect to the State Employee Relations Board complaint? A. No. I don't think so, no. Q. Have you followed any filed or proceeded in any other forum to object to your dismissal or the union's handling of the dismissal? A. No. It's just this lawsuit and the SERB thing. Q. Okay. Have you been a party to any other lawsuits? A. You mean party listed, like as a defendant or plaintiff? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | it was the second meeting, but I'm not positive. Q. I will tell you that I have no record that Birch Browning was at either of the interviews in front of the investigation committee. A. Well, it must have been the ad hoc. Q. He was at the ad hoc committee hearing. A. Okay. Thank you. I agree. Q. So but this paragraph, paragraph 10, refers to the investigation committee, and what he's saying there is that you did not inform the CSU-AAUP about that interview, invite them to attend, or invite them to review the transcript; is that true? A. I don't remember. Q. In paragraph 19, Mr. Browning refers to another occasion where there was a recommendation of a dismissal of a faculty member. Are you familiar with that instance that he is referring to? A. No. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | for purposes of identification.) Q. Have you seen Exhibit 30 before? A. Yes. Q. And what is Exhibit 30? A. It is SERB's in the matter of me versus the AAUP dismissal of the Unfair Labor Practice Charge. Q. Okay. Did you take any further action with respect to the State Employee Relations Board complaint? A. No. I don't think so, no. Q. Have you followed any filed or proceeded in any other forum to object to your dismissal or the union's handling of the dismissal? A. No. It's just this lawsuit and the SERB thing. Q. Okay. Have you been a party to any other lawsuits? A. You mean party listed, like as a defendant or plaintiff? Q. Yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | it was the second meeting, but I'm not positive. Q. I will tell you that I have no record that Birch Browning was at either of the interviews in front of the investigation committee. A. Well, it must have been the ad hoc. Q. He was at the ad hoc committee hearing. A. Okay. Thank you. I agree. Q. So but this paragraph, paragraph 10, refers to the investigation committee, and what he's saying there is that you did not inform the CSU-AAUP about that interview, invite them to attend, or invite them to review the transcript; is that true? A. I don't remember. Q. In paragraph 19, Mr. Browning refers to another occasion where there was a recommendation of a dismissal of a faculty member. Are you familiar with that instance that he is referring to? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | for purposes of identification.) Q. Have you seen Exhibit 30 before? A. Yes. Q. And what is Exhibit 30? A. It is SERB's in the matter of me versus the AAUP dismissal of the Unfair Labor Practice Charge. Q. Okay. Did you take any further action with respect to the State Employee Relations Board complaint? A. No. I don't think so, no. Q. Have you followed any filed or proceeded in any other forum to object to your dismissal or the union's handling of the dismissal? A. No. It's just this lawsuit and the SERB thing. Q. Okay. Have you been a party to any other lawsuits? A. You mean party listed, like as a defendant or plaintiff? |

| | D 010 | | D 040 |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Page 210 was deposed. | 1 | Page 212 research misconduct. I mean, if this was |
| $\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$ | MR. KELLY: A party. | 2 | electronic, I could just search for that |
| $\frac{2}{3}$ | Q. I actually mean, I'll be specific, | 3 | |
| l . | as either a plaintiff or defendant in a | 4 | phrase. Q. If you turn to page it's the |
| 4 5 | lawsuit. | 5 | fourth page of the document. It's Ohio |
| 6 | A. Not that I can remember. | 6 | Administrative Code 3344-28-02 definitions. |
| 7 | MR. KELLY: You were divorced | 7 | A. Uh-huh. |
| 8 | twice, right? | 8 | O. And is that the definition of |
| 9 | MS. GIFFEN: I was about to ask. | 9 | academic research misconduct to which you |
| 10 | A. Yes, divorce, correct. | 10 | refer? |
| 11 | Q. Bankruptcy? | 11 | A. So it's the burden of proof I was |
| 12 | A. Chapter 11 I want to say right | 12 | talking about with intentionally, knowingly, |
| 13 | after I got divorced, like 2010, maybe 2011. | | recklessly, but this does define academic |
| 14 | Q. Any criminal prosecutions? | 1 | misconduct. |
| 15 | A. I think it was Chapter 13. I'm | 15 | Q. That doesn't say it has to be |
| 16 | sorry. No. | l | intentional or knowing or reckless; does it? |
| 17 | Q. By the way, what was you said | 17 | A. I think it's in the policy, but, I |
| 18 | the divorce was when and the bankruptcy was | 18 | mean, I haven't looked at this in a while. |
| 19 | when? | 19 | Q. The other thing |
| 20 | A. To the best of my memory, 2010, | 20 | A. So can I ask just for |
| 21 | official 2011. The bankruptcy might have been | 21 | clarification? I don't know if this is from |
| 22 | a year before. | 22 | CSU or not. Whatever CSU has on the website is |
| 23 | Q. Around the same time? | 23 | what I used. |
| 24 | A. Around the same time. | 24 | Q. I'll represent to you that this |
| 25 | | 25 | is |
| - | | | |
| | Page 211 | | Page 213 |
| 1 | Page 211 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 31, | 1 | Page 213 MS. GIFFEN: Let's go off the |
| 1 2 | | 1 2 | = |
| | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 31, | | MS. GIFFEN: Let's go off the |
| 2 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 31, Policy 3344-28-01, was marked for | 2 | MS. GIFFEN: Let's go off the record. |
| 2 3 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 31, Policy 3344-28-01, was marked for | 2 3 | MS. GIFFEN: Let's go off the record. (Discussion off record.) |
| 2 3 4 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 31, Policy 3344-28-01, was marked for purposes of identification.) | 2 3 4 | MS. GIFFEN: Let's go off the record. (Discussion off record.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. |
| 2 3 4 5 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 31, Policy 3344-28-01, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Handing you, Dr. Pesta, what has been marked Exhibit 31, is this the research misconduct policy that you've referred to a | 2 3 4 5 | MS. GIFFEN: Let's go off the record. (Discussion off record.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. The second piece that I'm |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 31, Policy 3344-28-01, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Handing you, Dr. Pesta, what has been marked Exhibit 31, is this the research | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | MS. GIFFEN: Let's go off the record. (Discussion off record.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. The second piece that I'm interested in is you said you believe that you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 31, Policy 3344-28-01, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Handing you, Dr. Pesta, what has been marked Exhibit 31, is this the research misconduct policy that you've referred to a couple of times today? MR. KELLY: If you know. Look it | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MS. GIFFEN: Let's go off the record. (Discussion off record.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. The second piece that I'm interested in is you said you believe that you had a that the investigative committee had a requirement to allow you to pose questions to the complainants. Pardon me? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 31, Policy 3344-28-01, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Handing you, Dr. Pesta, what has been marked Exhibit 31, is this the research misconduct policy that you've referred to a couple of times today? MR. KELLY: If you know. Look it over first. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MS. GIFFEN: Let's go off the record. (Discussion off record.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. The second piece that I'm interested in is you said you believe that you had a that the investigative committee had a requirement to allow you to pose questions to the complainants. Pardon me? A. I know it's on the website. I |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 31, Policy 3344-28-01, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Handing you, Dr. Pesta, what has been marked Exhibit 31, is this the research misconduct policy that you've referred to a couple of times today? MR. KELLY: If you know. Look it over first. A. I don't know if these numbers come | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MS. GIFFEN: Let's go off the record. (Discussion off record.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. The second piece that I'm interested in is you said you believe that you had a that the investigative committee had a requirement to allow you to pose questions to the complainants. Pardon me? A. I know it's on the website. I mean, I took it right from the website, but I |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 31, Policy 3344-28-01, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Handing you, Dr. Pesta, what has been marked Exhibit 31, is this the research misconduct policy that you've referred to a couple of times today? MR. KELLY: If you know. Look it over first. A. I don't know if these numbers come from CSU or Ohio Administrative Law, but it | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | MS. GIFFEN: Let's go off the record. (Discussion off record.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. The second piece that I'm interested in is you said you believe that you had a that the investigative committee had a requirement to allow you to pose questions to the complainants. Pardon me? A. I know it's on the website. I mean, I took it right from the website, but I haven't looked at it in a while. My confusion |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 31, Policy 3344-28-01, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Handing you, Dr. Pesta, what has been marked Exhibit 31, is this the research misconduct policy that you've referred to a couple of times today? MR. KELLY: If you know. Look it over first. A. I don't know if these numbers come from CSU or Ohio Administrative Law, but it looks like the policy I got off of CSU's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | MS. GIFFEN: Let's go off the record. (Discussion off record.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. The second piece that I'm interested in is you said you believe that you had a that the investigative committee had a requirement to allow you to pose questions to the complainants. Pardon me? A. I know it's on the website. I mean, I took it right from the website, but I haven't looked at it in a while. My confusion is that I don't remember ever seeing you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 31, Policy 3344-28-01, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Handing you, Dr. Pesta, what has been marked Exhibit 31, is this the research misconduct policy that you've referred to a couple of times today? MR. KELLY: If you know. Look it over first. A. I don't know if these numbers come from CSU or Ohio Administrative Law, but it looks like the policy I got off of CSU's website. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | MS. GIFFEN: Let's go off the record. (Discussion off record.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. The second piece that I'm interested in is you said you believe that you had a that the investigative committee had a requirement to allow you to pose questions to the complainants. Pardon me? A. I know it's on the website. I mean, I took it right from the website, but I haven't looked at it in a while. My confusion is that I don't remember ever seeing you know, you said it's ORC or OAC or whatever, but |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 31, Policy 3344-28-01, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Handing you, Dr. Pesta, what has been marked Exhibit 31, is this the research misconduct policy that you've referred to a couple of times today? MR. KELLY: If you know. Look it over first. A. I don't know if these numbers come from CSU or Ohio Administrative Law, but it looks like the policy I got off of CSU's website. Q. Okay. I'm interested in two | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | MS. GIFFEN: Let's go off the record. (Discussion off record.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. The second piece that I'm interested in is you said you believe that you had a that the investigative committee had a requirement to allow you to pose questions to the complainants. Pardon me? A. I know it's on the website. I mean, I took it right from the website, but I haven't looked at it in a while. My confusion is that I don't remember ever seeing you know, you said it's ORC or OAC or whatever, but that's not on the website, so I just wanted to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 31, Policy 3344-28-01, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Handing you, Dr. Pesta, what has been marked Exhibit 31, is this the research misconduct policy that you've referred to a couple of times today? MR. KELLY: If you know. Look it over first. A. I don't know if these numbers come from CSU or Ohio Administrative Law, but it looks like the policy I got off of CSU's website. Q. Okay. I'm interested in two particular sections because you said at some | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | MS. GIFFEN: Let's go off the record. (Discussion off record.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. The second piece that I'm interested in is you said you believe that you had a that the investigative committee had a requirement to allow you to pose questions to the complainants. Pardon me? A. I know it's on the website. I mean, I took it right from the website, but I haven't looked at it in a while. My confusion is that I don't remember ever seeing you know, you said it's ORC or OAC or whatever, but that's not on the website, so I just wanted to make sure the document is the same. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 31, Policy 3344-28-01, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Handing you, Dr. Pesta, what has been marked Exhibit 31, is this the research misconduct policy that you've referred to a couple of times today? MR. KELLY: If you know. Look it over first. A. I don't know if these numbers come from CSU or Ohio Administrative Law, but it looks like the policy I got off of CSU's website. Q. Okay. I'm interested in two particular sections because you said at some point that in order to be found responsible for | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MS. GIFFEN: Let's go off the record. (Discussion off record.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. The second piece that I'm interested in is you said you believe that you had a that the investigative committee had a requirement to allow you to pose questions to the complainants. Pardon me? A. I know it's on the website. I mean, I took it right from the website, but I haven't looked at it in a while. My confusion is that I don't remember ever seeing you know, you said it's ORC or OAC or whatever, but that's not on the website, so I just wanted to make sure the document is the same. Q. If you go to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 31, Policy 3344-28-01, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Handing you, Dr. Pesta, what has been marked Exhibit 31, is this the research misconduct policy that you've referred to a couple of times today? MR. KELLY: If you know. Look it over first. A. I don't know if these numbers come from CSU or Ohio Administrative Law, but it looks like the policy I got off of CSU's website. Q. Okay. I'm interested in two particular sections because you said at some point that in order to be found responsible for research misconduct under the policy, you had | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MS. GIFFEN: Let's go off the record. (Discussion off record.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. The second piece that I'm interested in is you said you believe that you had a that the investigative committee had a requirement to allow you to pose questions to the complainants. Pardon me? A. I know it's on the website. I mean, I took it right from the website, but I haven't looked at it in a while. My confusion is that I don't remember ever seeing you know, you said it's ORC or OAC or whatever, but that's not on the website, so I just wanted to make sure the document is the same. Q. If you go to MR. KELLY: Six R. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 31, Policy 3344-28-01, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Handing you, Dr. Pesta, what has been marked Exhibit 31, is this the research misconduct policy that you've referred to a couple of times today? MR. KELLY: If you know. Look it over first. A. I don't know if these numbers come from CSU or Ohio Administrative Law, but it looks like the policy I got off of CSU's website. Q. Okay. I'm interested in two particular sections because you said at some point that in order to be found responsible for research misconduct under the policy, you had to be found to have knowingly, recklessly, or | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | MS. GIFFEN: Let's go off the record. (Discussion off record.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. The second piece that I'm interested in is you said you believe that you had a that the investigative committee had a requirement to allow you to pose questions to the complainants. Pardon me? A. I know it's on the website. I mean, I took it right from the website, but I haven't looked at it in a while. My confusion is that I don't remember ever seeing you know, you said it's ORC or OAC or whatever, but that's not on the website, so I just wanted to make sure the document is the same. Q. If you go to MR. KELLY: Six R. Q. Halfway down, Rule 3344-28-06. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 31, Policy 3344-28-01, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Handing you, Dr. Pesta, what has been marked Exhibit 31, is this the research misconduct policy that you've referred to a couple of times today? MR. KELLY: If you know. Look it over first. A. I don't know if these numbers come from CSU or Ohio Administrative Law, but it looks like the policy I got off of CSU's website. Q. Okay. I'm interested in two particular sections because you said at some point that in order to be found responsible for research misconduct under the policy, you had to be found to have knowingly, recklessly, or intentionally engaged in that misconduct, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MS. GIFFEN: Let's go off the record. (Discussion off record.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. The second piece that I'm interested in is you said you believe that you had a that the investigative committee had a requirement to allow you to pose questions to the complainants. Pardon me? A. I know it's on the website. I mean, I took it right from the website, but I haven't looked at it in a while. My confusion is that I don't remember ever seeing you know, you said it's ORC or OAC or whatever, but that's not on the website, so I just wanted to make sure the document is the same. Q. If you go to MR. KELLY: Six R. Q. Halfway down, Rule 3344-28-06. A. What page? I'm sorry. Oh, got it. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 31, Policy 3344-28-01, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Handing you, Dr. Pesta, what has been marked Exhibit 31, is this the research misconduct policy that you've referred to a couple of times today? MR. KELLY: If you know. Look it over first. A. I don't know if these numbers come from CSU or Ohio Administrative Law, but it looks like the policy I got off of CSU's website. Q. Okay. I'm interested in two particular sections because you said at some point that in order to be found responsible for research misconduct under the policy, you had to be found to have knowingly, recklessly, or intentionally engaged in that misconduct, right? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MS. GIFFEN: Let's go off the record. (Discussion off record.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. The second piece that I'm interested in is you said you believe that you had a that the investigative committee had a requirement to allow you to pose questions to the complainants. Pardon me? A. I know it's on the website. I mean, I took it right from the website, but I haven't looked at it in a while. My confusion is that I don't remember ever seeing you know, you said it's ORC or OAC or whatever, but that's not on the website, so I just wanted to make sure the document is the same. Q. If you go to MR. KELLY: Six R. Q. Halfway down, Rule 3344-28-06. A. What page? I'm sorry. Oh, got it. Do you have the page number? Yeah, I got it. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 31, Policy 3344-28-01, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Handing you, Dr. Pesta, what has been marked Exhibit 31, is this the research misconduct policy that you've referred to a couple of times today? MR. KELLY: If you know. Look it over first. A. I don't know if these numbers come from CSU or Ohio Administrative Law, but it looks like the policy I got off of CSU's website. Q. Okay. I'm interested in two particular sections because you said at some point that in order to be found responsible for research misconduct under the policy, you had to be found to have knowingly, recklessly, or intentionally engaged in that misconduct, right? A. Correct. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MS. GIFFEN: Let's go off the record. (Discussion off record.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. The second piece that I'm interested in is you said you believe that you had a that the investigative committee had a requirement to allow you to pose questions to the complainants. Pardon me? A. I know it's on the website. I mean, I took it right from the website, but I haven't looked at it in a while. My confusion is that I don't remember ever seeing you know, you said it's ORC or OAC or whatever, but that's not on the website, so I just wanted to make sure the document is the same. Q. If you go to MR. KELLY: Six R. Q. Halfway down, Rule 3344-28-06. A. What page? I'm sorry. Oh, got it. Do you have the page number? Yeah, I got it. Q. Okay. And if you could, this |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 31, Policy 3344-28-01, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Handing you, Dr. Pesta, what has been marked Exhibit 31, is this the research misconduct policy that you've referred to a couple of times today? MR. KELLY: If you know. Look it over first. A. I don't know if these numbers come from CSU or Ohio Administrative Law, but it looks like the policy I got off of CSU's website. Q. Okay. I'm interested in two particular sections because you said at some point that in order to be found responsible for research misconduct under the policy, you had to be found to have knowingly, recklessly, or intentionally engaged in that misconduct, right? A. Correct. Q. Where is that in the policy? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MS. GIFFEN: Let's go off the record. (Discussion off record.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. The second piece that I'm interested in is you said you believe that you had a that the investigative committee had a requirement to allow you to pose questions to the complainants. Pardon me? A. I know it's on the website. I mean, I took it right from the website, but I haven't looked at it in a while. My confusion is that I don't remember ever seeing you know, you said it's ORC or OAC or whatever, but that's not on the website, so I just wanted to make sure the document is the same. Q. If you go to MR. KELLY: Six R. Q. Halfway down, Rule 3344-28-06. A. What page? I'm sorry. Oh, got it. Do you have the page number? Yeah, I got it. Q. Okay. And if you could, this section, as far as I understand, is about |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 31, Policy 3344-28-01, was marked for purposes of identification.) Q. Handing you, Dr. Pesta, what has been marked Exhibit 31, is this the research misconduct policy that you've referred to a couple of times today? MR. KELLY: If you know. Look it over first. A. I don't know if these numbers come from CSU or Ohio Administrative Law, but it looks like the policy I got off of CSU's website. Q. Okay. I'm interested in two particular sections because you said at some point that in order to be found responsible for research misconduct under the policy, you had to be found to have knowingly, recklessly, or intentionally engaged in that misconduct, right? A. Correct. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MS. GIFFEN: Let's go off the record. (Discussion off record.) MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. Q. The second piece that I'm interested in is you said you believe that you had a that the investigative committee had a requirement to allow you to pose questions to the complainants. Pardon me? A. I know it's on the website. I mean, I took it right from the website, but I haven't looked at it in a while. My confusion is that I don't remember ever seeing you know, you said it's ORC or OAC or whatever, but that's not on the website, so I just wanted to make sure the document is the same. Q. If you go to MR. KELLY: Six R. Q. Halfway down, Rule 3344-28-06. A. What page? I'm sorry. Oh, got it. Do you have the page number? Yeah, I got it. Q. Okay. And if you could, this |

54 (Pages 210 - 213)

| | Page 214 | | Page 216 |
|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | Q. And I'm wondering if this is the | 1 | Q. Well, we'd have to read through all |
| 2 | section where you saw a right to cross-examine | 2 | of the rebuttals. |
| 3 | the witnesses. | 3 | A. Fair enough. |
| 4 | MR. KELLY: Read through it | 4 | |
| 5 | carefully. I don't want to put words in, | 5 | (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 32, |
| 6 | either, but it's | 6 | Plaintiff's Response to Defendants' |
| 7 | MS. GIFFEN: Pardon? | 7 | First Requests for Documents, was |
| 8 | MR. KELLY: The provision I believe | 8 | marked for purposes of |
| 9 | Dr. Pesta is referring to is in here twice at | 9 | identification.) |
| 10 | 3(K) and I'm sorry not 3(K), at 3(I) and | 10 | |
| 11 | at 6(K). | 11 | Q. Handing you what's been marked as |
| 12 | MS. GIFFEN: $3(K)$ and $6(K)$, okay. | 12 | Exhibit 32, Dr. Pesta, and can you confirm that |
| 13 | MR. KELLY: 3(I). | 13 | this is your responses to the requests for |
| 14 | MS. GIFFEN: So if we read 3(I), | 14 | documents that we made to you in this case? |
| 15 | you think it's in here somewhere? | 15 | A. Yes, it is. Yes. |
| 16 | MR. KELLY: It's in here twice. | 16 | MR. KELLY: Thirty-two? |
| 17 | A. Can we manually number the pages? | 17 | THE WITNESS: Thirty-two. |
| 18 | Would that help? I don't find it. | 18 | Q. I'm specifically referring to |
| 19 | Q. All right. Well, we'll leave it. | 19 | Request No. 2 that asks for any websites and/or |
| 20 | MR. KELLY: One place you will find | 20 | social media accounts concerned or relating to |
| 21 | it is $6(K)$. | 21 | Global Ancestry, and after an objection was |
| 22 | MS. GIFFEN: Yeah, that's about | 22 | interposed, you made reference to a dormant |
| 23 | inquiry, though. It's not the investigation. | 23 | funding campaign regarding your termination. |
| 24 | MR. KELLY: I disagree. That's | 24 | What is that? |
| 25 | about investigation. | 25 | A. That's the HPDF oh, wait a |
| - | | | |
| | | | |
| 1 | Page 215 | 1 | Page 217 |
| 1 | MS. GIFFEN: Pardon me? | 1 | minute. |
| 2 | MS. GIFFEN: Pardon me? MR. KELLY: Five is about inquiry. | 2 | minute. Q. That is not? |
| 2 3 | MS. GIFFEN: Pardon me? MR. KELLY: Five is about inquiry. Six is about the investigation. | 2 3 | minute. Q. That is not? A. No, it is not. I'm sorry. |
| 2 3 4 | MS. GIFFEN: Pardon me? MR. KELLY: Five is about inquiry. Six is about the investigation. MS. GIFFEN: That's what I'm | 2 3 4 | minute. Q. That is not? A. No, it is not. I'm sorry. Q. Okay. |
| 2 3 4 5 | MS. GIFFEN: Pardon me? MR. KELLY: Five is about inquiry. Six is about the investigation. MS. GIFFEN: That's what I'm saying. Oh, you think it's in 6(K)? | 2 3 4 5 | minute. Q. That is not? A. No, it is not. I'm sorry. Q. Okay. A. So it's a charity for me to help |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | MS. GIFFEN: Pardon me? MR. KELLY: Five is about inquiry. Six is about the investigation. MS. GIFFEN: That's what I'm saying. Oh, you think it's in 6(K)? MR. KELLY: Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 | minute. Q. That is not? A. No, it is not. I'm sorry. Q. Okay. A. So it's a charity for me to help pay legal bills. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | MS. GIFFEN: Pardon me? MR. KELLY: Five is about inquiry. Six is about the investigation. MS. GIFFEN: That's what I'm saying. Oh, you think it's in 6(K)? MR. KELLY: Yes. MS. GIFFEN: 6(K) is comments on | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | minute. Q. That is not? A. No, it is not. I'm sorry. Q. Okay. A. So it's a charity for me to help pay legal bills. Q. In common parlance can we call it a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | MS. GIFFEN: Pardon me? MR. KELLY: Five is about inquiry. Six is about the investigation. MS. GIFFEN: That's what I'm saying. Oh, you think it's in 6(K)? MR. KELLY: Yes. MS. GIFFEN: 6(K) is comments on the draft investigation report. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | minute. Q. That is not? A. No, it is not. I'm sorry. Q. Okay. A. So it's a charity for me to help pay legal bills. Q. In common parlance can we call it a GoFundMe site? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MS. GIFFEN: Pardon me? MR. KELLY: Five is about inquiry. Six is about the investigation. MS. GIFFEN: That's what I'm saying. Oh, you think it's in 6(K)? MR. KELLY: Yes. MS. GIFFEN: 6(K) is comments on the draft investigation report. MR. KELLY: Hold on. We'll go over | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | minute. Q. That is not? A. No, it is not. I'm sorry. Q. Okay. A. So it's a charity for me to help pay legal bills. Q. In common parlance can we call it a GoFundMe site? A. We can, but it's GiveSendGo if it |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MS. GIFFEN: Pardon me? MR. KELLY: Five is about inquiry. Six is about the investigation. MS. GIFFEN: That's what I'm saying. Oh, you think it's in 6(K)? MR. KELLY: Yes. MS. GIFFEN: 6(K) is comments on the draft investigation report. MR. KELLY: Hold on. We'll go over this tomorrow. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | minute. Q. That is not? A. No, it is not. I'm sorry. Q. Okay. A. So it's a charity for me to help pay legal bills. Q. In common parlance can we call it a GoFundMe site? A. We can, but it's GiveSendGo if it really matters. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | MS. GIFFEN: Pardon me? MR. KELLY: Five is about inquiry. Six is about the investigation. MS. GIFFEN: That's what I'm saying. Oh, you think it's in 6(K)? MR. KELLY: Yes. MS. GIFFEN: 6(K) is comments on the draft investigation report. MR. KELLY: Hold on. We'll go over this tomorrow. MS. GIFFEN: All right. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | minute. Q. That is not? A. No, it is not. I'm sorry. Q. Okay. A. So it's a charity for me to help pay legal bills. Q. In common parlance can we call it a GoFundMe site? A. We can, but it's GiveSendGo if it really matters. Q. I realize that I'm using probably a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | MS. GIFFEN: Pardon me? MR. KELLY: Five is about inquiry. Six is about the investigation. MS. GIFFEN: That's what I'm saying. Oh, you think it's in 6(K)? MR. KELLY: Yes. MS. GIFFEN: 6(K) is comments on the draft investigation report. MR. KELLY: Hold on. We'll go over this tomorrow. MS. GIFFEN: All right. MR. KELLY: I must say, Ms. Giffen, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | minute. Q. That is not? A. No, it is not. I'm sorry. Q. Okay. A. So it's a charity for me to help pay legal bills. Q. In common parlance can we call it a GoFundMe site? A. We can, but it's GiveSendGo if it really matters. Q. I realize that I'm using probably a trademark reference to a different site. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | MS. GIFFEN: Pardon me? MR. KELLY: Five is about inquiry. Six is about the investigation. MS. GIFFEN: That's what I'm saying. Oh, you think it's in 6(K)? MR. KELLY: Yes. MS. GIFFEN: 6(K) is comments on the draft investigation report. MR. KELLY: Hold on. We'll go over this tomorrow. MS. GIFFEN: All right. MR. KELLY: I must say, Ms. Giffen, this is not I take your representation for | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | minute. Q. That is not? A. No, it is not. I'm sorry. Q. Okay. A. So it's a charity for me to help pay legal bills. Q. In common parlance can we call it a GoFundMe site? A. We can, but it's GiveSendGo if it really matters. Q. I realize that I'm using probably a trademark reference to a different site. A. Okay. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | MS. GIFFEN: Pardon me? MR. KELLY: Five is about inquiry. Six is about the investigation. MS. GIFFEN: That's what I'm saying. Oh, you think it's in 6(K)? MR. KELLY: Yes. MS. GIFFEN: 6(K) is comments on the draft investigation report. MR. KELLY: Hold on. We'll go over this tomorrow. MS. GIFFEN: All right. MR. KELLY: I must say, Ms. Giffen, this is not I take your representation for what it is, but it looks different from what we | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | minute. Q. That is not? A. No, it is not. I'm sorry. Q. Okay. A. So it's a charity for me to help pay legal bills. Q. In common parlance can we call it a GoFundMe site? A. We can, but it's GiveSendGo if it really matters. Q. I realize that I'm using probably a trademark reference to a different site. A. Okay. Q. And what is the publication of on |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | MS. GIFFEN: Pardon me? MR. KELLY: Five is about inquiry. Six is about the investigation. MS. GIFFEN: That's what I'm saying. Oh, you think it's in 6(K)? MR. KELLY: Yes. MS. GIFFEN: 6(K) is comments on the draft investigation report. MR. KELLY: Hold on. We'll go over this tomorrow. MS. GIFFEN: All right. MR. KELLY: I must say, Ms. Giffen, this is not I take your representation for what it is, but it looks different from what we have. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | minute. Q. That is not? A. No, it is not. I'm sorry. Q. Okay. A. So it's a charity for me to help pay legal bills. Q. In common parlance can we call it a GoFundMe site? A. We can, but it's GiveSendGo if it really matters. Q. I realize that I'm using probably a trademark reference to a different site. A. Okay. Q. And what is the publication of on undark.org? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | MS. GIFFEN: Pardon me? MR. KELLY: Five is about inquiry. Six is about the investigation. MS. GIFFEN: That's what I'm saying. Oh, you think it's in 6(K)? MR. KELLY: Yes. MS. GIFFEN: 6(K) is comments on the draft investigation report. MR. KELLY: Hold on. We'll go over this tomorrow. MS. GIFFEN: All right. MR. KELLY: I must say, Ms. Giffen, this is not I take your representation for what it is, but it looks different from what we have. THE WITNESS: It does. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | minute. Q. That is not? A. No, it is not. I'm sorry. Q. Okay. A. So it's a charity for me to help pay legal bills. Q. In common parlance can we call it a GoFundMe site? A. We can, but it's GiveSendGo if it really matters. Q. I realize that I'm using probably a trademark reference to a different site. A. Okay. Q. And what is the publication of on undark.org? A. So they contacted me, the author, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MS. GIFFEN: Pardon me? MR. KELLY: Five is about inquiry. Six is about the investigation. MS. GIFFEN: That's what I'm saying. Oh, you think it's in 6(K)? MR. KELLY: Yes. MS. GIFFEN: 6(K) is comments on the draft investigation report. MR. KELLY: Hold on. We'll go over this tomorrow. MS. GIFFEN: All right. MR. KELLY: I must say, Ms. Giffen, this is not I take your representation for what it is, but it looks different from what we have. THE WITNESS: It does. MR. KELLY: From what we pulled off | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | minute. Q. That is not? A. No, it is not. I'm sorry. Q. Okay. A. So it's a charity for me to help pay legal bills. Q. In common parlance can we call it a GoFundMe site? A. We can, but it's GiveSendGo if it really matters. Q. I realize that I'm using probably a trademark reference to a different site. A. Okay. Q. And what is the publication of on undark.org? A. So they contacted me, the author, Angela, I have no idea, it's something like |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MS. GIFFEN: Pardon me? MR. KELLY: Five is about inquiry. Six is about the investigation. MS. GIFFEN: That's what I'm saying. Oh, you think it's in 6(K)? MR. KELLY: Yes. MS. GIFFEN: 6(K) is comments on the draft investigation report. MR. KELLY: Hold on. We'll go over this tomorrow. MS. GIFFEN: All right. MR. KELLY: I must say, Ms. Giffen, this is not I take your representation for what it is, but it looks different from what we have. THE WITNESS: It does. MR. KELLY: From what we pulled off the website. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | minute. Q. That is not? A. No, it is not. I'm sorry. Q. Okay. A. So it's a charity for me to help pay legal bills. Q. In common parlance can we call it a GoFundMe site? A. We can, but it's GiveSendGo if it really matters. Q. I realize that I'm using probably a trademark reference to a different site. A. Okay. Q. And what is the publication of on undark.org? A. So they contacted me, the author, Angela, I have no idea, it's something like S-I-I-N-I-A or something, asked if I would |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | MS. GIFFEN: Pardon me? MR. KELLY: Five is about inquiry. Six is about the investigation. MS. GIFFEN: That's what I'm saying. Oh, you think it's in 6(K)? MR. KELLY: Yes. MS. GIFFEN: 6(K) is comments on the draft investigation report. MR. KELLY: Hold on. We'll go over this tomorrow. MS. GIFFEN: All right. MR. KELLY: I must say, Ms. Giffen, this is not I take your representation for what it is, but it looks different from what we have. THE WITNESS: It does. MR. KELLY: From what we pulled off the website. MS. GIFFEN: The CSU website? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | minute. Q. That is not? A. No, it is not. I'm sorry. Q. Okay. A. So it's a charity for me to help pay legal bills. Q. In common parlance can we call it a GoFundMe site? A. We can, but it's GiveSendGo if it really matters. Q. I realize that I'm using probably a trademark reference to a different site. A. Okay. Q. And what is the publication of on undark.org? A. So they contacted me, the author, Angela, I have no idea, it's something like S-I-I-N-I-A or something, asked if I would answer some questions, and so I did. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MS. GIFFEN: Pardon me? MR. KELLY: Five is about inquiry. Six is about the investigation. MS. GIFFEN: That's what I'm saying. Oh, you think it's in 6(K)? MR. KELLY: Yes. MS. GIFFEN: 6(K) is comments on the draft investigation report. MR. KELLY: Hold on. We'll go over this tomorrow. MS. GIFFEN: All right. MR. KELLY: I must say, Ms. Giffen, this is not I take your representation for what it is, but it looks different from what we have. THE WITNESS: It does. MR. KELLY: From what we pulled off the website. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | minute. Q. That is not? A. No, it is not. I'm sorry. Q. Okay. A. So it's a charity for me to help pay legal bills. Q. In common parlance can we call it a GoFundMe site? A. We can, but it's GiveSendGo if it really matters. Q. I realize that I'm using probably a trademark reference to a different site. A. Okay. Q. And what is the publication of on undark.org? A. So they contacted me, the author, Angela, I have no idea, it's something like S-I-I-N-I-A or something, asked if I would answer some questions, and so I did. Q. Are you sure that that's the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MS. GIFFEN: Pardon me? MR. KELLY: Five is about inquiry. Six is about the investigation. MS. GIFFEN: That's what I'm saying. Oh, you think it's in 6(K)? MR. KELLY: Yes. MS. GIFFEN: 6(K) is comments on the draft investigation report. MR. KELLY: Hold on. We'll go over this tomorrow. MS. GIFFEN: All right. MR. KELLY: I must say, Ms. Giffen, this is not I take your representation for what it is, but it looks different from what we have. THE WITNESS: It does. MR. KELLY: From what we pulled off the website. MS. GIFFEN: The CSU website? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | minute. Q. That is not? A. No, it is not. I'm sorry. Q. Okay. A. So it's a charity for me to help pay legal bills. Q. In common parlance can we call it a GoFundMe site? A. We can, but it's GiveSendGo if it really matters. Q. I realize that I'm using probably a trademark reference to a different site. A. Okay. Q. And what is the publication of on undark.org? A. So they contacted me, the author, Angela, I have no idea, it's something like S-I-I-N-I-A or something, asked if I would answer some questions, and so I did. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MS. GIFFEN: Pardon me? MR. KELLY: Five is about inquiry. Six is about the investigation. MS. GIFFEN: That's what I'm saying. Oh, you think it's in 6(K)? MR. KELLY: Yes. MS. GIFFEN: 6(K) is comments on the draft investigation report. MR. KELLY: Hold on. We'll go over this tomorrow. MS. GIFFEN: All right. MR. KELLY: I must say, Ms. Giffen, this is not I take your representation for what it is, but it looks different from what we have. THE WITNESS: It does. MR. KELLY: From what we pulled off the website. MS. GIFFEN: The CSU website? MR. KELLY: Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | minute. Q. That is not? A. No, it is not. I'm sorry. Q. Okay. A. So it's a charity for me to help pay legal bills. Q. In common parlance can we call it a GoFundMe site? A. We can, but it's GiveSendGo if it really matters. Q. I realize that I'm using probably a trademark reference to a different site. A. Okay. Q. And what is the publication of on undark.org? A. So they contacted me, the author, Angela, I have no idea, it's something like S-I-I-N-I-A or something, asked if I would answer some questions, and so I did. Q. Are you sure that that's the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MS. GIFFEN: Pardon me? MR. KELLY: Five is about inquiry. Six is about the investigation. MS. GIFFEN: That's what I'm saying. Oh, you think it's in 6(K)? MR. KELLY: Yes. MS. GIFFEN: 6(K) is comments on the draft investigation report. MR. KELLY: Hold on. We'll go over this tomorrow. MS. GIFFEN: All right. MR. KELLY: I must say, Ms. Giffen, this is not I take your representation for what it is, but it looks different from what we have. THE WITNESS: It does. MR. KELLY: From what we pulled off the website. MS. GIFFEN: The CSU website? MR. KELLY: Yes. MS. GIFFEN: Okay. We'll get it | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | minute. Q. That is not? A. No, it is not. I'm sorry. Q. Okay. A. So it's a charity for me to help pay legal bills. Q. In common parlance can we call it a GoFundMe site? A. We can, but it's GiveSendGo if it really matters. Q. I realize that I'm using probably a trademark reference to a different site. A. Okay. Q. And what is the publication of on undark.org? A. So they contacted me, the author, Angela, I have no idea, it's something like S-I-I-N-I-A or something, asked if I would answer some questions, and so I did. Q. Are you sure that that's the correct URL for the site because it would not |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MS. GIFFEN: Pardon me? MR. KELLY: Five is about inquiry. Six is about the investigation. MS. GIFFEN: That's what I'm saying. Oh, you think it's in 6(K)? MR. KELLY: Yes. MS. GIFFEN: 6(K) is comments on the draft investigation report. MR. KELLY: Hold on. We'll go over this tomorrow. MS. GIFFEN: All right. MR. KELLY: I must say, Ms. Giffen, this is not I take your representation for what it is, but it looks different from what we have. THE WITNESS: It does. MR. KELLY: From what we pulled off the website. MS. GIFFEN: The CSU website? MR. KELLY: Yes. MS. GIFFEN: Okay. We'll get it straightened out. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | minute. Q. That is not? A. No, it is not. I'm sorry. Q. Okay. A. So it's a charity for me to help pay legal bills. Q. In common parlance can we call it a GoFundMe site? A. We can, but it's GiveSendGo if it really matters. Q. I realize that I'm using probably a trademark reference to a different site. A. Okay. Q. And what is the publication of on undark.org? A. So they contacted me, the author, Angela, I have no idea, it's something like S-I-I-N-I-A or something, asked if I would answer some questions, and so I did. Q. Are you sure that that's the correct URL for the site because it would not come up when I tried it. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MS. GIFFEN: Pardon me? MR. KELLY: Five is about inquiry. Six is about the investigation. MS. GIFFEN: That's what I'm saying. Oh, you think it's in 6(K)? MR. KELLY: Yes. MS. GIFFEN: 6(K) is comments on the draft investigation report. MR. KELLY: Hold on. We'll go over this tomorrow. MS. GIFFEN: All right. MR. KELLY: I must say, Ms. Giffen, this is not I take your representation for what it is, but it looks different from what we have. THE WITNESS: It does. MR. KELLY: From what we pulled off the website. MS. GIFFEN: The CSU website? MR. KELLY: Yes. MS. GIFFEN: Okay. We'll get it straightened out. A. If it helps, I'm sure I cite the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | minute. Q. That is not? A. No, it is not. I'm sorry. Q. Okay. A. So it's a charity for me to help pay legal bills. Q. In common parlance can we call it a GoFundMe site? A. We can, but it's GiveSendGo if it really matters. Q. I realize that I'm using probably a trademark reference to a different site. A. Okay. Q. And what is the publication of on undark.org? A. So they contacted me, the author, Angela, I have no idea, it's something like S-I-I-N-I-A or something, asked if I would answer some questions, and so I did. Q. Are you sure that that's the correct URL for the site because it would not come up when I tried it. A. I could double-check at home. |

Page 218

- 1 into -- it's a long article. I'm somewhere in
- 2 the middle somewhere. But you haven't seen it,
- the article, at all? 3

4

- Q. No, I haven't at all.
- 5 A. If I don't write it down, I'm going 6 to forget.
- Q. Also, did you have -- was there an 7 article published in Quillette? 8
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. What is Quillette? 10
- A. It's a magazine, an on-line 11
- 12 magazine in Australia. That's it. They
- 13 publish stories.
- 14 Q. All right. I'm asking specifically
- 15 about the undark one because your counsel
- 16 provided us a couple of other references
- including the Quillette article. 17
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 So that's the one we don't have.
- 20 And you said that what I'll call the GoFundMe
- page is dormant. What do you mean? 21
- 22 A. Well, I mean, initially I got, you
- 23 know, people to donate, but that was what, a
- 24 year, almost two years ago. So it just dried
- 25 up, and I just decided to close it or make it

Page 219

1 dormant.

- 2 Q. Tell me about what were your
- 3 communications with Ken Kahn about the
- 4 investigation and the NIH request for
- information, anything having to do with the
- subject matter of this lawsuit?
- 7 A. I thought we uploaded one email
- 8 that I have because that was more -- it was on
- 9 Zoom, the actual meeting. Other than that, I
- 10 mean, I don't remember. I'd have to refresh my
- 11 memory on that email.
- 12 Q. Okay. But so let's first go back
- 13 in time, that in June of 2021 after the NIH
- 14 letter of May 27th finding the violation, you
- 15 communicated with Ken Kahn about the subject 15
- 16 matter of the investigation, right?
- A. Yes. 17
- Q. Did you meet with him in person, or 18
- 19 it was on Zoom?
- 20 A. It was Zoom.
- 21 Q. Do you remember anything about the
- 22 substance of that conversation with Ken Kahn?
- 23 A. I remember the email. This might
- 24 not be the one you're talking about.
 - Q. I'm not actually referring to an

1 email right now.

- 2 A. Oh.
- 3 Q. Whatever your conversation or
- communication was with him.
- 5 A. No. On that date, I mean, I know I
- 6 had a Zoom meeting. I don't remember the 7 context.
- 8 Q. Do you remember anything about what
- he told you or asked you about in connection
- 10 with the investigation?
 - A. If I could see the email that set
- up the meeting, the topic would be there. 12
- 13 Q. Well, I have it somewhere in this 14 mass of paper.
- A. Yeah, I'm just blocking on that 15
- 16 right now. Oh, it had to do with destroying
- the data, I believe. Yes, I'm pretty sure it
- did, yeah.

11

- 19 Q. That's one of the things that he
- 20 requested that you do because the NIH said in
- its May 27th letter that it had to be done, 21
- 22 right?
- 23 A. Correct.
 - And what did you tell him at that O.
- 25 time?

24

1

Page 221

Page 220

- A. Well, I think we were working on
- 2 it. I don't remember.
- 3 Q. Did you have any other
- 4 communications with Ken Kahn about the subject
- 5 matter of the lawsuit?
- A. Yeah. Early on, maybe even before
- 7 the investigation formally started, he sent me
- 8 an email saying that this student complained
- and I think even made a FOIA request and
- 10 demanded to look at my grades and whether I
- treat African-Americans poorly in grading.
- 12 O. Okay. And what did he say about
- 13 that?
- 14 A. He said that the central administration was going to investigate that.
- 16 Q. Do you know what happened with that 17 investigation?
- 18 A. I never got any feedback after that
- 19 I don't think.
- 20 O. And no action was taken with
- 21 respect to that complaint as far as you know?
- 22 A. Well, I think it's a part of this,
- 23 too, but it's not in any of the documents we
- 24 reviewed today.
 - Q. It's a part of it how?

25

Page 222

1 A. My understanding and memory is the 2 motivation of whoever wrote that letter was based on the Lasker publication.

- 4 Q. I guess my question is, did any
- 5 part of the investigation that we've been
- spending the last however many hours talking
- about incorporate any questions to you or
- concerns about your teaching, what you were
- teaching in your classes?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Isn't it true that you never taught
- 12 in the classroom, you did not base any of your
- 13 curriculum on the publications listed in your
- 14 CV that relate to the subject matter of the
- 15 lawsuit?
- 16 MR. KELLY: Object to the form of
- 17 the question. That's ambiguous. You can
- answer it if you can.
- 19 A. I don't really understand it.
- 20 Q. Let me ask this, have you
- 21 introduced to your students this Lasker paper?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. We went through a list of --
- 24 A. Forty-five.
- 25 -- of 45 of your publications, and

1 about?

2 A. It's just Intro to HR either at the

Page 224

- undergrad or grad level, human resource 4 management.
- 5 Q. What does your ten-minute lecture 6 consist of if the students are interested?
- A. Yeah, I give the ten-minute lecture 7 8 whether they are interested or not. If there are more questions --
- 10 Q. I see. It could get longer than 11 ten minutes?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. I see. Tell us what the ten
- 14 minutes consist of.
- A. I give a general overview on the 15
- 16 hierarchy of cognitive abilities. There is a 17 hierarchy with cognitive abilities with g. Are
- 18 you familiar with the concept, with g, lower
- 19 case g, at the top, and that it's the general
- 20 mental ability part of this hierarchy that
- 21 really predicts, nothing else does, like level
- 22 2 and level 3 abilities.
- 23 Q. It's what you were referring to
- 24 earlier, that that score -- or maybe this is
- 25 different. I was going to say that that score

Page 223

- 1 I have -- I no longer remember what the count 2 was.
- 3 A. Neither do I.
- 4 Q. About those papers that dealt with
- 5 your research involving race or other
- population subgroups and intelligence, have you
- used those papers in any of your teaching?
- 8 A. I do talk about disparate impact in
- 9 hiring situations and focus on race for
- 10 cognitive ability tests, physical tests for
- 11 sex, but I don't think I specifically
- 12 referenced a paper of mine.
- 13 Q. Do you discuss with them the
- 14 controversial nature of your research?
- 15 A. I am -- I give like a ten-minute 16 lecture on IQ, and it depends on student
- 17 interest in that particular class. If they ask
- 18 questions, it goes longer. But, yeah, I don't
- 19 get into my research.
- 20 Q. Okay. It's interesting that you
- 21 said a ten-minute lecture, so you know what the 21
- 22 ten minutes must be.
- 23 A. I've taught class like over 100
- 24 times.
- 25 What is the class we're talking Q.

Page 225 1 strongly correlates with success or well-being. 2 I think well-being is what you said.

- 3 A. Oh, yes. So I get into some of the
- correlations, and then I explain when you use
- cognitive ability -- it's like a two-sentence part. When you use cognitive ability tests,
- you will create adverse impact against
- 8 minorities.
- 9 Q. Do you use in any other way in that 10 lecture whether genetics form the basis for the 11 differences in intellectual ability?
- A. So my philosophy is this is the 13 most applied degree there is, the MBA.
 - Q. The most what?
- 15 A. The applied degree. Students don't 16 want to get into Lasker. I don't usually get 17 that technical in an Intro to HR class.
- Q. Uh-huh. Okay. Have you ever done 18 any coursework in genetics? 19
- 20 A. No. Maybe as an undergrad. I don't know.
- 22 Q. Do you consider yourself an expert 23 in genetics?
- 24 A. You know, I think expert is not all 25 or nothing. You know, you can rank people on

12

Page 226 Page 228 1 their expertise. I think moderately, but no. 1 Q. Okay. All right. Dr. Pesta, is 2 Q. Okay. Would you consider yourself 2 this letter familiar to you because it was 3 an expert in analysis of intelligence and 3 produced during the investigation or because testing for intelligence? 4 Ken Kahn or Forrest Faison gave it to you in 5 A. That's kind of general. I mean, at 5 June of -what part of it? 6 6 A. I think it was in the binder or 7 Q. I know. I'm trying to figure it 7 this final report, Exhibit 5. That's my 8 out. 8 memory. 9 A. Yeah. Can you repeat? I'm sorry. 9 Q. All right. So you don't remember Q. Maybe this is the way I'll ask the 10 10 actually getting it at the time in June? 11 question. What did you contribute to the A. No, I don't remember. 11 12 Lasker paper? 12 Q. Okay. 13 A. What did I contribute? 13 14 Q. What was your particular area of 14 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 34, a 15 expertise? 15 Form 990EZ, was marked for purposes 16 A. I did some of the statistical 16 of identification.) 17 analyses, a lot of the write-up. It turns out 17 18 my coauthors aren't very good writers. So, 18 Q. I'm handing you what's been marked 19 yeah, and just the strategic planning on the as Exhibit 34. Do you recognize this document? 19 20 article itself, you know, you break it up into 20 A. Yes. 21 parts. 21 Q. And this is an income -- actually, 22 Q. Did you retain any of the drafts of 22 it's an exemption from income tax form for the 23 the paper? 23 Human Phenome Diversity Foundation, correct? 24 A. I don't think so. I mean, I could 24 A. Correct. 25 check. 25 Q. Did you file this? And let me tell Page 227 Page 229 1 MS. GIFFEN: Okay. We are about to 1 you that this was printed off oddly. It should go to a different subject, and I need about 2 go the other way, but it contains, as far as we 3 five minutes to get my act together again. 3 can tell, all of the right information. Did 4 (Brief recess.) 4 you file this on behalf of HPDF? 5 MS. GIFFEN: Back on the record. 5 A. I did. 6 Q. Dr. Pesta, we were talking a little Q. Tell me about when was HP --6 bit ago about communications that you had with 7 A. I didn't make the name up. 8 Ken Kahn. 8 Q. Who did by the way? 9 9 A. John. 10 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 33, a 10 Q. When was it formed? Who was it Letter for Dr. Pesta from Dr. Faison 11 11 formed by? What was its purpose? 12 and Dr. Kahn, was marked for 12 A. So the when, I'm guessing probably 13 purposes of identification.) 13 2018 or early 2019. What was its purpose? Oh, 14 14 who? You asked who? Me and John. What was 15 Q. We're handing you what's been 15 its purpose? Two purposes; one was to support 16 marked as Exhibit 33. Did you ever receive 16 researchers who want to research this area, and 17 this communication from Ken Kahn? 17 the other was to help John further his 18 A. I'm refreshing my memory here. 18 education. 19 This was from Kahn? 19 Q. Are you allowed to have a 501(c) 20 O. Yeah. He's one of the authors. I 20 that seeks to help a specific individual with 21 didn't want -- because I asked you that 21 their educational expenses? 22 question and we didn't talk about it, I didn't 22 A. All I can say is the IRS approved 23 know if you got it from Ken Kahn or not. 23 it. They gave us the tax exempt status. 24 A. I don't know who I got it from, but 24 Q. Do you have the articles of 25 this is familiar to me. 25 incorporation of HP --

Page 230 Page 232 1 A. DF. 1 said I want to support your research? 2 O. -- DF? 2 A. Research in this area. A. I don't think so, but I could check 3 Q. And it's always advantageous to do 3 that through a 501(c)(3) because then it's tax 4 my computer. 5 Q. We'd appreciate if you would do 5 deductible to the donor, right? 6 that. And also if there is a code of 6 A. Correct. 7 regulations or any registrations with the 7 Q. And the second purpose was to help 8 John further his education. What did that Secretary of State's Office with respect to 9 HPDF -- I got it right that time. mean? 10 A. I don't think he used this fund for 10 A. Yes. his tuition, but that could be an example. 11 Q. Do you have any of those? 11 12 Q. And the tuition you're referring to 12 A. I'd have to check. 13 Q. Okay. If you would, please, we'd 13 is the tuition that he had to pay to Cleveland State for the one credit hour? 14 appreciate it. A. You know, if I don't 15 15 A. I think he did it at least twice, 16 write -- because there was another thing that 16 two semesters. you wanted me to produce, and I don't remember 17 (Discussion off record.) Q. All right. So it could have been 18 what it was. 18 used to pay -- and that would have been for one 19 19 Q. Afterwards we'll send an email 20 because we've described a couple of things, and 20 credit hour, right? 21 21 we'll correspond with your counsel. A. Correct. 22 A. Thank you. 22 O. So it could have been used for that 23 Q. Okay. Now, so the two purposes 23 purpose, but you're unsure? 24 A. Correct. 24 that you described to me was to support 25 25 specific research. Who keeps the books of HPDF? Page 231 Page 233 1 I'm waffling on the word specific. A. KeyBank. Not officially, but we 1 2 Okay. You describe it again. 2 just log into KeyBank, and every dollar we Q. 3 A. Research related to intelligence spent was through the HPDF account at KeyBank, and group differences. 4 so you can just track the history. Q. Now I hear what you're saying. It 5 5 O. Are you the principal party wasn't to fund a specific research paper. It responsible for the account at Key? 6 7 was to fund research in that area; is that 7 A. Yeah. I'm listed first, and John 8 right? 8 is second. 9 A. Correct. 9 Q. Okay. Okay. As I understand it, 10 Q. Why did you think that was 10 the HPDF funds were used to acquire that 11 necessary? computer that we were talking about earlier? 12 A. Because it's -- I don't know. I 12 A. Correct. 13 mean, first, it's very controversial research, 13 Q. The desktop that housed --14 and there was a demand for donations. So 14 A. The Newegg desktop. 15 business professionally, we capitalized on it. 15 Q. Yeah, the Newegg desktop. And I 16 Q. There was a demand for donations 16 also understand that HPDF funds were used for 17 from whom? 17 Uber rides and pizza; is that right? 18 A. Private people. 18 A. Food and Uber rides, correct. Q. I'm not understanding what you're 19 19 Q. Other than maybe John's tuition, saying. People said, oh, get a 501(c)(3), and 20 the computer, the Uber, and the pizza or other 21 then I can give you money? 21 food, what were HPDF funds expended for? 22 A. I don't know if they said get a 22 A. Grant money to -- we didn't 23 501(c)(3), but people wanted to give money for 23 formalize the process, but researchers would

apply for grants, and we'd review them and give

24

25 out awards.

this purpose.

Q. So you were encountering folks that

24

Page 234 Page 236 1 Q. How many grants have been awarded? presume. Oh, no, it's not. 2 A. All I know is we had something like MR. KELLY: This is for the 2019 \$100,000 in there, and there is nothing now. 3 calendar year. 4 Q. Did you keep a record of what grant 4 THE WITNESS: Yeah, okay. 5 requests came in and what money went out? 5 A. So I just -- I was wrong logically. A. KeyBank would have that, you know, 6 I thought I could make an inference here, but I 7 if we sent that out. can't. 8 Q. For the grant requests? 8 Q. As I understand it, you would 9 A. No, but the amount that --9 have -- because this was for the tax year 2019, 10 Q. Did you require potential grantees 10 you would have filed it sometime in 2020. 11 to send to you applications for grants, tell 11 A. Okay. 12 you what it was for, et cetera? 12 Q. That's usually what happens. I'm 13 A. It was more informal than that. We 13 not saying it did in this circumstance, and 14 were going to stream -- you know, scale up and this is not a signed copy. 15 be more bureaucratic, but everything blew up 15 A. Okay. 16 with it. 16 O. Not as far as I can tell. 17 17 MS. GIFFEN: We don't have it? Q. Why did everything blow up with it? 18 A. Well, it got me into trouble here. 18 MR. NEEL: No. We just didn't think it was worth pursuing. 19 Q. All right. So my question was, it 19 20 Q. Okay. Has it been dissolved? 20 would make sense that most of the money, 21 Yes, about five months ago. because you said there was a total of \$100,000, A. Did you file articles of most of the money came in after 2019? 22 22 23 dissolution with the Secretary of State's 23 Correct. A. 24 24 Office? Q. Help me understand why John Fuerst 25 A. And we got the certificate back or was not listed as a user with access to the TCP Page 235 Page 237 1 whatever it's called. data or as a collaborator --1 2 We'll add that to the list of 2 MR. KELLY: Object to form. 3 3 stuff. Q. -- for the TCP data? 4 4 A. Okay. A. Okay. This 2019 tax form says that you 5 MR. KELLY: Object to the form of 5 6 had received \$21,470 in contributions that 6 the question, ambiguous, confusing. 7 year, right? 7 A. I believe he was listed for TCP not 8 A. Correct. 8 as a collaborator, but as a student researcher. Q. And if it was formed in 2018, do 9 The rules are complicated. Even though we 10 you think most of the \$100,000 that you 10 collaborated, he was not a collaborator 11 referred to came in after 2019? 11 according to NIH's policy. But for later 12 applications, not TCP, we added external people 12 A. Yeah. So this logically implies 13 that we started it in -- that doesn't make 13 from different universities, and in that case 14 sense. But, yes, to your question the answer 14 NIH policy is your student researcher now 15 is yes. 15 becomes a collaborator. Q. What do you mean logically it Q. Do you know what NIH rules you're 16 16 17 doesn't make sense? 17 referring to when you say that? A. Just looking at 2019, I said we A. The specific numbers, no. 18 18 19 started it in 2018. That was my guess. 19 Q. Okay. But I don't want to go That was what you said, yes. 20 through it now. 20 A. But, oh, this would be for that 21 21 A. Uh-huh. 22 time. That makes sense. I retract that. 22 Q. But it's referred to in your 23 Strike it. 23 various rebuttals as I understand it. 24 Q. What do you mean? 24 A. Correct. This is filed 2019 for 2018, I 25 25 And you would rely on those now for

60 (Pages 234 - 237)

Page 238 Page 240 1 the support for that conclusion? 1 rarely used, but I did search it for the 2 A. Correct. document requests. 3 Q. And you mentioned earlier that when Q. When we asked for -- because we 3 4 you were in I think it was the first interview asked you for your correspondence with Fuerst 5 with the committee that you didn't think John's 5 and your other coauthors, right? 6 personality was consistent with him wanting to 6 A. Yes. 7 talk to CSU. What was it about his personality Q. And you provided us what you found? 7 that leads you to that conclusion? 8 A. What I found, yes. 9 9 Q. If you refer to, and, again, this A. Maybe it was the position that he 10 was in, because he spent a lot of time on this, 10 is going backwards, so if we look on the first 11 and he wanted to see it through, and he page of the whole thread, it begins on 12 believed that his interpretation of the NHI 12 March 2nd, 2018, is the first email, and the 13 contract was correct, and then we were waiting 13 last one appears to be April 4th, 2018. 14 for an appeal, but they never -- they never 14 A. March 2nd. 15 15 addressed it. O. Yes. 16 Q. Anything about the way he is or his And then April 4th, correct. 16 A. 17 viewpoint that led to that conclusion? 17 Yeah. And I notice in the very 18 18 first email of March 2nd, 2018, you said the 19 preferred email address was what you just said, Okay. Who was the principal Q. 20 drafters of the DARs? 20 right, B Pesta? 21 A. For TCP? 21 A. By preferred you mean my private Q. Yes. 22 22 email? 23 A. John and I co-drafted. 23 Q. I don't know. You wrote it. 24 24 A. I'm having trouble seeing it. Q. Okay. 25 25 The very first -- I'm sorry. It Page 239 Page 241 1 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 35, 1 isn't the very first. It's the next to the 2 an Email String, was marked for 2 last. Let's do it the way I started doing it 3 purposes of identification.) 3 instead of starting in the middle which that 4 4 question would have done. Go to the very last 5 5 O. I realize this writing is a bit page. 6 small. 6 A. Okay. 7 7 Q. And this is an email from John to Yeah. A. 8 Q. Can you confirm, Dr. Pesta, that 8 you, right? this is communications between you and John 9 A. Yes. Q. And he's referring to a meeting at 10 Fuerst in April of 2018? 10 A. Yeah. This is from the CSU 11 a Pho restaurant and how nice it was to get 11 together with you, right? 12 account. A. I do remember this. This is when I 13 Q. And this --13 A. Email account. 14 first met with him in person. 14 Q. We're going all the way back in 15 Q. This is Exhibit 35. How do you 15 16 time to the beginning of when you're talking 16 differentiate between what is your personal 17 account email? 17 about the research project, right? 18 A. I don't have access to it anymore, 18 A. Correct. 19 19 but it was. Q. He refers to following up on the genome data sets. Did that include the TCP 20 O. Not the CSU. A. Oh, personal? Bpesta22, first 21 data set? Were you discussing specifically the 21 22 initial last name. 22 TCP data set at that point? Q. Do you have any other personal 23 A. When we were at the Pho restaurant, 23 24 email accounts that you use? 24 that's when we agreed to collaborate and apply 25 A. I looked. I have an Outlook that I 25 for TCP.

Page 242

- 1 Q. Okay. And then about in the 2 middle, he says, "We wish to start with
- 3 trajectories of complex phenotypes," which is 4 TCP, right?
- 5 A. Correct, and he said it does not 6 require.
- 7 Q. "It does not require IRB approval
- 8 and since the sample characteristics are
 9 ideal." Right, that's what he says?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. So when he was talking about the
- 12 IRB approval at that point, he was referring to
- 13 the NIH --
- 14 A. Yeah. It's in the Exhibit 5 where 15 it's a table.
- 16 Q. I just want to finish the question.
- 17 I know it's late. We're almost through.
- 18 A. No, go ahead.
- 19 Q. He was referring to the IRB
- 20 approval section of the NIH rules, right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. He wasn't saying and I'm sure
- 23 Cleveland State doesn't require it, either?
- A. I don't know why he would. I mean,
- 25 he didn't say any other place didn't. I mean,

Page 243

24

- 1 no. I don't see why he would, though.
- Q. Okay. And then he said, "To apply
- 3 for dbGaP access, a proposal is not needed.
- 4 For trajectories one might be."
- 5 So is that differentiating
- 6 controlled-access data from publicly available
- 7 data for dbGaP?
- 8 A. DbGaP.
- 9 Q. Yes. I'll do that next time.
- 10 A. I'm not sure what he's getting at
- 11 here. I mean, this was what, six years ago?
- 12 Let me read it real quick.
- 13 Q. Sure.
- 14 A. Now, what was the question? I'm
- 15 sorry.
- Q. It was he seems to suggest that you
- 17 would not need an access proposal with
- 18 respect -- I'm actually adding. I'm trying to
- 19 understand at least what you understood, that
- 20 he was differentiating between getting to the
- 21 TCP data as opposed to some other publicly
- 22 available data for --
- A. I don't read it like that.
- Q. You don't? Okay. You tell me.
- A. I think this was an ambiguous

Page 244

- 1 paragraph. I don't know what he was getting at 2 there.
- Q. Okay. Then he says he attaches a proposal nominally to test for sex differences
- 5 in relation to brain differences, and that
- 6 is --
- 7 A. 18070.
- 8 Q. That's right. That's the original 9 request, right?
- 10 A. The first one, yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. And then he says, "This
- 12 would represent a genuinely interesting
- 13 replication study and a sneaky way to request
- 14 and possibly present the ancestry x cognitive
- 15 outcome data." What did you take from that?
- 16 A. Yeah, I don't remember. I remember
- 17 reading this letter, but it was six years ago.
- 18 Let me read it again. Well, it was on sex
- 19 differences, so we wouldn't -- I mean, we
- 20 didn't even access the data for this first
- 21 project, but it was on sex differences, so I
- 22 don't know why he says cognitive outcome data,
- 23 or, I'm sorry, ancestry.
 - Q. So is what was really going on,
- 25 Dr. Pesta, is the ultimate goal was to get to

Page 245

- 1 the ancestry data, and you could get that
- 2 assuming you had the TCP data whatever your
- 3 stated use purpose was?
- 4 A. When we met at Cleveland Pho, we
- 5 talked about various projects, and I agreed to
- 6 collaborate with him conditioned upon not every
- 7 application focusing on race. So I proposed
- 8 the sex difference study. He made the first
- 9 draft. We edited it and submitted it.
- 10 Q. But you'll agree with me that the
- 11 reference to "a sneaky way to get to the
- 12 ancestry information" isn't consistent with
- 13 trying to protect the sensitive nature of the
- 14 TCP data; would you agree?
- MR. KELLY: Object to the form of
- 16 the question.
- 17 A. Those are two separate issues in my
- 18 mind, or can you repeat it?
- 19 Q. I'm actually focusing on the words
- 20 he uses. He says, "A sneaky way to request and
- 21 possibly present the ancestry x cognitive
- 22 outcome data," which doesn't seem to me to be
- 23 consistent with the sex differences that's
- 24 described earlier in the email.
- 25 MR. KELLY: Object to the form of

Page 246 Page 248 1 the question. Is there a question? 1 remember how, but every email I guess from 2 I'm asking if you agree with that 2 CSU's account is automatically forwarded to 3 or not. 3 this CompuServe account. 4 A. I don't know. 4 Q. So did you -- were these emails MR. KELLY: Object to the form of 5 5 forwarded to your CS account? 6 the question. A. Yeah, because I had it 6 7 A. I don't know. I mean, we'd have to 7 automatically doing that. So every email I'd ask him. I read this six years ago. Either I 8 get from CSU was forwarded to CS. missed that section, or I don't know, or maybe Q. I will tell you that we obtained 10 I thought -- I don't remember. 10 these emails from your CSU email account. Q. Right. Then in the upper part of 11 A. Understood. 11 12 the email he refers you to several different 12 Q. We did not receive these emails in 13 videos and on-line sources which appear, and 13 response to our document request. Did you do a 14 you tell me if you looked at these steps to how 14 search? 15 to gain access to the control. 15 A. From the cs.com account? A. Yeah. It was like an FIQ type 16 16 Q. Well, we asked for all your 17 video, I believe. 17 communications with John Fuerst or any of your 18 Q. All right. All right. Then the collaborators. 19 next email, so let's go back one page, Friday, 19 A. I couldn't get inside CSU, I don't 20 March 2nd. 20 have access, so I searched CompuServe for John, 21 A. March 11th. 21 Emil, and Jordan. I searched just their last 22 Q. No, page 2. 22 names, and then I searched their email 23 A. Got it. Sorry. 23 addresses, and then I searched their full name Q. See it? Okay. This is in 24 24 in quotes, and I don't know how long CompuServe 25 response, and you tell him essentially that it 25 keeps emails, but all I found is what I Page 249 1 will be a couple of days before you get back to 1 produced. 2 him, right? 2 Q. So were you using a web-based 3 A. Uh-huh. platform for your email? 4 And then you say, "Please note my 4 A. CompuServe. It's America Online preferred email address is bpesta22@csu.com, 5 5 basically. right? 6 Q. And do you have the ability to tell 7 it how long it should keep emails? A. Yes. 8 Q. And that's your personal email 8 A. Yeah. 9 9 address? MR. KELLY: What was the question? 10 10 A. Correct. (Record read.) Q. Did you want to do that because he Q. Did you have -- I'll repeat it. Do 11 11 12 was saying that he wanted to be sneaky and you 12 you have a setting on your or did you in 2018 13 have a setting on your account to delete emails 13 didn't want it --A. No. I hate CSU's email system. I 14 after a certain time? 14 15 don't like Outlook. So if you see, you'll 15 A. No. I think CompuServe does that. 16 see -- when I got it -- whenever you see Bryan 16 I know they don't store every single email you 17 Pesta to Bryan Pesta, that's because I 17 wrote. I even scrolled through -- I even 18 searched my own email to see if there was some 18 automatically forwarded CSU emails to my 19 private email so I wouldn't have to deal with 19 older stuff that would come up, and everything 20 two email accounts. 20 I found I produced. 21 MS. GIFFEN: All right. Excuse me 21 Q. Did you forward these emails to 22 your private email account? 22 one second. 23 A. Well, he sent it to me at CSU, and 23 (Brief recess.) 24 there is a script or something in Outlook or 24 Q. Dr. Pesta, when you did the search 25 maybe, yeah, there is a way to do it, I don't 25 of your CompuServe -- is that it?

Page 252 Page 250 1 A. Correct. 1 A. Maybe the word collaborator I'm 2 interpreting --2 Q. -- account, did you include in your 3 Q. Hold on. Let me finish. What I'm 3 request or did you include in your search terms 4 the names of the individuals if they would 4 interested in is the I'll do this part. What appear in the body of the email? 5 are you agreeing to do? 6 A. Yes, and their emails and last name 6 A. I can only speculate. I mean, this is six years ago. I guess the issue is should 7 only. we list Emil and Jordan as collaborators, but 8 Q. Okay. All right. Then on March -- going back to the document on 9 then since they weren't accessing the genetic 10 March 11th, you write to Bryan? 10 data, we didn't have to. A. John writes to me. 11 Q. If you go back to the first email, 12 Q. I'm sorry. No, it's you writing 12 March 2nd --13 to --13 A. Okay. 14 Q. -- he says he's talking about what 14 A. March 11th? data set to request to put together a proposal 15 Q. Yes, March 11th. Let's go back 15 16 because we went through that whole deal. So that would be sex differences in relation to 17 let's go back to the document. We have just brain differences, and he says he recommends 18 looking at those web sites to find out more 18 discussed the please note my preferred email about how to get control access data. 19 address, and you give your cs.com email 19 20 address, and then on March 11th you again write 20 You say you're going to check -- on 21 March 2nd you say, "I'm going to check this all 21 to John. I think that's what that says. out, but give me at least a few days. Please 22 A. Yeah, you're right. I send me a reminder in a week if you haven't 23 misinterpreted it. 24 heard back from me about this." 24 Q. And you say, "Are you still in 25 25 town?" And then you say, "I'll do this, but Okay. Page 251 Page 253 1 what worries me is that it says all 1 Then you say use my cs.com address. 2 A. Correct. collaborators must also apply, Bryan." 3 3 A. Yes. Q. And then the next thing that 4 happens is March 11th you say, "I'll do this." 4 Q. What was the I'll do this? What 5 were you referring to? Is that I'll agree to do your proposal with A. So I think we had -- I mean, we respect to what we ask for in the data? weren't an expert on this, this was our first 7 A. Honestly, I don't remember. application, and we were confused whether your 8 MR. KELLY: Object to the form of collaborators -- you brought this up about ten 9 the question. 10 minutes ago, whether John would be a 10 A. It could have been. 11 collaborator or not. So I don't know in 11 Q. Okay. All right. MR. KELLY: It's confusing. 12 specific response to this March 11th email, but 12 13 we figured out that he's not a collaborator for Q. Then the next email is his response 13 14 TCP, but he was for ABCD because we 14 also on March 11th. "Thanks for the email. 15 added -- there is a reason for it that I can't Yes, I am still working with the Clinic." And 16 that's one of my questions is because he's now 16 remember, but there was a distinction between 17 collaborator and -referring to working with the Clinic, and 18 that's not the subject of the earlier email 18 Q. I'm sorry. I don't think my 19 question was clear. 19 trail. 20 A. Okay. 20 A. So implying that there is other 21 Q. Because your sentence is, "I'll do 21 emails? 22 this, but what worries me is that it says all 22 Q. Yes. Are there emails in between

64 (Pages 250 - 253)

23 that went to just the cs.com address?

25 search. I think I did a pretty exhaustive

A. Yes, but they didn't come up in the

24

23 collaborators must also apply." And I

25 all collaborators must apply --

24 understand your view that that's not true, not

Page 254 Page 256 1 search and didn't find -- I don't think I found 1 bottom email is for me to submit the formal 2 very many older emails. application. 3 3 Q. Yes. We looked at what your Q. Okay. All right. "This process, 4 counsel provided, and there weren't very many 4 though, has been dragging on for months with no 5 of those. end in site as apparently no one in Germany has A. Yes. tried this before." Is Woodley in Germany? 6 A. I think he's in -- he's like 7 7 Q. All right. In any event --8 A. Oh, can I add I also downloaded a 8 royalty in England or something. Q. Really? What's his first name? 9 program that searches CompuServe email to maybe 10 find deleted ones, and it didn't produce 10 A. Michael Woodley of Menie or Menie, 11 M-E-N-I-E, I believe. But these other people 11 anything. 12 were university affiliated, so they were going Q. Okay. All right. So there is 13 to get legitimate access. 13 more. We don't need to get into his medical 14 issues, so I'll just ignore that. And then 14 Q. David Becker and Rindermann? 15 John says, "If you could apply, I would 15 A. I don't really know Becker. 16 appreciate it. That would really help. We are 16 Q. How about Rindermann? 17 simultaneously working with David Becker for 17 A. Heiner is his first name. He might 18 him and Rindermann to gain access." even be retired now. I'm not sure. 18 19 Q. Who was he with? 19 MR. KELLY: Where are you at, 20 counsel? 20 A. I don't know. I don't think it was 21 21 in America, or I'm not sure. MS. GIFFEN: I am if you look at, 22 going backwards in time, this is the third page 22 Q. John goes on to say, "In the of the document, and I'm reading an email to 23 meantime, we would like the data to analyze. 24 How about this proposal? When you get access, 24 Bryan from John dated March 11th, and it was --MR. KELLY: Actually the top of the 25 Emil and I will look at it and work out the Page 255 Page 257 1 code for analysis and variables, et cetera, 1 third page? 2 MS. GIFFEN: Correct. No, no, no, 2 i.e., data exploration and cleaning. You won't 3 no. 3 even need to send files as we can just remote 4 THE WITNESS: Third page from the 4 access your computer or one I could put 5 back, right? 5 together for this purpose. If you are willing MS. GIFFEN: Let's do this --6 to publish on the topic, e.g., the sex 6 7 MS. KAMINSKI: It's the fourth 7 difference proposal with hidden ancestry 8 8 analysis or a straight out ancestry analysis, page. 9 MS. GIFFEN: It's the fourth page. 9 you will publish with Rindermann and Becker and 10 THE WITNESS: From the front or the 10 perhaps Emil, that is, only people who have approved access. Emil's situation is tricky as 11 back? 12 MS. KAMINSKI: From the front. 12 while he can get access through his company, he Q. So John says, "If you could apply, 13 13 has to be covert about where he works as shit 14 I would appreciate it. That would really help. 14 will hit the fan when reporters put two and two 15 We are simultaneously working with David Becker 15 together regarding the company's purposes." So that's a whole lot. This raised 16 for him and Rindermann to gain access and also 16 17 with another group through Woodley." 17 a whole bunch of questions. So, first, let's 18 A. Uh-huh. 18 take the last thing first. 19 Q. Is that the same person that you 19 A. Okay. were on the research paper for earlier in your 20 Q. Do you know anything about Emil's 21 career? 21 situation is tricky about gaining access? 22 22 A. I'm not -- I don't think I've ever A. I know he worked for a genomic 23 23 published with him. I know him. I met him company, like designer babies-type stuff, and I 24 once at a conference. But you jogged my memory 24 don't know if he got permission to -- yeah, I 25 here. I think what he's asking for on the 25 don't know, but that's what I think they are

Page 258 1 referring to is his private sector job.

- 2 Q. And this certainly seems to suggest 3 that if Emil asked for access to the data, he may not get it because of whatever these issues
- 5 are? 6 A. Yeah, but he didn't need to because 7 he only focused on --
- 8 Q. I understand, but if he did, the concern is that he might not get it?
- A. The only way he would get it is if 10 11 he registered for an independent study at CSU 12 under my supervision.
- 13 Q. And that would, under your theory 14 and John's theory, if that's what he did, NIH 15 need never know if he had access to the data,
- 16 right?

2

3

7

8

9

- 17 He didn't. Restricted access. A.
- 18 Q. As long as you make somebody your
- 19 research assistant, under your view of what the
- 20 NIH rules would require, NIH would never need 20 March 11th.

Q. But your theory on research, on the

the way that you would get somebody like Emil

MR. KELLY: Objection to the form

4 meaning of research assistant, is that would be

to get access to that data, right, without

- 21 to know that that person who apparently has
- 22 some sticky situation had access to the
- 23 controlled data, right?
- 24 A. But he didn't.

A. Okay.

revealing who he was?

A. No.

25 I know that that's what you

1 steadfastly maintain, I believe.

1 IQ, paper and pencil IQ test scores.

Q. But I thought your computer didn't have internet access. How would they remote 4 in?

Page 260

Page 261

- 5 A. Well, I could have copied it to
- 6 my -- I mean, here's my Newegg computer for
- 7 this project. Right next to it was my
- 8 laptop -- my desktop, so USB drive to my
- personal computer.
- 10 Q. And at least it's a theoretical 11 matter that could have happened with the 12 controlled-access data, too. It just would
- 13 have been inconsistent with --14
- A. I don't know because those files 15 are massive. I just don't think you could send 16 it through CompuServe, you know, through any
- 17 internet access.
- Q. Okay. All right. Then on 18 19 March 11th, again, most of this is happening on
- 21 A. Okav.
- 22 You respond still trying to figure
- 23 this all out. "But I know that the length of
- 24 the specific study is broken, and a search
- seems to show that they took it off line. Can

Page 259

1 you confirm?" And the last sentence is, "Also

- 2 I mentioned I'm not a geneticist. Can you link
- 3 to one or two good primer articles that might
- 4 get me up to speed on how the study might run?"
- 5 Yes?

6

- A. That's what it says, correct.
- 7 Q. Okay. Then the next part of the
- 9 A. Uh-huh, bottom of the next page,
- testimony. 11 12 A. No, I don't agree with that.
- 13 Q. And this certainly proposes in this
- 14 email that both John and Emil will look at it,
- 15 right, and analyze the variables?

10 of the question, mischaracterizes the

- 16 A. I think they are talking about the
- 17 extraction process. So you have to get it from
- 18 the NIH format into like a spreadsheet.
- 19 Q. And they are discussing remoting 20 access into your computer, right?
- 21 A. That didn't happen. It might have
- 22 happened for like the phenotypic data, but I
- 23 don't remember.
- 24 Q. The what data?
- 25 The non-restricted acces, like the

- 8 thread is March, again, more March 11th.
- 10 right.
- 11 Q. Correct. That's the beginning, the
- 12 bottom of the next page. This is from John
- 13 responding, and he refers you -- first of all,
- 14 he says, "There are a couple of databases that
- 15 do not require an IRB. Ideally (which is still
- 16 up)," and then he sites to it, and then the
- 17 first thing that he comes up with is the TCP,
- 18 right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. Then he says, "You would not need
- 21 to do the analyses."
 - A. Where? I'm sorry. Going up?
- 23 Yeah, on the very next page, right.
- 24 MR. KELLY: Going down to the next
- 25 page.

Page 262

A. Sorry.

1

- Q. He says, "You would not need to do
- 3 the analyses unless you wish to, though it
- 4 would be a good idea for you to know what is
- 5 being done of course. A straight out -- "
- 6 Is this a suggestion that you
- 7 wouldn't really have to be involved or
- 8 understand what was happening with the
- 9 analysis?
- 10 A. No. I didn't do the PGS. I since
- 11 know how to do it, I think, but John had the
- 12 genetics expertise.
- 13 Q. Okay. "A straight out global
- 14 admixture analysis would run like one of the
- 15 scores of global ancestry x medical outcomes
- 16 SES analyses we reviewed on the global ancestry
- 17 x cognitive ability one we previously
- 18 conducted. The aim is to replicate previous
- 19 results using a much larger sample." And then
- 20 there is references to papers, I guess?
- 21 A. Yeah, I guess. I don't know.
- Q. Did you look at them?
- 23 A. I'm sure I did like six years ago.
- Q. Did you in any of the statements of
- 25 purpose in the DAR refer to an intention to

Page 263

replicate those articles, those papers?

- A. Well, I don't know what the papers
- 3 are. I've just got the links here. Let me
- 4 see. I don't know. Yeah, I mean, I'm sure I
- 5 looked at the links back then, but I don't
- 6 remember. Can you repeat the question?
- 7 Q. The question was, did you refer in 8 your purpose statements for the DARs to an
- 9 intention to replicate those studies?
- 10 A. I think we did mention Lee, et al., 11 but I don't see that here.
- 12 Q. And then he says, "In addition
- 13 there are multiple other possible analyses, for
- 14 example, as noted the relation between brain
- 15 size, sex, and IQ controlling for population
- 16 structure which would work something like
- 17 this," and then he cites more papers, right?
- 18 A. Yeah. That's for the 18070.
- 19 Q. And, I'm sorry, you may have
- 20 answered this, but I don't remember what you
- 21 said. Did you look at each of those papers
- 22 when you got this email?
- A. I presume I did. I mean, I wanted
- 24 to learn -- get up to speed on how genetic
- 25 research works, and I did invest time into it.

Page 264

- 1 But did I specifically click on these links and 2 read it? I don't know.
- 3 Q. Then he says, "This would just
- 4 involve adding and switching covarieties."
- 5 What does that mean?
- 6 MR. KELLY: Covariates I believe is 7 what it is.
- 8 A. It's more strategic on how to do
- 9 the analysis, like big picture.
- 10 O. Covariates?
- 11 A. Covariates
- MR. KELLY: It's covariates.
- 13 Q. He said, "Regardless, we want to
- 14 start by replicating the ancestry and IQ
- 15 findings," right?
 - A. For what became 19747.
- 17 O. But this entire conversation is
- 18 before you got the sex differences DAR file,
- 19 right?

16

4

- A. When we met at Pho, we agreed first
- 21 to do sex differences and then to do I think it
- 22 was either mental health or, what's the other
- 23 one, transethnic validity. So we agreed to do
- 24 all three of those or that we would submit
- 25 applications for all three.

Page 265

- 1 Q. He started off in the very first 2 email saying what he really wants is the
- 3 ancestry and IQ.
 - A. For sure, yes.
- 5 Q. And he uses the phrase, "It's a
- 6 sneaky way to get the data," and he is coming
- 7 back to the same thing now. Did it concern you
- 8 that it appeared based on this email trail that
- 9 the guy is interested in one topic, and he's
- 10 trying to figure out every way to get the data
- 11 supporting that particular purpose and that
- 12 particular data set?
- 13 A. No, I don't agree. I think the
- 14 first thing I said, you know, and I already
- 15 testified to this, but I'll agree to
- 16 collaborate with you on the race IQ stuff, but
- 17 I don't want to apply -- I don't want that to
- 18 be the only thing we do. So we agreed to do
- 19 the sex differences thing. At Cleveland Pho we
- 20 agreed to do the other two, too, mental health
- 21 and then the transethnic validity one.
- Q. All right. The beginning of that
- 23 email that we just described that I skipped
- 24 over quickly says, "There are a couple of
 - 5 databases that do not require an IRB." Why was

| 1 | Page 266 | 1 | Page 268 |
|--|--|--|---|
| | it so important to avoid IRB? | $\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$ | Q. Did you review any seminars that |
| 2 | A. Hassle. | $\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$ | CSU has about NIH proposals? |
| 3 | Q. What's the hassle? | 3 | A. That specifically CSU has I don't remember. |
| 5 | A. Submitting a proposal to the CSU IRB, waiting. | 5 | Q. Did you look for them? |
| 6 | Q. Because they might say no? | 6 | A. I looked for NIH stuff because it's |
| 7 | A. No. It's just a hassle. I mean, | 7 | the NIH's data. |
| 8 | it's more convenient to get data sets where | 8 | Q. Meaning? |
| 9 | it's not required, and also this particular | 9 | A. So those prior links we talked |
| 10 | data set, TCP, had a lot of what we were | 10 | about. |
| 11 | looking at doing which is controlling social | 11 | Q. But did you look for anything at |
| 1 | race versus genetic ancestry. | 12 | CSU with respect to that? |
| 13 | Q. All right. Then there is some | 13 | A. I did do research on how to apply |
| 14 | discussion, and I'm now on the second page of | 14 | for NIH data. Whether I looked at CSU or not I |
| 15 | the document, about logistics. "Who do you | 15 | don't remember. |
| | need at the university to be a signatory for | 16 | Q. Okay. John was not yet your |
| 17 | the application to NIH?" And at the very top | 17 | research assistant at this time, correct? |
| 18 | there is an email from John to you dated | 18 | A. No. |
| 19 | March 22nd, so we've now jumped forward 11 days | 19 | Q. Yet he's telling you what the |
| 20 | or so. "Hi Bryan. Unfortunately I will be in | 20 | office of research needs at CSU. Does that |
| 21 | town for a bit since I have to wait for some | 21 | make sense to you? |
| 22 | new appointments. I can't stand the weather | 22 | A. Not really. He's suggesting that I |
| 23 | here. My plan now is to go back to Raleigh by | 23 | look into that so that I get a better sense of |
| 24 | the summer so at least until early June unless | 24 | how to accurately apply for the data. |
| 25 | something changes." | 25 | Q. All right. Then the very first |
| | Page 267 | | Page 269 |
| 1 | So he was living in the Cleveland | 1 | page of this document is a whole lot of when |
| 2 | area at the time? | 2 | are you going to be back in town, here is the |
| 3 | A. Yeah, and that's how we were able | 3 | NIH coordinator number, so a lot of logistics |
| 4 | to meet at Cleveland Pho. | 4 | stuff. |
| 5 | Q. Because he went to Cleveland State | 5 | A. Correct. |
| 6 | for a period of time. Was he a resident of | 6 | Q. This was really I think the first |
| 7 | this area back in the early 2000s when he was | / 0 | email that we found around, and you'll know |
| 8 | taking courses at Cleveland State? | 0 | better than I would, around your communications with Fuerst or the other collaborators about |
| 9 10 | A. I know his parents he lived in his parents' house which is east side | 10 | the project that was to come. Are you aware of |
| 11 | somewhere. | 11 | anything before this? |
| 12 | Q. Okay. And then he suggests that | 12 | A. You mean this whole packet is the |
| 13 | you call the office of research, and he gives | 13 | first that you found, this whole exhibit? |
| 14 | you the telephone number. | 14 | Q. Yes, I think so. |
| 15 | A. That's Dr. Ward's office, I | 15 | A. Yeah, so |
| | | 1 | |
| 16 | believe. | 16 | Q. But I'm not going to profess to |
| 1 | believe. | 16 17 | |
| 16 | | | know, so that's why I'm asking you. |
| 16 17 | believe. Q. Pardon me? | 17 | |
| 16 17 18 | believe. Q. Pardon me? A. That's Dr. Ward's office. | 17 18 | know, so that's why I'm asking you. A. Okay. So the first email, does it |
| 16 17 18 19 | believe. Q. Pardon me? A. That's Dr. Ward's office. Q. "CSU has seminars on NIH proposal | 17 18 19 | know, so that's why I'm asking you. A. Okay. So the first email, does it say? "Nice meeting you at Pho." So I presume |
| 16 17 18 19 20 | believe. Q. Pardon me? A. That's Dr. Ward's office. Q. "CSU has seminars on NIH proposal writing, so there must be a designated person." | 17 18 19 20 | know, so that's why I'm asking you. A. Okay. So the first email, does it say? "Nice meeting you at Pho." So I presume we met at Pho on March 1st, 2018. That's when |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 | believe. Q. Pardon me? A. That's Dr. Ward's office. Q. "CSU has seminars on NIH proposal writing, so there must be a designated person." Did you look at the seminars and | 17 18 19 20 21 | know, so that's why I'm asking you. A. Okay. So the first email, does it say? "Nice meeting you at Pho." So I presume we met at Pho on March 1st, 2018. That's when |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | believe. Q. Pardon me? A. That's Dr. Ward's office. Q. "CSU has seminars on NIH proposal writing, so there must be a designated person." Did you look at the seminars and proposal writing at the time in 2018? A. Yeah. I think it's MaryTherese Kocevar who was the contact person that John | 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | know, so that's why I'm asking you. A. Okay. So the first email, does it say? "Nice meeting you at Pho." So I presume we met at Pho on March 1st, 2018. That's when we agreed to apply for three different topics. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 36, an Email String, was marked for |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | believe. Q. Pardon me? A. That's Dr. Ward's office. Q. "CSU has seminars on NIH proposal writing, so there must be a designated person." Did you look at the seminars and proposal writing at the time in 2018? A. Yeah. I think it's MaryTherese | 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | know, so that's why I'm asking you. A. Okay. So the first email, does it say? "Nice meeting you at Pho." So I presume we met at Pho on March 1st, 2018. That's when we agreed to apply for three different topics. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 36, |

Page 270 Page 272 1 1 Q. At least these are instructions of 2 Q. All right. This is, and let's do how you're supposed to do it? the same thing we did with the other one. 3 A. Okay. Yes. 3 4 4 Q. You agree with that? A. Okay. 5 Q. Because this was April -- the first 5 Yes. 6 one is April 8th which I think is after the 6 Q. And then you tell John on April 9th email thread we were just looking at. that you're making some progress, see below, 8 A. In Exhibit 35, correct. and you send him the email trail that you had with the CSU people from the Office of 9 Q. Okay. And this is an email from 10 Mary Jane Karpinski to you. Who is Mary Jane 10 Research, right? 11 Karpinski? I'm sorry. I am totally wrong. 11 A. Correct. 12 That is not the first email. 12 And then on April 9th, John tells 13 A. Okay. 13 you --14 The first email is from you to 14 That's got to be good to hear. Q. A. 15 Sponsored Programs and Research Services and 15 O. Pardon me? 16 Mary Jane Karpinski, right? I think it's good to hear. It's a 16 A. 17 A. Correct. 17 typo. Q. April 8th. Tell me now who Mary Q. Oh, I see. I wasn't focused on 18 18 19 Jane Karpinski is. 19 that at all. All right. What does the next 20 A. So I did remember MaryTherese 20 sentence mean? "We are at about 140 M here," 21 Kocevar was one of the coordinators for getting and then there is a Youtube reference. 21 22 CSU approval for the applications. I think 22 A. Yeah. I would have to click on the 23 Karpinski was another, but I'm not positive. 23 link to refresh my memory. 24 Q. In this email you're asking her for 24 Q. And he says, "You'll have to select 25 information about the signing officer, right? the survey that you're applying for which is Page 271 Page 273 1 A. Correct. 1 the TCP. This does not require IRB approval." 2 Q. This is really taking action on the 2 He says that again. "So we will be able to email thread we just looked at in Exhibit 35, 3 skip that step." And then he says, "Yeah, the first task of which was find out who the 4 we'll meet," right? signing officer is, right? 5 5 A. Correct. A. Sounds correct, yes. Q. So was he also looking at that? 6 6 7 Q. Okay. And she copies Lisa Franklin 7 Well, we don't know because we don't know what 8 on your email, right? the Youtube --A. Correct. 9 9 A. Looking at what, the link? 10 Q. And who is Lisa Franklin? 10 Q. The Youtube. A. I think she's -- like it was a 11 A. Well, he sent it, so I presume he 12 clerical thing. She's like the gateway to the 12 looked at it. people who approve it. I'm not positive. 13 Q. Do you remember whether you looked 13 14 O. All right. 14 at it? 15 A. Does it say her title? It does 15 A. I'm sure I did. I just have no 16 not. Okay. No, it does. She's assistant 16 memory of specifically doing it. 17 director. 17 Q. Then the next email is April 10th 18 Q. Yes. Then on the next page, and we 18 from you to John. "John, you sent me a summary 19 don't have to go through these piece by piece abstract a while ago. Should I be using that 19 20 unless you would prefer to, it appears that the when I apply? Also, what kind of food do you 21 Office of Research is setting you up with an 21 like?" And you go on to talk about lunching 22 account that you can then use to make the 22 with him. 23 application to NIH, right? 23 What was the summary abstract that 24 A. I thought I created the account, so 24 you're referring to? 25 I'm not sure. 25 A. Well, I can only speculate. I

69 (Pages 270 - 273)

Page 274 Page 276 1 don't remember. 1 didn't find any emails relating to the sex 2 MR. KELLY: Don't speculate. differences DAR. The focus appears to be on 3 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. 3 the --4 Q. You don't know what summary 4 Yes. In this packet I agree. abstract it was? 5 5 Q. Okay. And I note that the 1909 DAR 6 A. No. 6 does not refer directly to IQ and ancestry; 7 Q. It certainly sounds like he took 7 does it? the first crack at the summary abstract that 8 A. 19090? 8 9 was going to go with the DAR, right? Q. Right. A. He did draft the first. I don't 10 10 A. That's the mental health one except 11 know if it's called a summary abstract, because for that one indirect thing that I pointed out 11 12 there is a technical statement then and the to you in the morning. 12 13 non. That's why I'm not going to speculate. 13 Q. Right. And the one that does refer 14 Q. What you're referring to is what's 14 to IQ and race or ancestry doesn't get filed 15 in what we've been calling the RUS? until June of 2019, more than a year after this 15 A. Right. email discussion, right? 16 16 Q. Which is the --17 17 MR. KELLY: Object. 18 A. Research user --18 THE WITNESS: Pardon me? 19 19 MR. KELLY: It mischaracterizes the Q. Statement, I think. In fact, we 20 are about to come up with the RUS reference in 20 testimony. I don't believe it's accurate, 21 one second. John replies also on April 10th, Ms. Giffen, with all due respect. 21 22 "Hi Bryan, I'm not sure they will require one 22 Your counsel is right. 23 to be submitted. In case they did, I propose 23 A. Okay. September of 2018. 24 the attached. If you are comfortable with 24 Q. 25 publishing such a table (and reporting the 25 We're going to have to backtrack Page 275 Page 277 1 admixture results in a table in the and repeat the question. Sorry. supplementary file), then I say we go with it. 2 Q. That's all right. I'll withdraw If not, I can come up with something else," and 3 it. then he makes reference to having lunch. 4 Then in the first email dated 5 Now, we don't have whatever, if 5 April 11th, it's, "Hi John," there is more 6 there was ever anything attached, because it 6 references to when you're going to lunch. "I'm didn't appear to us that there was something 7 making good progress on the application. attached. So did you receive something from 8 However, I'm stuck on this part," and then you him, the proposal about what should be 9 refer to the RUS, and I assume what you've done 10 contained with it? 10 there is you've cut and pasted the instructions 11 A. I'm not sure. This is obviously 11 from the application on the NIH site for the 12 DAR; is that right? 12 for the race and IQ, 19747, but I don't 13 remember what he's getting at here. 13 A. I'm not really following that. O. But the race and IO --14 14 Q. Here's what the paragraph says. 15 A. Was submitted. "Please enter your RUS in the area below. The 16 Q. -- DAR is not submitted until? 16 RUS should be one or two paragraphs in length 17 A. July? and include research objectives, the study Q. Let's see. We can use your 18 design, and an analysis plan including the 19 Table 1. It's not submitted until July. The phenotypic characteristics that will be tested one that is submitted first is April 12th, and for association with genetic variance. If 21 that's the sex differences. you're requesting multiple databases, please 22 A. That was our plan, correct. We 22 describe how you will use them. Examples of both liked to work linearly on one project as 23 RUS can be found at," and then there is a 24 opposed to doing multiple ones at a time. 24 reference. 25 Q. All right. I'll tell you that we 25 So that appears to be from the NIH

70 (Pages 274 - 277)

Page 280 Page 278 1 website where you're making the DAR, and you've between you and John Fuerst beginning, and I got to put in the RUS data? 2 apologize, but we only have to look at a tiny 3 3 MR. KELLY: Object to the form of piece of this. 4 4 the question. A. Okay. Q. Because if you look at Wednesday, 5 Q. What are you referring to there? 5 6 April 11th, which is the third email from the 6 A. My concern or confusion is that I'm 7 not sure which application of the three this is 7 first page --8 A. Okay. 8 for. 9 9 Q. Okay. So how does that change Q. -- that actually is a continuation 10 of what we just looked at in Exhibit 36, and if 10 where you got that paragraph? A. I don't know where I got it. you need to satisfy yourself with respect to 12 that, feel free to do so. 12 Probably from the NIH. 13 Q. Then you bold this, "I'm requesting 13 A. Okay. 14 permission to use cloud computing to carry out 14 This appears to me to be a 15 continuation of that conversation later in 15 the research that's described in my research 16 use statement." And then there is a 16 time. 17 non-technical summary, and is this you asking 17 A. I would agree with that. Q. Okay. So John writes on 18 what is a non-technical summary, or is that 18 April 11th, "As for the RUS, are you 19 again from the NIH website? 19 20 MR. KELLY: If you know. 20 okay" -- let me make sure that this is making 21 21 sense. A. I don't know. 22 MR. KELLY: Good luck. 22 Q. And you say, "It seems like your 23 summary is for the" -- by the way, all of the 23 Q. They are all April 11th. Back to questions I'm asking you are only if you know, 24 Exhibit 37. So this second email on the first page says from John to you, again, you're and if you don't know, feel free to tell me Page 279 1 that. 1 talking about lunch. "As for the RUS, are you 2 okay with my plan (according to which we look 2 A. Thank you. 3 MR. KELLY: Don't speculate and say 3 at the sex times G times brain size association 4 and include population structure as a control 4 well -and note the PC1 ancestry times IO associations 5 Q. "It seems like your summary is for 6 in the supplementary file)? This is a safe 6 the RUS, but we need something toned down for the non-technical summary. Could you draft analysis. If so, I will write up a simple 7 8 this?" 8 summary and note the variables needed. Otherwise, I can come up with something else." 9 And then finally, "In the RUS you 10 attached, should the word brain appear in line 10 What was that about? 11 four before the words size volume." Does that A. This is for the sex difference one. 11 12 refresh your recollection about what --12 O. Okay. A. I don't remember him talking 13 A. It's the sex one for sure. 13 14 about -- I don't remember this email, but -- I 14 Q. It's the sex differences one. So 15 this discussion is about the 18007 project, 15 don't remember. Q. But he's clearly talking about 16 16 right? 17 A. Yes. 17 writing in such a way that you can get the 18 ancestry and IQ associations in a supplementary 18 file, right? 19 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 37, 19 20 A. Well, we applied for it later on in 20 an Email String, was marked for 21 purposes of identification.) 21 19747, but it could be a big picture thing. 22 22 Q. Okay. And then you write later to Q. Handing you what's been marked as 23 John, "Excellent for the strategy and for noon 23 24 Exhibit 37, and this appears to be an email 24 on Tuesday." 25 from you -- actually, it's an email trail 25 A. Okay.

Page 282 Page 284 1 Q. But as you sit here today, you're 1 are still useful because they allow us to 2 unsure exactly how the strategy was to work? 2 validate our beliefs privately which is useful A. No. We agreed to do at Cleveland 3 because it will tell us how confident one can 3 4 Pho the three projects. We wanted to start 4 push ideas in other papers." 5 with sex differences. I don't think we picked, 5 A. That is not the way I write. It 6 prioritized mental health over the transethnic sounds like something Emil would say. 7 validity one. So we were going to start with 7 Q. You didn't write it. This is to -sex, see what happened, but also work on the 8 MR. KELLY: It's from -applications, writing it out for the other two. 9 Q. It's to you from Emil. Q. But his focus in all of these 10 MR. KELLY: Yeah. 10 11 emails is I need to get the ancestry and IQ A. Yeah. 11 Q. And Kerin just pointed out there is 12 data, right? 12 13 A. The reason he wanted to meet me at 13 actually an email before that. 14 Pho is because he wanted primarily to do that 14 A. Okay. 15 study. 15 Q. The very first email appears to be 16 Q. Right. So whatever was on the 16 from you to John and Emil saying, "The deed is done." 17 DARs, he was most interested in how do we get 17 18 the data so we can do that extra analysis, 18 A. That would be an application 19 submitted, probably sex. 19 right? 20 Yeah, as listed in 19747. 20 Q. Yeah, because this is an email A. 21 21 dated April 12th, and it won't surprise you if 0. Okay. All right. 22 we look back that the sex differences was - - - - -22 23 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 38, 23 submitted on April 12th? 24 an Email String, was marked for 24 That makes sense. 25 purposes of identification.) 25 So "the deed is done" refers to Page 283 Page 285 1 1 that. But the email's response or Emil's 2 Q. Handing you what's been marked as 2 response to that refers to "masking the nature Exhibit 38, this appears to be more 3 of the study and publishing something but 4 validating beliefs privately." communications now, but this time Emil is part of the conversation, right? 5 A. Yeah. So this has to be about the 5 6 A. On the top. 6 sex differences one because, I mean, it's in 7 MR. KELLY: Is this 38? the chain. I don't know what he was getting at 8 THE WITNESS: This is 38. 8 there. 9 Q. So with this language employed by Q. This is a one pager, so look all 10 the way at the bottom. Are you with me? 10 Emil with respect to access to the data and 11 John Fuerst's earlier references to sneaky ways 11 12 to get at things, why weren't you concerned 12 Q. Okay. The first email is Thursday, 13 April 12th, and it's from Emil to you with a cc 13 about the real objectives of these fellows to 14 to John, right? 14 do the research that you were telling NIH that 15 A. Yes. 15 you were doing? MR. KELLY: Objection to the form 16 Q. It says, "Bryan, cool. We may want 16 17 to send some applications to other samples as 17 of the question, mischaracterizes his 18 soon as possible to see how difficult the IRB 18 testimony. 19 is to get. I reckon that if we mask the nature 19 A. Because we wanted to do the sex 20 of the study with usual medical terms, one difference one first. But, yeah, John and Emil 21 can't get away with a lot." 21 were most interested in 19747. 22 A. One can. 22 And they are talking about hiding, 23 Q. "One can get away with a lot." 23 right? 24 Thank you. "Getting samples for analyses that 24 A. Nothing was hidden.

Well, at least their intention in

25

25 one doesn't publish to preserve your reputation

Page 286

- 1 what they were going to do with the data,
- 2 because it's the same data set, right, TCP is
- the same data set? 3

4

- A. Correct.
- 5 Q. So what they wanted to do with the
- 6 TCP data set in these email trails that we've
- been looking at seem to be inconsistent with
- the only application that was at that point
- made to NIH?
- 10 MR. KELLY: Object to the form of 11 the question. That is mischaracterizing the 12 testimony.
- 13 A. No. We had every intention to do
- 14 the race IQ paper, right, but I told John I
- 15 want to do something else first.
- O. Why? 16
- A. Because I told him this, and I 17
- 18 think it's even in the final report of the
- 19 binder, I don't want -- I didn't at that time
- 20 want every line in my vita to be on this topic,
- 21 plus I'm interested in sex differences. I even
- 22 have a paper on it.
- 23 Q. But you didn't do anything with
- 24 that data on sex differences?
- 25 I didn't even access it because the

- A. Where? I don't see where we're at.
- 1 2 Q. Right in the middle of the page.
- 3 On April 12th you respond to Emil saying, "Good

Page 288

- 4 idea. Do we wait for any feedback on this one
- 5 or just march on? I would obviously need you
- guys to do the write-ups again."
- 7 A. Okay.
 - Q. So you were saying good idea, Emil,
- to mask the nature of the study?
- 10 A. No. I think I was talking about
- 11 the timing of doing sex differences first. So
- 12 we were -- you know, we were planning to do all
- 13 three of the studies. So even though we
- 14 focused first on sex differences, we did work a
- 15 little bit on the applications for the other
- 16 ones.

8

- 17 Q. Emil responds to that email again
- 18 in the middle of the page. He's now referring
 - to the TCP data set, I believe.
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. And he refers you to the full data
- 22 dictionary, and he says, "So one will be able
- 23 to control for parental education, lead
- 24 exposure, age, sex, self-perceived race, and
- 25 then (dummies)." What does that mean?

Page 287

- 1 brain imaging files were massive and not
- processed. So you could convert them to like a
- 3 ipeg. No idea how to do that.
- Q. Isn't it true that whatever your 4
- 5 intention was with respect to the data requests
- to NIH, you wanted to do the three, right?
 - A. Uh-huh.

7

- 8 Q. The only paper that came out of the
- 9 TCP data was the paper they are talking about 10 in these emails, right?
- MR. KELLY: Object to the form of 11
- 12 the question. That's --13 A. And the paper didn't exist
- 14 obviously here.
- 15 Q. I know.
- 16 A. No. Well, we didn't even download
- 17 the data for sex for the reason I just gave
- 18 you. I don't know why we didn't pursue the
- 19 mental health stuff next. We went to -- yeah,
- 20 you're right. We got -- the only paper that
- 21 came from all this is the Lasker paper.
- 22 Q. You write back to Emil when he said
- 23 we can mask the nature of the study and you can 23
- 24 do private research and then publish something
- 25 different, "Good idea."

- Page 289 A. It's a statistical technique where
- 1 the nature of the data are words, black, white,
- so you need to put numbers to those to run the
- 4 data. So zero might be all Africans, and one would be whites. It's called dummy coding.
- Q. I get it. It's not dummies in the 6 7
- common sense of the word. 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Then he says, "One can predict skin
- 10 tone from the genetic data with high accuracy,
- 11 I think." Again, his focus on the TCP being
- 12 skin tone.
- 13 A. Yeah. That's for 19747. That's
- 14 the HIrisPlex website, I think. It's just
- 15 H-I-R-I-S, and P-L-E-X.
- Q. By the way, you mention that, and I 16 17 should have asked you this earlier, you mention
- that NIH had approved the use of HIrisPlex? 18
 - A. For another article.
- 20 O. What article is that?
- 21 A. It was published in Nature. It's
- 22 in -- probably several times in the final report.
 - MS. GIFFEN: Do we have that, Paul?

19

24

Page 290 Page 292 1 MS. GIFFEN: Or reference so we can 1 A. Yeah. The forwarding, I guess. I 2 find it. 2 don't know. I mean, I prefer to use the CS 3 THE WITNESS: It would be in one of email for everything, but you're right, so I 4 my rebuttals. was wrong there. 4 5 Q. If we don't have it, we'll get back 5 6 to it. 6 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 39, 7 MR. KELLY: The hyperlinks are 7 an Email String, was marked for there I'm pretty sure. 8 8 purposes of identification.) 9 MS. GIFFEN: Oh, of what you sent. 9 Maybe that's what we need to do. 10 10 A. Okav. 11 MR. KELLY: I think it's in the Q. There is several -- again, this is 11 12 final report, the hyperlinks to it. going from back to front. The first page is an 13 MS. GIFFEN: Okay. email from Emil to you and John suggesting that 14 Q. All right. And then the email you look at registered reports. What does that 15 second to the top says, "Bryan, what type of 15 refer to? What do you understand registered 16 papers are you comfortable doing?" I'm sorry. reports to be? 16 17 This is from John, and the email is to you, 17 A. I have no idea. 18 Emil and to you. "I would like to go through 18 MR. KELLY: It's on here 19 all the samples and compute the correlations 19 (indicating). 20 between SES and ancestry to add to the genetic 20 A. I think it's -- I think -- well, 21 ancestry x SES metaanalysis. A number of 21 I'm not going to speculate. I don't know. 22 research teams told me to do just this. Would 22 Q. All right. And then on the first 23 you be willing to apply on these grounds or page, the second email on the first page is 24 even apply on grounds to look at IQ and genetic 24 from John to you and to Emil, and you must have 25 ancestry correlations?" And then there is a been talking about the RUS for the admixture Page 291 Page 293 reference to the paper. 1 DAR? 1 2 2 This is the first time at least A. I don't know if it was an email. that I've seen where there is a specific 3 Q. But here is the language of, and reference to what we ought to ask for is what it's have you ever compared this language to everybody seems to be totally interested in 5 what appears in the actual RUS? which is IQ and ancestry. A. I don't know if it's identical, but 6 7 A. I wouldn't have agreed to do the 7 this is for 19, the mental health one. 8 study, the collaboration. 8 Q. Right. This is the 19090, right? 9 Q. Because? 9 A. 070 maybe. 090, you're correct. A. I didn't want every line in my vita Q. So this is you've already submitted 10 10 11 to be like controversial race and IQ research, the sex differences one. This is the admixture and I'm pretty sure I put that in one of my one that makes -- and this makes sense because rebuttals or it's in my documentation. we know that that one refers to mood disorder, 13 14 MR. KELLY: That's enough. 14 schizophrenia, and depression which is what's 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. 15 quoted here, right? Q. Then the first email says, "Hi all, A. Right. 16 16 17 let's switch discussion to the above/non-school 17 Q. Okay. 18 email," which I think you make, I don't know, 18 19 is that a reference to your --19 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 40, 20 A. Yeah, so me and John were 20 an Email String, was marked for 21 conversing in cs.com and then obviously Emil 21 purposes of identification.) 22 sent this to the CSU email. 22 23 Q. Well, those other emails we have 23 Q. All right. So this is much later 24 just been through have all been to the CSU 24 in time, right? 25 email address. 25 A. August 20th.

Page 294 Page 296 1 Q. Right, 2019, right? 1 A. Yeah, and I wanted to make the 2 Correct. 2 deadline, so what do we do with this, guys. 3 Q. And the first email in this thread 3 Q. Okay. And this is at the point in begins on August 1st from NIH to you and Lisa 4 which the Lasker paper has -- you've submitted 5 Franklin, right? 5 the Lasker paper at or about this time, and I'm 6 A. Bottom of the page, first page? 6 not sure if it was accepted at that point or 7 Q. Yeah. 7 not? 8 Correct. 8 A. A. That would be in the time frame, I Q. All right. And it's saying you 9 agree. 10 need to do a project renewal or closeout for 10 Q. So what was your consideration of 19090, right? whether it should be closed or renewed? 11 12 A. Correct. 12 A. It didn't really matter to me. 13 Q. And that then the next thing, the 13 This was before also the initial email from NIH 14 14 next email, is you're sending it to Emil and 15 John and saying, "Guys, what are we doing with 15 Q. Yeah. Well, you never really 16 focused your research or prepared a paper that 16 this one?" Why were you asking what are you doing with this one? 17 17 focused on the mental health outcomes; did you? 18 A. Should we renew it or close it. 18 A. No. I don't remember if we 19 O. Because? 19 analyzed it. Maybe we did, but I think 20 A. Well, we did -- I mean, we were 20 schizophrenia might have been nothing worked. 21 focusing on the sex one initially, and then I 21 Q. So why not just close it out 22 think -- I don't know. We just didn't -- we 22 instead of renewing, and then you just have to 23 didn't -- I didn't know what the answer was, 23 deal with 19747 which does refer to IQ? 24 should we renew it or close it. That's what I 24 A. Correct. I mean. I didn't know the 25 was asking. answer, so I asked them. Page 295 Page 297 1 Q. And the next email is also on Q. Then what did they tell you to do 1 August 19th from John to you. 2 then? 3 A. Uh-huh. 3 So what did we do? Did we close it 4 Q. He says, "Sorry. That was somewhat 4 out? of an email reply." 5 5 You didn't close it out. A. Emil. 6 A. So we renewed it then. 6 7 Q. Emil. I'm sorry. 7 Q. Did they say why renew it when 8 A. You're good. 8 nothing had happened? "Emil reply (i.e., tell you what to 9 A. Well, no, not that I remember. 10 do)." "John's reply, (i.e., tell you what I 10 Q. It sounds like you as the principal will do). Let me prepare something, and I will 11 investigator are giving them a whole lot of get it to you by the end of the week. We will decision power about what to do with the DARs 13 discuss then." 13 and renewals, et cetera? 14 A. Correct. 14 A. Yeah. I had never done it before. 15 Q. Did Emil reply in some other email 15 Well, neither had they. It was a collaboration. I'm not saying that every time 16 to this? 17 A. I don't remember. 17 John said something, well, okay, let's do it. Q. Okay. And then you told him that 18 19 you had until September 1st, right? 19 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 41, 20 That was probably the one year 20 an Email String, was marked for 21 deadline for either renewing or closing it out. 21 purposes of identification.) 22 Q. Okay. And actually I think it's 22 23 probably in the email below. In fact it is. 23 Q. So I'm not sure if this is -- the 24 You're told that you have to either renew or 24 beginning of this email is the same reminder 25 close it out by September 1st. 25 letter that you had received saying the you had

Page 298 Page 300 1 to close out or renew the mental health DAR. 1 your initial request or most recent renewal in 2 A. And consistent with Table 1, was 2 the space below including the potential 3 that on July 15th? 3 significance of any findings. Briefly describe 4 Q. Okay. 4 whether and how the data set was used including 5 A. I'd have to check. 5 referencing the data sets by name in your 6 Q. Okay. But my question is because 6 summary. Please limit your summary to 6,000 we just looked at a reminder about renewal, so 7 characters." I'm not sure if this is the same one or not. 8 8 That is the section of the renewal 9 A. Okay. 9 request that says this is the information we 10 Do you know? Q. 10 want to know from you. So you're writing that If you can find out which 11 to John. Is this you're asking him to prepare 11 12 application. Go to Table 1 and look at that 12 that? 13 day, July 15th, because I think that's the date 13 A. I don't know what he's talking 14 we applied for one of the three. about here because Woodley wasn't involved in 14 Q. This clearly is about 19090. 15 15 this. Oh, I didn't see that here. 16 A. 16 Q. But I'm talking about your email to 17 Q. The subject is about 19090. 17 him which is about are we going to close out or 18 Correct. renew the 19090 project. 19 Q. After that reminder, and this is 19 A. Yeah. I don't know. It 20 August 28th, and now let's look --20 doesn't -- I don't know. 21 August 18th? 21 O. Well, the middle email which is A. 22 O. Because the Exhibit 40 email 22 John's response to you of the same date is, "B, 23 correspondence which also deals with the what 23 recall I am waiting for you to figure out which are we going to do, renew or close out. 24 DUC that was for. Please let me know which 25 Correct. 25 projected I am supposed to describe progress Page 299 Page 301 1 Q. Those are all dated between 1 on. Woodley, TCP Hispanic, the sex differences 2 August 1st, which you got a reminder letter on one which we never touched. We have three or August 1st so I guess this is a new one, four active. Some we renewed last December. meaning Exhibit 41 is a new one, to the last 4 I've been asking you to check this for a week." 5 email here is August 20th, right, that's 5 So what is he talking about Exhibit 40? 6 Woodley? 7 7 A. The last will be the first on the A. I don't know. I would say three is 8 page. It says August 19th if I'm looking at it 8 the sex difference one we never touched. I 9 correctly. don't know what he means by Woodley. 10 Q. On Exhibit 40? Is that Exhibit 40? 10 Q. But he's waiting for you to figure MR. KELLY: Forty-one. 11 11 out what the DUC, which is the data use 12 A. Maybe you meant 41? 12 certification, right? 13 Q. No, and it's August 20th. 13 A. Correct. 14 A. I just saw August 19th. 14 That was for referencing 19090. 15 There is an August 19th, but the 15 Did you have a DUC involving Woodley or TCP 16 last one, the last email. 16 Hispanic? 17 A. Yeah. That's the same email. 17 A. No. I mean, Woodley wasn't listed 18 You're correct. 18 on any of our applications. 19 Q. My point is that this is, the email 19 Q. Did it concern you that he was 20 trail for Exhibit 40, is about a week before 20 asking about DUCs that weren't applicable? 21 the email trail of Exhibit 41? 21 A. I'm not sure I understand that.

76 (Pages 298 - 301)

22

23

24

Q. You understand what?

Q. Well, you're asking him to

25 collaborate with you on what the renewal or

A. Your question.

A. Yes.

Q. And on August 28th you write to

24 John, "We need," and then you quote, "Please

25 summarize your research on this project since

22

| | D 400 | | P. 204 |
|--|---|--|--|
| 1 | Page 302 close out | 1 | Page 304 Whereupon, counsel was requested to give |
| 2 | A. Correct. | | instruction regarding the witness's review of |
| 3 | Q of 19090 is going to be, and he | 3 | the transcript pursuant to the Civil Rules. |
| 4 | responds saying tell me which one is it | 4 | the transcript parsaant to the Civil Rules. |
| 5 | supposed to be about, and then he lists all of | 5 | SIGNATURE: |
| 6 | those things, none of which includes the mental | 6 | Transcript review was requested pursuant to the |
| 7 | health one, right? | 7 | applicable Rules of Civil Procedure. |
| 8 | A. Yeah. I don't I don't know what | 8 | approact names of criminated |
| 9 | he was getting at here. | 9 | TRANSCRIPT DELIVERY: |
| 10 | Q. And then you respond to him on | 10 | Counsel was requested to give instruction |
| 11 | August 28th saying it's the mental disorders | 11 | regarding delivery date of transcript. |
| 12 | one, right? | 12 | Ms. Giffen did not order the |
| 13 | A. Which implies to me that that's | 13 | transcript at this time. |
| 14 | what we're talking about in the middle one. | 14 | • |
| 15 | Q. Even though that wasn't the | 15 | |
| 16 | possibles list that he had about how they | 16 | |
| 17 | were | 17 | |
| 18 | A. Possibles? | 18 | |
| 19 | Q. Well, the possibles of what he | 19 | |
| 20 | thought it might be referencing was Woodley, | 20 | |
| 21 | TCP Hispanic, neither of which seem to exist, | 21 | |
| 22 | and the sex differences one which we never | 22 | |
| 23 | touched, right? | 23 | |
| 24 | A. Yeah. I think he's asking me for | 24 | |
| 25 | clarification which I don't know. I'm not | 25 | |
| | | | |
| | Page 303 | | Page 305 |
| 1 | Page 303 going to speculate on that. | 1 | Page 305 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE |
| 2 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 1 2 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The State of Ohio,) |
| 2 3 | going to speculate on that. Q. Okay. | | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The State of Ohio,) SS: |
| 2 3 4 | going to speculate on that. Q. Okay. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 42, | 2 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The State of Ohio,) |
| 2 3 4 5 | going to speculate on that. Q. Okay. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 42, an Email String, was marked for | 2 3 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The State of Ohio,) SS: County of Cuyahoga.) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | going to speculate on that. Q. Okay. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 42, | 2 3 4 5 6 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The State of Ohio,) SS: County of Cuyahoga.) I, Cynthia Sullivan, a Notary |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | going to speculate on that. Q. Okay. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 42, an Email String, was marked for purposes of identification.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The State of Ohio,) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | going to speculate on that. Q. Okay. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 42, an Email String, was marked for purposes of identification.) MR. KELLY: Karen, it's after 6:00 | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The State of Ohio,) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | going to speculate on that. Q. Okay. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 42, an Email String, was marked for purposes of identification.) MR. KELLY: Karen, it's after 6:00 now. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The State of Ohio,) SS: County of Cuyahoga.) I, Cynthia Sullivan, a Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the within named witness, BRYAN J. PESTA, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | going to speculate on that. Q. Okay. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 42, an Email String, was marked for purposes of identification.) MR. KELLY: Karen, it's after 6:00 now. MS. GIFFEN: Off the record. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The State of Ohio,) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | going to speculate on that. Q. Okay. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 42, an Email String, was marked for purposes of identification.) MR. KELLY: Karen, it's after 6:00 now. MS. GIFFEN: Off the record. (Brief recess.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The State of Ohio,) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | going to speculate on that. Q. Okay. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 42, an Email String, was marked for purposes of identification.) MR. KELLY: Karen, it's after 6:00 now. MS. GIFFEN: Off the record. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The State of Ohio,) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | going to speculate on that. Q. Okay. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 42, an Email String, was marked for purposes of identification.) MR. KELLY: Karen, it's after 6:00 now. MS. GIFFEN: Off the record. (Brief recess.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The State of Ohio,) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | going to speculate on that. Q. Okay. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 42, an Email String, was marked for purposes of identification.) MR. KELLY: Karen, it's after 6:00 now. MS. GIFFEN: Off the record. (Brief recess.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The State of Ohio,) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | going to speculate on that. Q. Okay. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 42, an Email String, was marked for purposes of identification.) MR. KELLY: Karen, it's after 6:00 now. MS. GIFFEN: Off the record. (Brief recess.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The State of Ohio,) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | going to speculate on that. Q. Okay. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 42, an Email String, was marked for purposes of identification.) MR. KELLY: Karen, it's after 6:00 now. MS. GIFFEN: Off the record. (Brief recess.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The State of Ohio,) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | going to speculate on that. Q. Okay. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 42, an Email String, was marked for purposes of identification.) MR. KELLY: Karen, it's after 6:00 now. MS. GIFFEN: Off the record. (Brief recess.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The State of Ohio,) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | going to speculate on that. Q. Okay. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 42, an Email String, was marked for purposes of identification.) MR. KELLY: Karen, it's after 6:00 now. MS. GIFFEN: Off the record. (Brief recess.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The State of Ohio,) SS: County of Cuyahoga.) I, Cynthia Sullivan, a Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the within named witness, BRYAN J. PESTA, was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the cause aforesaid; that the testimony then given by the above-referenced witness was by me reduced to stenotypy in the presence of said witness; afterwards transcribed, and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcription of the testimony so given by the above-referenced witness. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | going to speculate on that. Q. Okay. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 42, an Email String, was marked for purposes of identification.) MR. KELLY: Karen, it's after 6:00 now. MS. GIFFEN: Off the record. (Brief recess.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The State of Ohio,) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | going to speculate on that. Q. Okay. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 42, an Email String, was marked for purposes of identification.) MR. KELLY: Karen, it's after 6:00 now. MS. GIFFEN: Off the record. (Brief recess.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The State of Ohio,) SS: County of Cuyahoga.) I, Cynthia Sullivan, a Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the within named witness, BRYAN J. PESTA, was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the cause aforesaid; that the testimony then given by the above-referenced witness was by me reduced to stenotypy in the presence of said witness; afterwards transcribed, and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcription of the testimony so given by the above-referenced witness. I do further certify that this deposition was taken at the time and place in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | going to speculate on that. Q. Okay. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 42, an Email String, was marked for purposes of identification.) MR. KELLY: Karen, it's after 6:00 now. MS. GIFFEN: Off the record. (Brief recess.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The State of Ohio,) SS: County of Cuyahoga.) I, Cynthia Sullivan, a Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the within named witness, BRYAN J. PESTA, was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the cause aforesaid; that the testimony then given by the above-referenced witness was by me reduced to stenotypy in the presence of said witness; afterwards transcribed, and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcription of the testimony so given by the above-referenced witness. I do further certify that this deposition was taken at the time and place in the foregoing caption specified and was |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | going to speculate on that. Q. Okay. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 42, an Email String, was marked for purposes of identification.) MR. KELLY: Karen, it's after 6:00 now. MS. GIFFEN: Off the record. (Brief recess.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The State of Ohio,) SS: County of Cuyahoga.) I, Cynthia Sullivan, a Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the within named witness, BRYAN J. PESTA, was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the cause aforesaid; that the testimony then given by the above-referenced witness was by me reduced to stenotypy in the presence of said witness; afterwards transcribed, and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcription of the testimony so given by the above-referenced witness. I do further certify that this deposition was taken at the time and place in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | going to speculate on that. Q. Okay. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 42, an Email String, was marked for purposes of identification.) MR. KELLY: Karen, it's after 6:00 now. MS. GIFFEN: Off the record. (Brief recess.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The State of Ohio,) SS: County of Cuyahoga.) I, Cynthia Sullivan, a Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the within named witness, BRYAN J. PESTA, was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the cause aforesaid; that the testimony then given by the above-referenced witness was by me reduced to stenotypy in the presence of said witness; afterwards transcribed, and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcription of the testimony so given by the above-referenced witness. I do further certify that this deposition was taken at the time and place in the foregoing caption specified and was |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | going to speculate on that. Q. Okay. (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 42, an Email String, was marked for purposes of identification.) MR. KELLY: Karen, it's after 6:00 now. MS. GIFFEN: Off the record. (Brief recess.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The State of Ohio,) SS: County of Cuyahoga.) I, Cynthia Sullivan, a Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the within named witness, BRYAN J. PESTA, was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the cause aforesaid; that the testimony then given by the above-referenced witness was by me reduced to stenotypy in the presence of said witness; afterwards transcribed, and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcription of the testimony so given by the above-referenced witness. I do further certify that this deposition was taken at the time and place in the foregoing caption specified and was |

| Page 306 | | Page 308 |
|--|---|----------|
| 1 I do further certify that I am not | 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW | rage 506 |
| 2 a relative, counsel or attorney for either | CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 | |
| 3 party, or otherwise interested in the event of | ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 6425934 | |
| 4 this action. | 3 CASE NAME: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 1/29/2024 | |
| 5 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto | 4 WITNESS' NAME: Bryan J. Pesta, I 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil | |
| 6 set my hand and affixed my seal of office at | Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of | |
| 7 Cleveland, Ohio, on this 13th day of | 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony | |
| 8 February, 2024. | as transcribed by the court reporter. | |
| 9 | 8 | |
| 10 | 9 Date Bryan J. Pesta, I 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a | |
| 11 | Notary Public in and for the State and County, | |
| 12 | 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: | |
| 13 Gather Sullivan | 12 | |
| 14 Cynthia Sullivan, Notary Public | They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn | |
| 15 within and for the State of Ohio | Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of | |
| 16 within and for the state of onlo | their free act and deed. | |
| 17 My commission expires October 17, 2026. | I have affixed my name and official seal | |
| 18 | 16 | |
| 19 | this day of, 20 17 | |
| 20 | 18 Notary Public | |
| 21 | 19 | |
| 22 | Commission Expiration Date 20 | |
| 23 | 21 | |
| 24 | 22 23 | |
| 25 | 24 25 | |
| Page 307 | | Page 309 |
| 1 Veritext Legal Solutions | 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW | |
| 1100 Superior Ave 2 Suite 1820 | CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 | |
| Cleveland, Ohio 44114 | A CCICNIMENT DECEDENCE NO. 6425024 | |
| Phone: 216-523-1313 | ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 6425934 CASE NAME: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. | |
| 3 Phone: 216-523-1313 | 3 CASE NAME: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 1/29/2024 | |
| | 3 CASE NAME: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. | |
| 4 February 13, 2024 5 To: MR. KELLY | CASE NAME: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 1/29/2024 WITNESS' NAME: Bryan J. Pesta, I In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of | |
| 4 February 13, 2024 5 To: MR. KELLY 6 Case Name: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. | 3 CASE NAME: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 1/29/2024 4 WITNESS' NAME: Bryan J. Pesta , I 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have listed my changes on the attached | |
| 4 February 13, 2024 5 To: MR. KELLY 6 Case Name: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. 7 | CASE NAME: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 1/29/2024 WITNESS' NAME: Bryan J. Pesta, I In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. | |
| 4 February 13, 2024 5 To: MR. KELLY 6 Case Name: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. 7 Veritext Reference Number: 6425934 8 | 3 CASE NAME: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 1/29/2024 4 WITNESS' NAME: Bryan J. Pesta, I 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have listed my changes on the attached Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as 8 well as the reason(s) for the change(s). 9 I request that these changes be entered | |
| 4 February 13, 2024 5 To: MR. KELLY 6 Case Name: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. 7 Veritext Reference Number: 6425934 | 3 CASE NAME: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 1/29/2024 4 WITNESS' NAME: Bryan J. Pesta, 1 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have listed my changes on the attached Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as 8 well as the reason(s) for the change(s). 9 I request that these changes be entered as part of the record of my testimony. 10 | |
| 4 February 13, 2024 5 To: MR. KELLY 6 Case Name: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. 7 Veritext Reference Number: 6425934 8 Witness: Bryan J. Pesta, I Deposition Date: 1/29/2024 9 10 Dear Sir/Madam: | 3 CASE NAME: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 1/29/2024 4 WITNESS' NAME: Bryan J. Pesta, I 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have listed my changes on the attached Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as 8 well as the reason(s) for the change(s). 9 I request that these changes be entered as part of the record of my testimony. | |
| February 13, 2024 To: MR. KELLY Case Name: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. Veritext Reference Number: 6425934 Witness: Bryan J. Pesta, I Deposition Date: 1/29/2024 | 3 CASE NAME: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 1/29/2024 4 WITNESS' NAME: Bryan J. Pesta, I 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have listed my changes on the attached Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as 8 well as the reason(s) for the change(s). 9 I request that these changes be entered as part of the record of my testimony. 10 I have executed the Errata Sheet, as well 11 as this Certificate, and request and authorize that both be appended to the transcript of my | |
| February 13, 2024 To: MR. KELLY Case Name: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. Veritext Reference Number: 6425934 Witness: Bryan J. Pesta, I Deposition Date: 1/29/2024 Dear Sir/Madam: The deposition transcript taken in the above-referenced | CASE NAME: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 1/29/2024 WITNESS' NAME: Bryan J. Pesta, I In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have listed my changes on the attached Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as well as the reason(s) for the change(s). I request that these changes be entered as part of the record of my testimony. Ihave executed the Errata Sheet, as well as this Certificate, and request and authorize that both be appended to the transcript of my testimony and be incorporated therein. | |
| February 13, 2024 To: MR. KELLY Case Name: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. Veritext Reference Number: 6425934 Witness: Bryan J. Pesta , I Deposition Date: 1/29/2024 Dear Sir/Madam: The deposition transcript taken in the above-referenced matter, with the reading and signing having not been | 3 CASE NAME: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 1/29/2024 4 WITNESS' NAME: Bryan J. Pesta, I 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have listed my changes on the attached Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as 8 well as the reason(s) for the change(s). 9 I request that these changes be entered as part of the record of my testimony. 10 I have executed the Errata Sheet, as well 11 as this Certificate, and request and authorize that both be appended to the transcript of my 12 testimony and be incorporated therein. | |
| February 13, 2024 To: MR. KELLY Case Name: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. Veritext Reference Number: 6425934 Witness: Bryan J. Pesta , I Deposition Date: 1/29/2024 Dear Sir/Madam: The deposition transcript taken in the above-referenced matter, with the reading and signing having not been | CASE NAME: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 1/29/2024 WITNESS' NAME: Bryan J. Pesta, I In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have listed my changes on the attached Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as well as the reason(s) for the change(s). I request that these changes be entered as part of the record of my testimony. I have executed the Errata Sheet, as well as this Certificate, and request and authorize that both be appended to the transcript of my testimony and be incorporated therein. Date Bryan J. Pesta, I | |
| February 13, 2024 To: MR. KELLY Case Name: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. Veritext Reference Number: 6425934 Witness: Bryan J. Pesta , I Deposition Date: 1/29/2024 Dear Sir/Madam: The deposition transcript taken in the above-referenced matter, with the reading and signing having not been expressly waived, has been completed and is available for review and signature. Please call our office to | CASE NAME: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 1/29/2024 WITNESS' NAME: Bryan J. Pesta, I In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have listed my changes on the attached Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as well as the reason(s) for the change(s). I request that these changes be entered as part of the record of my testimony. I have executed the Errata Sheet, as well as this Certificate, and request and authorize that both be appended to the transcript of my testimony and be incorporated therein. Date Bryan J. Pesta, I Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear | |
| February 13, 2024 To: MR. KELLY Case Name: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. Weritext Reference Number: 6425934 Witness: Bryan J. Pesta , I Deposition Date: 1/29/2024 Dear Sir/Madam: The deposition transcript taken in the above-referenced matter, with the reading and signing having not been matter, with the reading and signing having not been expressly waived, has been completed and is available for review and signature. Please call our office to make arrangements for a convenient location to | CASE NAME: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 1/29/2024 WITNESS' NAME: Bryan J. Pesta, I In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have listed my changes on the attached Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as well as the reason(s) for the change(s). I request that these changes be entered as part of the record of my testimony. I have executed the Errata Sheet, as well as this Certificate, and request and authorize that both be appended to the transcript of my testimony and be incorporated therein. Bryan J. Pesta, I Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear | |
| February 13, 2024 To: MR. KELLY Case Name: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. Veritext Reference Number: 6425934 Witness: Bryan J. Pesta, I Deposition Date: 1/29/2024 Dear Sir/Madam: The deposition transcript taken in the above-referenced matter, with the reading and signing having not been matter, with the reading and signing having not been expressly waived, has been completed and is available for review and signature. Please call our office to make arrangements for a convenient location to | CASE NAME: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 1/29/2024 WITNESS' NAME: Bryan J. Pesta, I In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have listed my changes on the attached Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as well as the reason(s) for the change(s). I request that these changes be entered as part of the record of my testimony. Ihave executed the Errata Sheet, as well as this Certificate, and request and authorize that both be appended to the transcript of my testimony and be incorporated therein. Date Bryan J. Pesta, I Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They have listed all of their corrections | |
| February 13, 2024 To: MR. KELLY Case Name: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. Veritext Reference Number: 6425934 Witness: Bryan J. Pesta , I Deposition Date: 1/29/2024 Dear Sir/Madam: The deposition transcript taken in the above-referenced matter, with the reading and signing having not been accomplish this or if you prefer a certified transcript rock MR. KELLY Deposition Et Al. Veritext Reference Number: 6425934 Witness: Bryan J. Pesta , I Deposition Date: 1/29/2024 matter, with the reading and signing having not been accomplish this or if you prefer a certified transcript | CASE NAME: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 1/29/2024 WITNESS' NAME: Bryan J. Pesta, I In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have listed my changes on the attached Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as well as the reason(s) for the change(s). I request that these changes be entered as part of the record of my testimony. I have executed the Errata Sheet, as well as this Certificate, and request and authorize that both be appended to the transcript of my testimony and be incorporated therein. Thate Bryan J. Pesta, I Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; | |
| February 13, 2024 To: MR. KELLY Case Name: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. Veritext Reference Number: 6425934 Witness: Bryan J. Pesta , I Deposition Date: 1/29/2024 Dear Sir/Madam: The deposition transcript taken in the above-referenced matter, with the reading and signing having not been expressly waived, has been completed and is available ror review and signature. Please call our office to make arrangements for a convenient location to accomplish this or if you prefer a certified transcript | CASE NAME: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 1/29/2024 WITNESS' NAME: Bryan J. Pesta, I In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have listed my changes on the attached Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as well as the reason(s) for the change(s). I request that these changes be entered as part of the record of my testimony. I have executed the Errata Sheet, as well as this Certificate, and request and authorize that both be appended to the transcript of my testimony and be incorporated therein. Thate Bryan J. Pesta, I Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They have listed all of their corrections in the appended Errata Sheet; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and | |
| February 13, 2024 To: MR. KELLY Case Name: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. Veritext Reference Number: 6425934 Witness: Bryan J. Pesta, I Deposition Date: 1/29/2024 Dear Sir/Madam: The deposition transcript taken in the above-referenced matter, with the reading and signing having not been expressly waived, has been completed and is available for review and signature. Please call our office to make arrangements for a convenient location to accomplish this or if you prefer a certified transcript can be purchased. | CASE NAME: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 1/29/2024 WITNESS' NAME: Bryan J. Pesta, I In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have listed my changes on the attached Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as well as the reason(s) for the change(s). I request that these changes be entered as part of the record of my testimony. Inave executed the Errata Sheet, as well as this Certificate, and request and authorize that both be appended to the transcript of my testimony and be incorporated therein. Evident Post of the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They have listed all of their corrections in the appended Errata Sheet; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. | |
| February 13, 2024 To: MR. KELLY Case Name: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. Weritext Reference Number: 6425934 Witness: Bryan J. Pesta, I Deposition Date: 1/29/2024 Dear Sir/Madam: The deposition transcript taken in the above-referenced matter, with the reading and signing having not been acxpressly waived, has been completed and is available for review and signature. Please call our office to make arrangements for a convenient location to accomplish this or if you prefer a certified transcript can be purchased. If the errata is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived. | CASE NAME: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 1/29/2024 WITNESS' NAME: Bryan J. Pesta, I In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have listed my changes on the attached Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as well as the reason(s) for the change(s). I request that these changes be entered as part of the record of my testimony. I have executed the Errata Sheet, as well as this Certificate, and request and authorize that both be appended to the transcript of my testimony and be incorporated therein. Thate Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They have listed all of their corrections in the appended Errata Sheet; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of | |
| February 13, 2024 To: MR. KELLY Case Name: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. Veritext Reference Number: 6425934 Witness: Bryan J. Pesta, I Deposition Date: 1/29/2024 Dear Sir/Madam: The deposition transcript taken in the above-referenced matter, with the reading and signing having not been matter, with the reading and signing having not been expressly waived, has been completed and is available for review and signature. Please call our office to make arrangements for a convenient location to accomplish this or if you prefer a certified transcript reac an be purchased. If the errata is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived. | CASE NAME: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 1/29/2024 WITNESS' NAME: Bryan J. Pesta, I In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have listed my changes on the attached Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as well as the reason(s) for the change(s). I request that these changes be entered as part of the record of my testimony. I have executed the Errata Sheet, as well as this Certificate, and request and authorize that both be appended to the transcript of my testimony and be incorporated therein. Thate Bryan J. Pesta, I Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They have listed all of their corrections in the appended Errata Sheet; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. I I have affixed my name and official seal | |
| February 13, 2024 To: MR. KELLY Case Name: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. Veritext Reference Number: 6425934 Witness: Bryan J. Pesta, I Deposition Date: 1/29/2024 Dear Sir/Madam: The deposition transcript taken in the above-referenced matter, with the reading and signing having not been expressly waived, has been completed and is available ror review and signature. Please call our office to make arrangements for a convenient location to make arrangements for a convenient location to for accomplish this or if you prefer a certified transcript for the errata is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived. Sincerely, Production Department | CASE NAME: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 1/29/2024 WITNESS' NAME: Bryan J. Pesta, I In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have listed my changes on the attached Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as well as the reason(s) for the change(s). I request that these changes be entered as part of the record of my testimony. I have executed the Errata Sheet, as well as this Certificate, and request and authorize that both be appended to the transcript of my testimony and be incorporated therein. Bryan J. Pesta, I Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They have listed all of their corrections in the appended Errata Sheet; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. I have affixed my name and official seal | |
| February 13, 2024 To: MR. KELLY Case Name: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. Veritext Reference Number: 6425934 Witness: Bryan J. Pesta , I Deposition Date: 1/29/2024 Dear Sir/Madam: The deposition transcript taken in the above-referenced matter, with the reading and signing having not been expressly waived, has been completed and is available for review and signature. Please call our office to make arrangements for a convenient location to accomplish this or if you prefer a certified transcript can be purchased. If the errata is not returned within thirty days of your crecipt of this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived. Sincerely, | CASE NAME: Pesta, Bryan J. v. Bloomberg, Laura Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 1/29/2024 WITNESS' NAME: Bryan J. Pesta, I In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have listed my changes on the attached Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as well as the reason(s) for the change(s). I request that these changes be entered as part of the record of my testimony. I have executed the Errata Sheet, as well as this Certificate, and request and authorize that both be appended to the transcript of my testimony and be incorporated therein. Bryan J. Pesta, I Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They have listed all of their corrections in the appended Errata Sheet; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. I have affixed my name and official seal this | |

78 (Pages 306 - 309)

| | | Page 310 |
|----|--|----------|
| 1 | EDDATA CHEET | Page 310 |
| 1 | ERRATA SHEET | |
| | VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST | |
| 2 | ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/29/2024 | |
| | PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| | | |
| 17 | | |
| | | |
| 19 | | |
| | | |
| 20 | Date Bryan J. Pesta , I | |
| | SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS | |
| | DAY OF, 20 | |
| 23 | | |
| | Notary Public | |
| 24 | rotary r done | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | Commission Expiration Date | |
| | Zapanuon Zut | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 30

- (e) Review By the Witness; Changes.
- (1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
- (A) to review the transcript or recording; and
- (B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.
- (2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted

fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions'
confidentiality and security policies and practices
should be directed to Veritext's Client Services
Associates indicated on the cover of this document or
at www.veritext.com.